PLEASE NOTE: In most \underline{BUT} NOT \underline{ALL} instances, the page and line numbering of bills on this web site correspond to the page and line numbering of the official printed version of the bills.

REFERENCE TITLE: general appropriations; budget.

State of Arizona Senate Forty-seventh Legislature First Regular Session 2005

SB 1513

Introduced by Senators Burns, Bee, Bennett, Blendu, Huppenthal: Martin, Tibshraeny (with permission of committee on Rules)

AN ACT

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS OF THE STATE, FOR STATE INSTITUTIONS AND FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS; PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

- j -

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

Section 1. Subject to applicable laws, the sums or sources of revenue set forth in this act are appropriated for the fiscal years indicated and from the funding sources listed for the purposes and objects specified and the performance measures are indicated as legislative intent.

Sec. 2. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

| 6 | Sec. 2. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY | | |
|----|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| 7 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 8 | FTE positions | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 9 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,152,200** | \$ 2,154,600 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | | |
| 11 | Board of accountancy fund | \$ 2,152,200 | \$ 2,154,600 |
| 12 | Performance measures: | | |
| 13 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 160 | 160 |
| 14 | Average calendar days to renew a license | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 15 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 16 | Sec. 3. ACUPUNCTURE BOARD OF EXAMINERS | | |
| 17 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 18 | FTE positions | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 19 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 97,500** | \$ 97,600 |
| 20 | Fund sources: | | |
| 21 | Acupuncture board of examiners | | |
| 22 | fund | \$ 97,500 | \$ 97,600 |
| 23 | Performance measures: | | |
| 24 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 90 | 90 |
| 25 | Average calendar days to renew a license | 5 | 5 |
| 26 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 27 | Sec. 4. DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION | | |
| 28 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 29 | State general fund | | |
| 30 | FTE positions | 297.3 | |
| 31 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 17,831,400 | |
| 32 | ENSCO | 5,310,300 | |
| 33 | Arizona financial information | | |
| 34 | system | 939,800 | |
| 35 | Total - general fund | \$ 24,081,500 | |
| 36 | Performance measures: | | |
| 37 | Per cent of ADOA services receiving a good | | |
| 38 | (6) or better rating from customers, | | |
| 39 | based on annual survey (Scale 1-8) | 75 | |
| 40 | Average cycle time for requests for | | |
| 41 | proposal (RFP) (in days) | 40.0 | |
| 42 | Customer satisfaction with establishing | | |
| 43 | and administering contracts (Scale 1-8) | 6.1 | |

- 1 -

1 Customer satisfaction rating for the 2 6.5 operation of AFIS (Scale 1-8) 3 Average capitol police response time to 4 emergency calls (in minutes and seconds) 1:40 5 The department may collect an amount of not to exceed \$1,762,600 from other funding sources, excluding federal funds, to recover pro rata costs of 6 7 operating AFIS II. Any amounts left unspent from the Arizona financial 8 information system special line item shall revert to the state general fund. 9 Air quality fund 10 Lump sum appropriation 574,100 11 Performance measures: 12 Customer satisfaction with all travel reduction 13 services (Scale 1-8) 6.5 14 The amounts appropriated for the state employee transportation service 15 subsidy shall be used for up to a one hundred per cent subsidy of charges 16 payable for transportation service expenses as provided in section 41-786, 17 Arizona Revised Statutes, of nonuniversity state employees in a vehicle 18 emissions control area as defined in section 49-541, Arizona Revised 19 Statutes, of a county with a population of more than four hundred thousand 20 persons. 21 Capital outlay stabilization fund 56.7 22 FTE positions 23 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 5,111,000 24 Utilities 5,733,800 25 Relocation 60.000 26 Total - capital outlay stabilization 27 fund \$ 10,904,800 Performance measures: 28 29 Customer satisfaction rating for building 30 maintenance (Scale 1-8) 6.5 31 Monies in the relocation special line item are exempt from the 32 provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing 33 of appropriations until December 31, 2006. 34 Corrections fund 35 FTE positions 9.3 36 645,500 Lump sum appropriation \$ 37 It is the intent of the legislature that the amount appropriated from 38 the corrections fund be expended solely for the oversight of construction 39 projects benefiting the state department of corrections or the department of 40 juvenile corrections. 41 Motor vehicle pool revolving fund 42 FTE positions 19.0

- 2 -

Lump sum appropriation

\$ 11,619,300

43

1 Performance measures: 2 Customer satisfaction with short-term (day use) 3 vehicle rental (Scale 1-8) 7.7 4 It is the intent of the legislature that the department not replace 5 vehicles until an average of six years and 120,000 miles, or later. 6 <u>Telecommunications fund</u> 7 FTE positions 22.0 8 Lump sum appropriation \$ 2.059.200 9 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for the wide area 10 11 network (MAGNET) (Scale 1-8) 7.5 12 Customer satisfaction rating for statewide 13 telecommunications management contract 14 services (Scale 1-8) 7.0 15 The appropriation for the telecommunications fund is an estimate representing all monies, including balance forward, revenue and transfers 16 17 during fiscal year 2005-2006. These monies are appropriated to the 18 department of administration for the purposes established in section 41-713, 19 Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary 20 reflect receipts credited to the telecommunications fund 21 telecommunications program office projects. Expenditures above \$2,059,200 22 for all additional telecommunications program office projects shall be 23 subject to review by the joint legislative budget committee, following 24 approval of the government information technology agency. Expenditures for 25 each additional project shall not exceed the specific revenues of that 26 project. 27 Automation operations fund 28 FTE positions 158.4 29 Lump sum appropriation \$ 23,317,300 30 Performance measures: 31 Customer satisfaction rating for mainframe 32 services based on annual survey (Scale 1-8) 7.8 33 The appropriation for the automation operations fund is an estimate 34 representing all monies, including balance forward, revenue and transfers 35 during fiscal year 2005-2006. These monies are appropriated to the department of administration for the purposes established in section 41-711, 36 37 Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary 38 to reflect receipts credited to the automation operations fund for automation 39 operation center projects. Expenditures for all additional automation 40 operation center projects shall be subject to review by the joint legislative 41 budget committee, following approval of the government information technology

Expenditures for each additional project shall not exceed the

- 3 -

specific revenues of that project.

42

43

| 1 | Risk management fund | | | |
|----|---|----|---------|--------------------|
| 2 | FTE positions | | 9 | 6.0 |
| 3 | · | \$ | 7,200, | |
| 4 | Risk management losses and premiums | - | 43,686, | |
| 5 | Workers' compensation losses and | | ,,,,,,, | |
| 6 | premiums | | 24,587, | 500 |
| 7 | External legal services | | 5,085, | |
| 8 | Nonlegal related expenditures | | 2,877, | |
| 9 | Total - risk management fund | \$ | 83,437, | |
| 10 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 11 | Workers' compensation incidence rates/100 | | | |
| 12 | FTE positions | | | 5.0 |
| 13 | Customer satisfaction with self-insurance | | | |
| 14 | (Scale 1-8) | | | 6.8 |
| 15 | Personnel division fund | | | |
| 16 | FTE positions | | 13 | 9.0 |
| 17 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 11,826, | 500 |
| 18 | Human resources information solution | | | |
| 19 | certificate of participation | | 2,838, | 600 |
| 20 | Total - personnel division fund | \$ | 14,665, | 100 |
| 21 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 22 | Customer satisfaction with employee training | | | |
| 23 | (Scale 1-8) | | | 6.1 |
| 24 | <u>Special employee health insurance</u> | | | |
| 25 | <u>trust fund</u> | | | |
| 26 | FTE positions | | 3 | 66.0 |
| 27 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 4,830, | 100 |
| 28 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 29 | Customer satisfaction with benefit plans | | | |
| 30 | (Scale 1–8) | | | 6.2 |
| 31 | State surplus materials revolving | | | |
| 32 | <u>fund</u> | | | |
| 33 | FTE positions | | | 6.0 |
| 34 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,129, | 000 |
| 35 | State surplus property sales | | | |
| 36 | proceeds | | 3,000, | 000 |
| 37 | Total - state surplus materials | | | |
| 38 | revolving fund | \$ | 4,129, | |
| 39 | All state surplus property sales proceeds | | | • |
| 40 | excess of \$3,000,000 are appropriated. Before | | | |
| 41 | surplus property sales proceeds in excess of \$3, | | | • |
| 42 | report the intended use of the monies to t | he | joint | legislative budget |
| 43 | committee. | | | |

- 4 -

| 1 2 | Federal surplus materials revolving | | | | |
|--------|--|------------|--------------------|-----|-------------------|
| | fund | | 7 0 | | |
| 3 | FTE positions | 4 | 7.0 | | |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 387,000 | | |
| 5 | Total appropriation - department of | 4 1 | 100 650 600 | | |
| 6 7 | administration | Þ _ | 180,650,600 | | |
| | Fund sources: | * | 04 001 500 | | |
| 8 | State general fund | | 24,081,500 | | |
| 9 | Other appropriated funds | | 156,569,100 | | |
| 10 | Sec. 5. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS | | 2005 06 | | 2006 07 |
| 11 | FTF markhing | | <u>2005-06</u> | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 12 | FTE positions | | 15.0 | | 15.0 |
| 13 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,118,100 | \$ | 1,118,100 |
| 14 | Fund sources: | | 1 104 000 | | 1 104 000 |
| 15 | State general fund | \$ | 1,104,200 | \$ | |
| 16 | AHCCCS donations fund | | 13,900 | | 13,900 |
| 17 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 18 | Average days from request for hearing to | | | | |
| 19 | transmission of decision to the agency | | 70 | | 70 |
| 20 | Evaluations rating the administrative law | | | | |
| 21 | judge "excellent" or "good" in impartiality | | 97 | | 97 |
| 22 | The office of administrative hearings | | | | |
| 23 | service agreements to provide services pursu | an' | t to title | 41, | chapter 6, |
| 24 | article 10, Arizona Revised Statutes. | | | | |
| 25 | Sec. 6. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | | | | |
| 26 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 27 | FTE positions | | 234.2 | | 234.2 |
| 28 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 12,850,600 | \$ | 12,850,600 |
| 29 | Agricultural employment relations | | | | |
| 30 | board | | 23,300 | | 23,300 |
| 31 | Animal damage control | | 65,000 | | 65,000 |
| 32 | Red imported fire ant | _ | 23,200 | | 23,200 |
| 33 | Total appropriation - department of | | | | |
| 34 | agriculture | \$ | 12,962,100 | \$ | 12,962,100 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 10,224,300 | \$ | 10,224,300 |
| 37 | Aquaculture fund | | 9,200 | | 9,200 |
| 38 | Arizona protected native plant fund | | 162,100 | | 162,100 |
| 39 | | | | | |
| 0 0 | Citrus, fruit and vegetable | | | | |
| 40 | Citrus, fruit and vegetable revolving fund | | 920,700 270,200 | | 920,700 |

- 5 -

| 1 | Agricultural consulting and | | |
|--|---|---|---------|
| 2 | training fund | 64,500 | 64,500 |
| 3 | Dangerous plants, pests and | | |
| 4 | diseases fund | 21,400 | 21,400 |
| 5 | Egg inspection fund | 646,200 | 646,200 |
| 6 | Fertilizer materials fund | 267,300 | 267,300 |
| 7 | Livestock custody fund | 79,400 | 79,400 |
| 8 | Pesticide fund | 247,000 | 247,000 |
| 9 | Seed law fund | 49,800 | 49,800 |
| 10 | Performance measures: | | |
| 11 | Per cent of industry stakeholders rating | | |
| 12 | the department's quality of communication | | |
| 13 | excellent or good | 95 | 95 |
| 14 | Per cent of meat and poultry product tests | | |
| 15 | in compliance with bacteria, drug and | | |
| 16 | chemical residue requirements | 100 | 100 |
| 17 | Per cent of inspections within the state | | |
| 18 | interior resulting in pest interceptions | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| 19 | Overall customer satisfaction rating for | | |
| 20 | laboratory services (per cent) | 98 | 98 |
| | · | | |
| 21 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT | SYSTEM | |
| | · | SYSTEM <u>2005-06</u> | |
| 21 | · | | |
| 21 22 23 24 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT | | |
| 21 22 23 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 21 22 23 24 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges | 2005-06 3,096.8 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 9,944,400 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through administration | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through administration Medicare clawback payments | 2005-06 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 9,944,400 | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | Administration FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation DOA data center charges Indian advisory council DES eligibility DES title XIX pass-through Healthcare group administration and reinsurance Office of administrative hearings KidsCare - administration Proposition 204 - AHCCCS administration Proposition 204 - pass-through administration | 3,096.8 \$ 61,234,800 5,717,500 205,300 45,637,900 317,000 3,530,500 195,300 8,249,200 9,944,400 33,166,300 | |

| 1 | Fund sources: | |
|----|--|------------------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ 72,251,000 |
| 3 | Children's health insurance | |
| 4 | program fund | 6,384,600 |
| 5 | Budget neutrality compliance | |
| 6 | fund | 2,395,400 |
| 7 | Health care group fund | 3,530,500 |
| 8 | Expenditure authority | 83,636,700 |
| 9 | Performance measures: | |
| 10 | Per cent of applications processed on time | 95 |
| 11 | Customer satisfaction rating for eligibility | |
| 12 | determination clients (Scale 1-8) | 6.0 |

It is the intent of the legislature that the appropriation for the department of administration data center charges be used only for the payment of charges incurred by the department for the use of computing services provided by the department of administration data center.

The amounts appropriated for the department of economic security eligibility special line item shall be used for intergovernmental agreements with the department of economic security for the purpose of eligibility determination and other functions. The general fund share may be used for eligibility determination for other programs administered by the division of benefits and medical eligibility based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

The Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by January 1 of each year on the agency's use of the cost savings that results from entering into an agreement with another state as outlined in Laws 1999, chapter 313, section 27. The report shall also include detail on the source of all revenues and expenditure of monies from the intergovernmental service fund.

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall report to the joint legislative budget committee on a quarterly basis on federal programmatic changes and changes in federal funds availability, including the redistribution of Title XXI funds to Arizona.

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall report by September 30 of each year to the joint legislative budget committee on the services that receive reimbursement from the federal government under the medicaid in public school initiative. The report shall include information on the type of services, how those services meet the definition of medical necessity and the total amount of federal dollars that the schools have received under the medicaid in public school initiative.

- 7 -

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall transfer any savings from the implementation of a federal program providing prescription drug benefits to persons otherwise eligible for AHCCCS to the medicare clawback payments line item to make the required payments to the federal government. Before the expenditure of monies for medicare clawback payments, the Arizona health care cost containment system shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The report shall also include information on the calculation of the clawback payment amounts as well as estimates of the savings from the acute care and Arizona long-term care system programs that are being used to make the payments to medicare.

| | | , , |
|----|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 11 | <u>Acute care</u> | |
| 12 | Capitation | \$1,727,337,900 |
| 13 | Reinsurance | 93,259,400 |
| 14 | Fee-for-service | 445,833,800 |
| 15 | Medicare premiums | 56,769,400 |
| 16 | Graduate medical education | 21,820,000 |
| 17 | Disproportionate share payments | 122,191,500 |
| 18 | Critical access hospitals | 1,700,000 |
| 19 | Breast and cervical cancer | 675,600 |
| 20 | Ticket to work | 5,075,900 |
| 21 | Proposition 204 - capitation | 1,014,780,900 |
| 22 | Proposition 204 - reinsurance | 80,354,300 |
| 23 | Proposition 204 - fee-for-service | 168,121,600 |
| 24 | Proposition 204 - medicare | |
| 25 | premiums | 19,907,700 |
| 26 | Proposition 204 - county hold | |
| 27 | harmless | 4,825,600 |
| 28 | KidsCare – children | 82,448,600 |
| 29 | KidsCare – parents | 37,781,000 |
| 30 | Rural hospital reimbursement | 12,158,100 |
| 31 | Total appropriation and expenditure | |
| 32 | authority - acute care | \$3,895,041,300 |
| 33 | Fund sources: | |
| 34 | State general fund | \$ 863,210,800 |
| 35 | Children's health insurance | |
| 36 | program fund | 94,435,300 |
| 37 | Tobacco tax and health care | |
| 38 | fund - medically needy | |
| 39 | account | 79,128,800 |
| 40 | Tobacco products tax fund - | |
| 41 | emergency health services | |
| 42 | account | 27,922,900 |

- 8 -

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

| 1 | Expenditure authority 2,830,343 | 3,500 |
|----|---|-------|
| 2 | Performance measures: | |
| 3 | Per cent of well child visits in the first | |
| 4 | 15 months of life (EPSDT) | 72 |
| 5 | Per cent of children's access to primary | |
| 6 | care provider | 85 |
| 7 | Per cent of women receiving annual cervical | |
| 8 | screening | 55 |
| 9 | Member satisfaction as measured by | |
| 10 | percentage of enrollees that choose | |
| 11 | to change health plans | 3.5 |
| 12 | The fiscal year 2005-2006 disproportionate | shar |

2005-2006 disproportionate share The fiscal year \$122,191,500 is based on the federal fiscal year 2005-2006 authorized If the final federal expenditure expenditure level of \$81,843,900. authorization is an amount different from the estimate, the governor shall direct the Arizona health care cost containment system administration, subject to the availability of monies and subject to review of the joint legislative budget committee, to proportionately adjust authorization amounts among the identified recipients of the disproportionate share hospital payment. Before the final payment, the governor shall provide notification to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the house and senate appropriations committees and the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee of the adjusted federal authorized expenditure level and the proposed distribution plan for these monies.

The appropriation for disproportionate share payments for fiscal year 2005-2006 made pursuant to section 36-2903.01, subsection P, Arizona Revised Statutes, includes \$67,568,900 for qualifying county operated hospitals, \$26,147,700 for private qualifying disproportionate share hospitals and \$28,474,900 for deposit in the Arizona state hospital fund.

Of the \$4,825,600 appropriated for the proposition 204 county hold harmless line, \$234,200 is allocated to Graham county, \$3,817,800 to Pima county, \$234,400 to Greenlee county, \$159,700 to La Paz county, \$214,800 to Santa Cruz county and \$164,700 to Yavapai county to offset a net loss in revenue due to the implementation of proposition 204, and shall be used for indigent health care costs.

Long-term care

| 38 | Program lump sum appropriation | \$999,447,400 |
|----|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| 39 | Board of nursing | 209,700 |
| 40 | Total appropriation and expenditure | |
| 41 | authority – long-term care | \$999,657,100 |
| 42 | Fund sources: | |
| 43 | State general fund | \$107,156,600 |
| | | |

- 9 -

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

are uninsured

```
1
          Expenditure authority
                                                     892,500,500
2
          Performance measures:
3
    Per cent of members utilizing home and
4
       community based services (HCBS)
                                                               65
5
    Per cent of ALTCS eligibility as measured by
                                                               97
6
       quality control sample
7
```

Any federal funds that the Arizona health care cost containment system administration passes through to the department of economic security for use in long-term administration care for the developmentally disabled shall not count against the long-term care expenditure authority above.

Pursuant to section 11-292, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, the county portion of the fiscal year 2005-2006 nonfederal portion of the costs of providing long-term care system services is \$218,738,100. This amount is included in the expenditure authority fund source.

Total appropriation and expenditure

```
authority - Arizona health
          care cost containment system
                                             $5,062,896,600
      Appropriated fund sources:
          State general fund
                                             $1,042,618,400
          Children's health insurance
            program fund
                                                100,819,900
          Budget neutrality compliance fund
                                                  2,395,400
          Health care group fund
                                                  3,530,500
          Tobacco tax and health care
            fund - medically needy account
                                                 79,128,800
          Tobacco products tax fund -
            emergency health services
            account
                                                 27,922,900
      Expenditure authority
                                             $3,806,480,700
      Performance measures:
Per cent of people under age 65 that
```

Before making fee-for-service program or rate changes that pertain to hospital, nursing facility or home and community based services rates or for any of the other fee-for-service rate categories that have increases that, in the aggregate, are two per cent above and \$1,500,000 from the state general fund greater than budgeted medical inflation in fiscal year 2005-2006, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

24

Any savings realized due to the implementation of a federal program providing prescription drug benefits to persons otherwise eligible for AHCCCS benefits shall be transferred to the medicare clawback payments line item in the Arizona health care cost containment system administration cost center.

- 10 -

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by March 1 of each year on the preliminary actuarial estimates of the capitation rate increases for the following fiscal year. Before implementation of any changes in capitation rates, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review. Unless required for compliance with federal law, before the administration implements any changes in policy affecting the amount, sufficiency, duration and scope of health care services and who may provide services, the administration shall prepare a fiscal impact analysis on the potential effects of this change on the following year's capitation rates. If the fiscal analysis demonstrates that these changes will result in additional state costs of \$500,000 or greater for a given fiscal year, the administration shall submit the policy changes to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The administration shall also report quarterly to the joint legislative budget committee itemizing all policy changes with fiscal impacts of less than \$500,000 in state costs.

| SAC | ρ | $R \cap A R \cap$ | ΛF | APPRAISAL | |
|------|----|-------------------|----|--|--|
| 366. | υ. | שואטט | υı | $\Delta I I I I I \Delta I J \Delta L$ | |

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 600,800** | \$ 536,300 |
| Payment of fiscal year 2001–2002 | | |
| expenses | 800 | - 0 - |
| Total appropriation – board of appraisal | \$ 601,600 | \$ 536,300 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| Board of appraisal fund | \$ 601,600 | \$ 536,300 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 110 | 110 |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| Sec. 9. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON THE ARTS | | |
| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| FTE positions | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 555,100 | \$ 555,100 |
| Community service projects | 1,263,100 | 1,263,100 |
| Arts endowment fund | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Total appropriation – Arizona commission | | |
| on the arts | \$ 3,818,200 | \$ 3,818,200 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| State general fund | \$ 3,818,200 | \$ 3,818,200 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| | | |

- 11 -

| Sec. 10. A | TTORNEY (| GENERAL - | DEPARTMENT | OF L | .AW | |
|----------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
| F ⁻ | TE posit | ions | | | | 619.9 |
| 01 | perating | lump sum | appropriat | ion | \$ | 40,426,600 |
| S. | tate gra | nd jury | | | | 160,100 |
| γ. | ictims' | rights | | | | 3,211,200 |
| R ⁻ | isk manag | gement int | eragency | | | |
| | service | agreement | , | | | 8,002,900 |
| Total appro | priation | - attorne | y general | - | | |
| de | epartmen [.] | t of law | | | \$ | 51,800,800 |
| Fund : | sources: | | | | | |
| S- | tate gen | eral fund | | | \$ | 20,829,100 |
| Aı | ntitrust | enforceme | ent revolvi | ng | | |
| | fund | | | | | 196,500 |
| At | ttorney 🤉 | general le | gal servic | es | | |
| | cost al | location f | und | | | 2,192,100 |
| C | ollectio | n enforcen | nent revolv | ing | | |
| | fund | | | | | 4,007,600 |
| C | onsumer · | fraud revo | olving fund | | | 2,895,500 |
| Ιı | nteragen | cy service | e agreement | S | | |
| | fund | | | | | 10,465,900 |
| R. | isk manag | gement rev | olving fun | d | | 8,002,900 |
| γ. | ictims' | rights fur | nd | | | 3,211,200 |
| Perfo | rmance me | easures: | | | | |
| Solicitor ge | eneral - | number of | days to r | espon | d | |
| to a requ | uest for | a legal o | pinion | | | 70 |
| Customer sa | tisfactio | on rating | for client | | | |
| agencies | (Scale | 1-8) | | | | 7.3 |
| | Total approd Fund SA A CC CI R V Perfo Solicitor gato a requ Customer sa | FTE posit Operating State gra Victims' Risk mana service Total appropriation departmen Fund sources: State gen Antitrust fund Attorney cost al Collectio fund Consumer Interagen fund Risk mana Victims' Performance m Solicitor general - to a request for Customer satisfacti | FTE positions Operating lump sum State grand jury Victims' rights Risk management int service agreement Total appropriation - attorned department of law Fund sources: State general fund Antitrust enforcement fund Attorney general led cost allocation of Collection enforcement fund Consumer fraud revolution Interagency service fund Risk management revolutions' rights fur Performance measures: Solicitor general - number of to a request for a legal of | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriat State grand jury Victims' rights Risk management interagency service agreement Total appropriation - attorney general department of law Fund sources: State general fund Antitrust enforcement revolvi fund Attorney general legal servic cost allocation fund Collection enforcement revolv fund Consumer fraud revolving fund Interagency service agreement fund Risk management revolving fun Victims' rights fund Performance measures: Solicitor general - number of days to r to a request for a legal opinion Customer satisfaction rating for client | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation State grand jury Victims' rights Risk management interagency service agreement Total appropriation - attorney general - department of law Fund sources: State general fund Antitrust enforcement revolving fund Attorney general legal services cost allocation fund Collection enforcement revolving fund Consumer fraud revolving fund Interagency service agreements fund Risk management revolving fund Victims' rights fund Performance measures: Solicitor general - number of days to respon to a request for a legal opinion Customer satisfaction rating for client | Operating lump sum appropriation State grand jury Victims' rights Risk management interagency service agreement Total appropriation - attorney general - department of law Fund sources: State general fund Antitrust enforcement revolving fund Attorney general legal services cost allocation fund Collection enforcement revolving fund Consumer fraud revolving fund Interagency service agreements fund Risk management revolving fund Victims' rights fund Performance measures: Solicitor general - number of days to respond to a request for a legal opinion Customer satisfaction rating for client |

The \$160,100 appropriated for state grand jury expenses is for costs incurred pursuant to section 21-428, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes. It is the intent of the legislature that state grand jury expenses be limited to the amount appropriated and that a supplemental appropriation will not be provided.

The attorney general shall notify the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives and the joint legislative budget committee before entering into a settlement of \$100,000 or more that will result in the receipt of monies by the attorney general or any other person. The attorney general shall not allocate or expend these monies until the joint legislative budget committee reviews the allocations or expenditures. Settlements that pursuant to statute must be deposited in the state general fund need not be reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee. This paragraph does not apply to actions under title 13, Arizona Revised Statutes, or other criminal matters.

- 12 -

In addition to the \$10,465,900 appropriated from the interagency service agreements fund, an additional \$800,000 and 11 FTE positions are appropriated from the interagency service agreements fund for new or expanded interagency service agreements. The attorney general shall report to the joint legislative budget committee whenever an interagency service agreement is established that will require expenditures from the additional amount. The report shall include the name of the agency or entity with which the agreement is made, the dollar amount of the contract by fiscal year and the number of associated FTE positions.

All revenues received by the antitrust enforcement revolving fund in excess of \$196,500 are appropriated. Expenditures from the fund may not exceed \$750,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006. Before the expenditure of any antitrust enforcement revolving fund receipts in excess of \$196,500 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the attorney general shall submit the intended uses of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 11. AUTOMOBILE THEFT AUTHORITY

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 597,600 | \$ 597,600 |
| Automobile theft authority grants | 4,200,500 | 4,200,500 |
| Reimbursable programs | 25,000 | 50,000 |
| Total appropriation - auto theft authority | \$ 4,823,100 | \$ 4,848,100 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| Automobile theft authority fund | \$ 4,823,100 | \$ 4,848,100 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Felony auto theft arrests by auto theft | | |
| task force | 330 | 330 |
| Per cent of stolen vehicles recovered | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Number of vehicles stolen statewide | | |
| (calendar year) | 55,000 | 55,000 |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-3, | | |
| 1 highest) | 1.0 | 1.0 |

The automobile theft authority shall submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee for review before expending any monies for the reimbursable programs special line item. The agency shall also show sufficient funds collected to cover the expenses indicated in the report. Sec. 12. BANKING DEPARTMENT (STATE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS)

38
39 FTE positions 50.1
40 Lump sum appropriation \$ 3,017,700
41 Fund sources:
42 State general fund \$ 3,017,700

- 13 -

| 1 | Performance measures: | | | | |
|----|--|-------|-----------------|-----|-------------------|
| 2 | Per cent of examinations reports mailed | | | | |
| 3 | within 25 days of examiner's completion | | | | |
| 4 | of exam procedures | | 90.0 | | |
| 5 | Per cent of license applications approved | | | | |
| 6 | within 45 days of receipt | | 95.0 | | |
| 7 | Per cent of examinations receiving | | | | |
| 8 | satisfactory rating | | 91.0 | | |
| 9 | Average days from receipt to resolution | | | | |
| 10 | of regular complaints | | 28.0 | | |
| 11 | Per cent of complainants indicating they | | | | |
| 12 | received "good" or better service when | | | | |
| 13 | filing a complaint | | 65.0 | | |
| 14 | The banking department (state departm | ent d | of financial | ins | titutions) |
| 15 | shall assess and set fees to ensure that | monie | es deposited | in | the state |
| 16 | general fund will equal or exceed its exper | nditu | re from the | sta | te general |
| 17 | fund. | | | | |
| 18 | Sec. 13. BOARD OF BARBERS | | | | |
| 19 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 20 | FTE positions | | 4.0 | | 4.0 |
| 21 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 230,600** | \$ | 230,600 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 23 | Board of barbers fund | \$ | 230,600 | \$ | 230,600 |
| 24 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 25 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 21 | | 21 |
| 26 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 2 | | 2 |
| 27 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 0-100) | | 90 | | 90 |
| 28 | Sec. 14. BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINE | RS | | | |
| 29 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 30 | FTE positions | | 13.0 | | 13.0 |
| 31 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,364,200** | \$ | 1,259,800 |
| 32 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 33 | Board of behavioral health | | | | |
| 34 | examiners fund | \$ | 1,364,200 | \$ | 1,259,800 |
| 35 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 36 | Average days to resolve a complaint | | 244 | | 244 |
| 37 | Average days to renew a license | | 19 | | 19 |
| 38 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.7 | | 6.7 |
| 39 | Sec. 15. DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND FIRE SA | FETY | | | |
| 40 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 41 | FTE positions | | 52.0 | | 52.0 |
| 42 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,278,400 | \$ | 3,278,400 |
| 43 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 44 | State general fund | \$ | 3,278,400 | \$ | 3,278,400 |
| | | | | | |

| 1 2 | Performance measures: Per cent of manufactured homes complaints | | | | |
|--------|---|-------|-----------------|------|-------------------|
| 3 | closed vs. complaints filed | | 94 | | 94 |
| 4 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-5) | | 4.7 | | 4.7 |
| 5 | Sec. 16. STATE BOARD FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS | | | | |
| 6 | | | 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 7 | FTE positions | | 10.0 | | 10.0 |
| 8 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 712,700 | \$ | 712,700 |
| 9 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 10 | State general fund | \$ | 712,700 | \$ | 712,700 |
| 11 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 12 | Customer satisfaction survey (Scale 1-8) | | 7.85 | | 7.85 |
| 13 | In addition to collecting data for the | ado | pted performa | nce | measures, |
| 14 | the state board for charter schools shall c | ondu | ct a survey | of p | parents of |
| 15 | charter school pupils in order to establish pa | arent | quality rat | ings | for every |
| 16 | charter school in the state. | | | | |
| 17 | Sec. 17. STATE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINE | ERS | | | |
| 18 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 19 | FTE positions | | 5.0 | | 5.0 |
| 20 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 462,600** | \$ | 462,700 |
| 21 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 22 | Board of chiropractic examiners | | | | |
| 23 | fund | \$ | 462,600 | \$ | 462,700 |
| 24 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 25 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 13 | | 13 |
| 26 | Per cent of complaints resolved within 180 | | | | |
| 27 | days with no hearing required | | 95 | | 95 |
| 28 | Per cent of survey responses which indicate | | | | |
| 29 | that staff was knowledgeable and courteous | 5 | | | |
| 30 | in public communications | | 98 | | 98 |
| 31 | Sec. 18. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | | | | |
| 32 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | |
| 33 | FTE positions | | 62.4 | | |
| 34 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,473,600 | | |
| 35 | International trade offices | | 1,306,400 | | |
| 36 | Economic Development matching funds | 3 | 104,000 | | |
| 37 | Main street | | 130,000 | | |
| 38 | REDI matching grants | | 45,000 | | |
| 39 | Rural economic development | | 295,400 | | |
| 40 | Advertising and promotion | | 659,200 | | |
| 41 | Motion picture development | | 296,500 | | |
| 42 | CEDC commission | | 249,000 | | |
| 43 | National law center/free trade | | 200,000 | | |

23

24

| 1 | Oil overcharge administration | | | 159,700 |
|----|---|---|------|-----------|
| 2 | Minority and women owned business | | | 107,000 |
| 3 | Small business advocate | | | 104,800 |
| 4 | Apprenticeship services | | | 158,700 |
| 5 | Total appropriation – department of | | | |
| 6 | commerce | | \$ 7 | ,289,300 |
| 7 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 8 | State general fund | | \$ 3 | ,834,800 |
| 9 | Bond fund | | | 119,800 |
| 10 | CEDC fund | | 2 | ,926,000 |
| 11 | Oil overcharge fund | | | 159,700 |
| 12 | State lottery fund | | | 249,000 |
| 13 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 14 | Number of workers trained | | | 22,000 |
| 15 | Per cent of job training fund monies | | | |
| 16 | distributed to small businesses | | | 25 |
| 17 | Customer satisfaction rating for business | | | |
| 18 | development program (percentage rating | | | |
| 19 | services as good or excellent) | | | 88 |
| 20 | Of the \$2,926,000 appropriated from th | е | CEDC | fund, \$2 |
| | | | | |

Of the \$2,926,000 appropriated from the CEDC fund, \$250,000 shall be utilized for implementation of cross-industry business/infrastructure development projects and related project coordination in support of regional technology councils and high technology clusters operating in Arizona.

Sec. 19. ARIZONA COMMUNITY COLLEGES

| | occ. 15. AMILONA COMMONIA COLLEGEO | |
|----|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 25 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
| 26 | <u>Equalization aid</u> | |
| 27 | Cochise | \$ 3,441,800 |
| 28 | Graham | 10,417,100 |
| 29 | Navajo | 2,735,700 |
| 30 | Yuma/La Paz | <u>848,800</u> |
| 31 | Total - equalization aid | \$ 17,443,400 |
| 32 | Operating state aid | |
| 33 | Cochise | \$ 7,828,500 |
| 34 | Coconino | 3,147,700 |
| 35 | Gila | 274,600 |
| 36 | Graham | 5,370,400 |
| 37 | Maricopa | 54,863,300 |
| 38 | Mohave | 3,710,000 |
| 39 | Navajo | 4,412,300 |
| 40 | Pima | 19,593,500 |
| 41 | Pinal | 5,915,800 |
| 42 | Yavapai | 4,738,700 |
| 43 | Yuma/La Paz | 5,447,800 |
| 44 | Total – operating state aid | \$115,302,600 |

| 1 | <u>Capital outlay state aid</u> | | | | |
|---------|--|-------------|---|----|---|
| 2 | Cochise | \$ | 965,600 | | |
| 3 | Coconino | Ψ | 383,000 | | |
| 4 | Gila | | 61,100 | | |
| 5 | Graham | | 535,700 | | |
| 6 | Maricopa | | 10,977,900 | | |
| 7 | Mohave | • | 491,000 | | |
| 8 | Navajo | | 576,900 | | |
| 9 | Pima | | | | |
| 9 10 | Pima Pinal | | 3,268,000 | | |
| | | | 768,200 | | |
| 11 | Yavapai Yuma (La Daz | | 686,900 | | |
| 12 | Yuma/La Paz | | 865,400 | | |
| 13 | Total - capital outlay state aid | \$. | 19,579,700 | | |
| 14 | Total appropriation - Arizona community | | | | |
| 15 | colleges | \$1! | 52,325,700 | | |
| 16 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 17 | State general fund | \$1! | 52,325,700 | | |
| 18 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 19 | Per cent of students who transfer to Arizona | | | | |
| 20 | public universities without loss of credits | | 96 | | |
| 21 | Number of applied baccalaureate programs | | | | |
| 22 | collaboratively developed with universities | | 8 | | |
| 23 | Sec. 20. REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS | | | | |
| 24 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 25 | FTE positions | | 138.8 | | 138.8 |
| 26 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 8,616,700 | \$ | 8,617,300 |
| 27 | Office of administrative hearings | | | | |
| 28 | costs | | 869,500 | | 869,500 |
| 29 | Incentive pay | | 113,500 | | 113,500 |
| 30 | Total appropriation - registrar of | | | | _ |
| 31 | contractors | \$ | 9,599,700** | \$ | 9,600,300 |
| 32 | Fund sources: | | , | | , |
| 33 | Registrar of contractors fund | \$ | 9,599,700 | \$ | 9,600,300 |
| 34 | Performance measures: | • | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | · | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| 35 | Average calendar days from receipt of | | | | |
| 36 | complaint to jobsite inspection | | 14 | | 14 |
| 37 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.1 | | 7.1 |
| 38 | Sec. 21. CORPORATION COMMISSION | | 7 • 1 | | 7 • 1 |
| 39 | Sec. 21. COM ONATION COMMISSION | | 2005-06 | | |
| 40 | FTE positions | | 312.8 | | |
| 41 | · | ¢ , | | | |
| 41 | Operating lump sum appropriation | Ψ 4 | 23,590,400 | | |
| | Utilities audits, studies, | | 200 000+ | | |
| 43 | investigations and hearings | | 380,000* | | |
| 44 | Total appropriation - corporation commission | \$ 2 | 23,970,400 | | |

| 1 | Fund sources: | |
|----|---|------------|
| 2 | State general fund \$ | 4,953,400 |
| 3 | Arizona arts trust fund | 41,900 |
| 4 | Investment management regulatory | |
| 5 | and enforcement fund | 793,900 |
| 6 | Public access fund | 3,055,200 |
| 7 | Securities regulatory and | |
| 8 | enforcement fund | 3,390,500 |
| 9 | Utility regulation revolving fund | 11,735,500 |
| 10 | Performance measures: | |
| 11 | Average turnaround time in weeks for processing | |
| 12 | of regular corporate filings | 10.0 |
| 13 | Average turnaround time in days for processing | |
| 14 | of expedited corporate filings | 3.0 |
| 15 | Number of months required to review complaints | |
| 16 | received by securities division | 1.5 |
| 17 | Customer satisfaction rating for corporations | |
| 18 | program (Scale 1–8) | 7.1 |

The corporation commission corporations division shall provide a report by the end of each calendar quarter during fiscal year 2005-2006 to the joint legislative budget committee on the total number of filings received by the corporations division, the total number of filings processed by the corporations division and the amount of time to process the filings. The corporation commission corporations division shall include in the first quarterly report for fiscal year 2005-2006 a plan for resolving the back log of corporation filings.

Sec. 22. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

| 28 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
|----|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 29 | | FTE positions | 9,726.9 |
| 30 | | Personal services | \$336,165,000 |
| 31 | | Employee related expenditures | 121,753,700 |
| 32 | | All other operating expenditures | 163,701,900 |
| 33 | | Overtime/compensatory time | 17,900,000 |
| 34 | | Private prison per diem | 74,118,400 |
| 35 | Total ap | opropriation – department of | |
| 36 | | corrections | \$713,639,000 |
| 37 | Fι | und sources: | |
| 38 | | State general fund | \$668,846,700 |
| 39 | | Corrections fund | 28,674,300 |
| 40 | | Penitentiary land fund | 869,200 |
| 41 | | State charitable, penal and | |
| 42 | | reformatory institutions | |
| 43 | | land fund | 2,070,000 |

- 18 -

| 1 | State education fund for | |
|----|----------------------------------|------------|
| 2 | correctional education | 1,478,100 |
| 3 | Alcohol abuse treatment fund | 599,300 |
| 4 | Prison construction and | |
| 5 | operations fund | 10,250,000 |
| 6 | Transition office fund | 351,400 |
| 7 | Transition program drug | |
| 8 | treatment fund | 500,000 |
| 9 | Performance measures: | |
| 10 | Escapes from secure facilities | 0 |
| 11 | Number of inmates receiving GED | 1,512 |
| 12 | Number of inmate random positive | |
| 13 | urinalysis results | 1,000 |

Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and interest from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund shall be distributed to the state department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

One hundred per cent of land earnings and interest from the penitentiary land fund shall be distributed to the department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

Before the expenditure of any state education fund for correctional education receipts in excess of \$1,478,100, the department of corrections shall report the intended use of the monies to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

Before altering its bed capacity by closing state-operated prison beds, canceling or not renewing contracts for privately-operated prison beds, the department of corrections shall submit a bed plan detailing the proposed bed closures for approval by the joint legislative budget committee.

The Arizona department of corrections shall continue to proceed with privatization of a prison for the female inmate population. The female inmates would be relocated to a privately-operated facility during fiscal year 2005-2006.

The overtime/compensatory time line item includes monies for personal services and employee related expenditure costs from overtime and compensatory time payouts accrued by department employees in fiscal year 2005-2006.

The private prison per diem line item includes \$840,000 from the general fund for a three per cent inflationary adjustment for private prison contracts for facilities located within Arizona that housed Arizona inmates before July 2004. The department shall provide this three per cent cost adjustment, appropriated pursuant to section 41-1609.01, subsection E, Arizona Revised Statutes, to all applicable contractors by August 1, 2005.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the amounts appropriated for overtime/compensatory

- 19 -

 time or private prison per diem line items shall require review by the joint legislative budget committee.

In addition to any other salary adjustments made pursuant to this act, the department of corrections shall use \$350,000 of monies appropriated in this section to provide salary increases to parole officers.

Sec. 23. COSMETOLOGY BOARD

| 7 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|----|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| 8 | FTE positions | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| 9 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 1,565,800** | \$ 1,510,000 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | | |
| 11 | Board of cosmetology fund | \$ 1,565,800 | \$ 1,510,000 |
| 12 | Performance measures: | | |
| 13 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 120 | 120 |
| 14 | Average calendar days to renew a license | 10 | 10 |
| 15 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 16 | Sec. 24. ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION | | |
| 17 | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 18 | FTE positions | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 19 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 1,570,600 | \$ 1,546,400 |
| 20 | Rural state aid to county attorneys | 157,700 | 157,700 |
| 21 | Rural state aid to indigent defense | 150,100 | 150,100 |
| 22 | State aid to county attorneys | 847,800 | 877,500 |
| 23 | State aid to indigent defense | 805,000 | 833,200 |
| 24 | Victim compensation and assistance | 3,900,000 | 3,400,000 |
| 25 | Total appropriation - Arizona criminal | | |
| 26 | justice commission | \$ 7,431,200 | \$ 6,964,900 |
| 27 | Fund sources: | | |
| 28 | State general fund | \$ 1,302,000 | \$ 1,302,000 |
| 29 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 576,400 | 552,200 |
| 30 | Victim compensation and assistance | | |
| 31 | fund | 3,900,000 | 3,400,000 |
| 32 | State aid to county attorneys fund | 847,800 | 877,500 |
| 33 | State aid to indigent defense fund | 805,000 | 833,200 |
| 34 | Performance measures: | | |
| 35 | Number of grants awarded in a timely manner | | |
| 36 | to victim services providers | 47 | 47 |
| 37 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–10) | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| 20 | A11 | | the state of the state of |

All victim compensation and victim assistance receipts received by the Arizona criminal justice commission in excess of \$3,900,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$3,400,000 in fiscal year 2006-2007 are appropriated to the crime victims program. Before the expenditure of any victim compensation and victim assistance receipts in excess of \$3,900,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$3,400,000 in fiscal year 2006-2007, the Arizona criminal justice commission shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

- 20 -

7

8

9

10

Notwithstanding any other law, the amount appropriated for rural state aid to county attorneys and rural state aid to indigent defense shall be allocated to counties with populations of less than five hundred thousand persons.

The Arizona criminal justice commission shall request funding from the office of homeland security for its criminal records integration project. If the office rejects this request, the office of homeland security shall provide the joint legislative budget committee with an explanation of its reason for rejecting the request.

Sec. 25. ARIZONA STATE SCHOOLS FOR THE DEAF AND THE BLIND

| 11 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
|----|---|----|-----------------|
| 12 | Phoenix day school for the deaf | | |
| 13 | FTE positions | | 163.3 |
| 14 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 7,325,000 |
| 15 | Fund sources: | | |
| 16 | State general fund | \$ | 2,068,500 |
| 17 | Schools for the deaf and the | | |
| 18 | blind fund | | 5,132,300 |
| 19 | Telecommunications excise tax fund | | 124,200 |
| 20 | <u>Tucson campus</u> | | |
| 21 | FTE positions | | 296.9 |
| 22 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 14,823,500 |
| 23 | Fund sources: | | |
| 24 | State general fund | \$ | 8,365,200 |
| 25 | Schools for the deaf and the | | |
| 26 | blind fund | | 5,965,000 |
| 27 | Telecommunications excise tax fund | | 493,300 |
| 28 | Administration/statewide programs | | |
| 29 | FTE positions | | 127.0 |
| 30 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 7,767,000 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | | |
| 32 | State general fund | \$ | 5,293,100 |
| 33 | Schools for the deaf and the | | |
| 34 | blind fund | | 2,154,900 |
| 35 | Telecommunications excise tax | | |
| 36 | fund | _ | 319,000 |
| 37 | Total appropriation – Arizona state schools | | |
| 38 | for the deaf and the blind | \$ | 29,915,500 |

- 21 -

```
1
          Fund sources:
 2
              State general fund
                                                   $ 15,726,800
 3
              Schools for the deaf and the
 4
                blind fund
                                                     13,252,200
 5
              Telecommunications excise tax fund
                                                        936,500
 6
           Performance measures:
 7
     Per cent of parents rating overall quality of
 8
        services as "good" or "excellent" based
 9
        on annual survey
                                                              95
     Per cent of students demonstrating gains
10
11
        on the AIMS test
                                                              80
12
     Per cent of students demonstrating gains
13
        on the norm-referenced test (grades 2 and 9)
                                                             80
           Before the expenditure of any schools for the deaf and the blind fund
14
15
    monies in excess of $13,252,200 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the joint
16
     legislative budget committee shall review the intended use of the funds.
17
           All endowment earnings above $200,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 that are
     received by the Arizona state schools for the deaf and the blind and
18
19
     deposited into the schools for the deaf and the blind fund are appropriated
20
     for operating expenditures.
     Sec. 26. COMMISSION FOR THE DEAF AND THE HARD OF HEARING
21
22
                                                        2005-06
                                                                         2006-07
23
               FTE positions
                                                            15.0
                                                                            15.0
24
                                                   $ 5,283,800
                                                                   $ 5,279,700
               Lump sum appropriation
25
           Fund sources:
26
               Telecommunication fund for
27
                 the deaf
                                                   $ 5,283,800
                                                                   $ 5,279,700
28
           Performance measures:
29
     Average number of days to issue a voucher
                                                             15
                                                                             15
30
     Customer satisfaction rating with the
31
        voucher program (Scale 1-8)
                                                             7.8
                                                                             7.8
32
           Before the execution of any contract for telecommunication relay
33
     services, the commission for the deaf and the hard of hearing shall present
34
     the proposed contract to the joint legislative budget committee for review.
35
           The commission for the deaf and the hard of hearing, with the
     assistance of the department of revenue, shall report to the joint
36
     legislative budget committee by November 30, 2005 on the anticipated level of
37
     telecommunications services excise tax collections in fiscal year 2005-2006
38
39
     and fiscal year 2006-2007.
40
     Sec. 27. DENTAL EXAMINERS BOARD
41
                                                        2005-06
                                                                         2006-07
42
               FTE positions
                                                           10.0
                                                                            10.0
43
               Lump sum appropriation
                                                       1,017,200** $
                                                                         947,200
44
          Fund sources:
45
               Dental board fund
                                                      1,017,200
                                                                   $
                                                                         947,200
```

- 22 -

19 20

21 22

| 1 | Performance measures: | | | | |
|----|--|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| 2 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 100 | | 100 |
| 3 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-5) | | 4.1 | | 4.1 |
| 5 | Sec. 28. DRUG AND GANG PREVENTION RESOURCE C | ENTER | | | |
| 6 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 7 | FTE positions | | 6.3 | | 6.3 |
| 8 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 546,600 | \$ | 546,600 |
| 9 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 10 | Drug and gang prevention | | | | |
| 11 | resource center fund | \$ | 266,600 | \$ | 266,600 |
| 12 | Intergovernmental agreements | | | | |
| 13 | and grants | | 280,000 | | 280,000 |
| 14 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 15 | Customer satisfaction rating of agencies | | | | |
| 16 | served by the center (Scale 1–8) | | 7.5 | | 7.5 |
| 17 | Intergovernmental agreements and grants | revenu | ues in exce | ess of | \$280,000 |

Intergovernmental agreements and grants revenues in excess of \$280,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$280,000 in fiscal year 2006-2007 are appropriated for expenditure. Before the expenditure of these monies, the center shall provide an expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

Sec. 29. DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY

| | oco. Es. BETTANTIENT OF ECONOMIC OCCURT | | |
|----|---|----|-----------------|
| 23 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
| 24 | <u>Administration</u> | | |
| 25 | FTE positions | | 299.2 |
| 26 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 34,931,500 |
| 27 | Finger imaging | | 722,700 |
| 28 | Lease purchase equipment | | 1,799,000 |
| 29 | Public assistance collections | | 473,500 |
| 30 | Attorney general legal services | | 564,900 |
| 31 | Triagency disaster recovery | _ | <u> 271.500</u> |
| 32 | Total - administration | \$ | 38,763,100 |
| 33 | Fund sources: | | |
| 34 | State general fund | \$ | 29,415,200 |
| 35 | Risk management fund | | 271,500 |
| 36 | Federal child care and development | | |
| 37 | fund block grant | | 1,097,300 |
| 38 | Federal temporary assistance for | | |
| 39 | needy families block grant | | 5,868,600 |
| 40 | Public assistance collections fund | | 462,700 |
| 41 | Special administration fund | | 560,900 |
| 42 | Spinal and head injuries trust fund | | 86,900 |
| 43 | Statewide cost allocation plan fund | | 1,000,000 |

- 23 -

In accordance with section 35-142.01, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of economic security shall remit to the department of administration any monies received as reimbursement from the federal government or any other source for the operation of the department of economic security west building and any other building lease-purchased by the State of Arizona in which the department of economic security occupies space. The department of administration shall deposit these monies in the state general fund.

In accordance with section 38-654, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of economic security shall transfer to the department of administration for deposit in the special employee health insurance trust fund any unexpended state general fund monies at the end of each fiscal year appropriated for employer health insurance contributions.

<u>Developmental disabilities</u>

| FTE positions | | 311.5 |
|--|----|------------|
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,401,800 |
| Case management | | 3,920,200 |
| Home and community based services | | 33,952,300 |
| Institutional services | | 294,900 |
| Arizona training program at Coolidge | | 5,488,500 |
| State-funded long-term care services | | 21,798,700 |
| Total - developmental disabilities | \$ | 68,856,400 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| State general fund | \$ | 44,518,400 |
| Long-term care system fund | | 24,338,000 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Per cent of consumer satisfaction with | | |
| case management services | | 95 |
| Per cent of consumers living at home who | | |
| are satisfied with services and supports | | 75 |
| Per cent of families of children under 18 | | |
| who are satisfied with services and support | S | 65 |
| Per cent of families or individuals 18 years | | |
| or older, who do not live at home with | | |
| family, who are satisfied with services | | |
| and supports | | 85 |
| | | |

It is the intent of the legislature that any available surplus monies for developmental disability programs be applied toward the waiting list, unless there are insufficient monies to annualize these costs in the subsequent year. The children's waiting list shall receive first priority. The amount appropriated for developmental disabilities shall be used to provide for services for non-title XIX eligible clients. The amount shall not be used for other purposes, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of economic security shall report all new placements into a state-owned ICF-MR or the Arizona training program at Coolidge campus

- 24 -

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

in fiscal year 2005-2006 to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee and the reason why this placement, rather than a placement into a privately run facility for the developmentally disabled, was deemed as the most appropriate placement. The department should also report if no new placements were made. This report shall be made available by July 15. 2006.

9 Long-term care system fund 10 FTE positions 1,453.4 11 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 27,490,800 12 Case management 30,511,800 13 Home and community based services 460,935,100 14 Institutional services 16,409,000 15 Medical services 87,686,900 16 Arizona training program at 17 Coolidge 11,708,600 18 Total appropriation and expenditure 19 authority - long-term care 20 system fund \$ 634,742,200 21 Fund sources: 22 State general fund \$ 208,871,900 23 Expenditure authority 425,870,300

All monies in the long-term care system fund unexpended and unencumbered at the end of fiscal year 2005-2006 revert to the state general fund, subject to approval by the Arizona health care cost containment system.

The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by March 31 of each year on preliminary actuarial estimates of the capitation rate increases for the following fiscal year. Before implementation of any changes in capitation rates for the long term care program, the department of economic security shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. Unless required for compliance with federal law, before the department implements any changes in policy affecting the amount, sufficiency, duration and scope of health care services and who may provide services, the department shall prepare a fiscal impact analysis on the potential effects of this change on the following year's capitation rates. If the fiscal analysis demonstrates that these changes will result in additional state costs of \$500,000 or greater for a given fiscal year, the department shall submit the policy changes to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The department shall also report quarterly to the joint legislative budget committee itemizing all policy changes with fiscal impacts of less than \$500,000 in state costs.

- 25 -

| 1 | Benefits and medical eligibility | |
|----|---|---------------|
| 2 | FTE positions | 569.9 |
| 3 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 31,228,600 |
| 4 | Temporary assistance for needy | |
| 5 | families cash benefits | 156,223,800 |
| 6 | FLSA supplement | 508,900 |
| 7 | Tribal pass-through funding | 4,288,700 |
| 8 | Tuberculosis control payments | 32,200 |
| 9 | Total - benefits and medical | |
| 10 | eligibility | \$192,282,200 |
| 11 | Fund sources: | |
| 12 | State general fund | \$ 86,415,800 |
| 13 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 14 | needy families block grant | 105,866,400 |
| 15 | Performance measures: | |
| 16 | Per cent of cash benefits issued timely | 98.6 |
| 17 | Per cent of total cash benefits payments | |
| 18 | issued accurately | 95.0 |
| 19 | Per cent of total food stamps payments | |
| 20 | issued accurately | 95.0 |
| 21 | Per cent of clients satisfied with family | |
| 22 | assistance administration | 93.0 |

The operating lump sum appropriation may be expended on Arizona health care cost containment system eligibility determinations based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the \$156,223,800 appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits requires review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Of the amount appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits, \$500,000 reflects appropriation authority only to ensure sufficient cashflow to administer cash benefits for tribes operating their own welfare programs. The department shall notify the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting staff before the use of any of the \$500,000 appropriation authority.

Child support enforcement

| 38 | FTE positions | 863.8 |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 39 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 35,604,800 |
| 40 | Genetic testing | 723,600 |
| 41 | Central payment processing | 3,275,700 |

- 26 -

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1 2 | County participation Attorney general legal services | 6,845,200 7,734,200 |
|-----|--|------------------------|
| 3 | Total appropriation and expenditure | |
| 4 | authority – child support | |
| 5 | enforcement | \$ 54,183,500 |
| 6 | Fund sources: | |
| 7 | State general fund | \$ 5,194,500 |
| 8 | Child support enforcement | |
| 9 | administration fund | 12,778,300 |
| 10 | Expenditure authority | 36,210,700 |
| 11 | Performance measures: | |
| 12 | Total IV-D collections | \$275,000,000 |
| 13 | Ratio of current IV-D support collected | |
| 14 | and distributed to current IV-D support | |
| 15 | due | 42.0 |
| | | |

All state share of retained earnings, fees and federal incentives above \$12,778,300 received by the division of child support enforcement are appropriated for operating expenditures. New full-time equivalent positions may be authorized with the increased funding. The division of child support enforcement shall report the intended use of the monies to the speaker of the house of representatives, the president of the senate, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the directors of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting.

25 Aging and community services 26 FTE positions 80.6 27 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 5,138,600 28 Adult services 11,599,300 29 Community and emergency services 5,924,900 30 Coordinated hunger 1,786,600 31 Coordinated homeless 2,804,900 32 Domestic violence prevention 9,328,600 33 Community-based marriage and 34 communication skills program 35 fund deposit 1,200,000 36 Total - aging and community services \$ 37,782,900 37 Fund sources: 38 State general fund \$ 22,672,400 39 Domestic violence shelter fund 1,700,000 40 Federal temporary assistance for 41 needy families block grant 12,910,500 42 Utility assistance fund 500,000 43 Performance measures: 44 Adult protective services investigation 45 per cent rate 80

- 27 -

All domestic violence shelter fund monies above \$1,700,000 received by the department of economic security are appropriated for the domestic violence prevention special line item. The department of economic security shall report the intended use of the monies above \$1,700,000 to the joint legislative budget committee.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department use at least \$1,038,900 of federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant monies in the appropriation for community and emergency services to ensure that councils of governments and tribal governments receive at least the same amount of federal social services block grant monies that those entities received in fiscal year 2000-2001.

In addition to the amounts above, if the federal government establishes a matching grant program for state marriage skills programs within the temporary assistance for needy families program, the sum of \$1,200,000 is appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant in fiscal year 2005-2006 to the department of economic security for deposit in the community-based marriage and communication skills program fund established by section 41-2032, Arizona Revised Statutes, for the following purposes:

- 1. \$600,000 for marketing and advertising of marriage skills classes.
- 2. \$600,000 for the community-based relationship skills high school pilot program.

Children, youth and families

| LJ | chiralen, youth and ramifics | |
|----|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| 24 | FTE positions | 1,361.6 |
| 25 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 63,621,600 |
| 26 | Adoption services | 25,946,800 |
| 27 | Adoption services - family | |
| 28 | preservation projects | 5,500,000 |
| 29 | Attorney general legal services | 8,395,200 |
| 30 | Child abuse prevention | 817,700 |
| 31 | Children services | 48,456,900 |
| 32 | Children services/temporary | |
| 33 | assistance for needy families | |
| 34 | deposit to social services | |
| 35 | block grant | 22,613,100 |
| 36 | Comprehensive medical and dental | |
| 37 | program | 2,057,000 |
| 38 | Child protective services appeals | 639,200 |
| 39 | Child protective services | |
| 40 | expedited substance abuse | |
| 41 | treatment fund deposit | 224,500 |
| 42 | Family builders program | 5,200,000 |
| 43 | Healthy families | 13,750,000 |
| | | |

- 28 -

| 1 | Homeless youth intervention | 400,000 |
|----|---|---------------|
| 2 | Intensive family services | 1,985,600 |
| 3 | Joint substance abuse treatment | 2 222 222 |
| 4 | fund - state general fund | 3,000,000 |
| 5 | Permanent guardianship subsidy | 3,003,800 |
| 6 | Temporary assistance for needy | |
| 7 | families deposit to the joint | 0 000 000 |
| 8 | substance abuse treatment fund | 2,000,000 |
| 9 | Total – children, youth and families | \$207,611,400 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | *100 000 400 |
| 11 | State general fund | \$129,020,400 |
| 12 | Child abuse prevention fund | 1,567,700 |
| 13 | Children and family services | |
| 14 | training program fund | 209,600 |
| 15 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 16 | needy families block grant | 76,813,700 |
| 17 | Performance measures: | |
| 18 | Per cent of newly hired CPS specialists | |
| 19 | completing training within 7 months | |
| 20 | of hire | 100 |
| 21 | Per cent of children in out-of-home care | |
| 22 | who have not returned to their families | |
| 23 | or been permanently placed elsewhere | |
| 24 | for more than 24 consecutive months | 21 |
| 25 | Per cent of CPS reports responded to by CPS | |
| 26 | staff | 100 |
| 27 | Per cent of CPS original dependencies | |
| 28 | cases where court denied or dismissed | <1 |
| 29 | Per cent of office of administrative hearings | |
| 30 | where CPS case findings are affirmed | 85 |
| 31 | Per cent of CPS complaints reviewed by | |
| 32 | the office of the ombudsman-citizens | |
| 33 | aide where allegations are reported | |
| 34 | as valid by the ombudsman | 17 |

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$22,613,100 appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant to the social services block grant for deposit in the children services/temporary assistance for needy families deposit to social services block grant special line item be allocated to the children services program.

The department of economic security shall provide training to any new child protective services full-time equivalent positions before assigning to any of these employees any client caseload duties.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department of economic security shall use the funding in the division of children, youth and families to achieve a one hundred per cent investigation rate.

- 29 -

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$5,500,000 appropriated to the adoption services - family preservation projects special line item be used to promote adoption as an option for children, including but not limited to promoting the agency's adoption program and temporary adoption subsidy payment increases to current adoption subsidy clients. The monies appropriated in fiscal year 2005-2006 are exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations. The department shall report the intended use of these monies to the joint legislative budget committee by August 1 of each year for the committee's review. The report shall include an evaluation of the most effective means of expending these funds and performance measures to gauge the program's success. The report shall reflect the recommendations of any statutory committee established to provide recommendations on this appropriation.

The sum of \$5,500,000 is appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant to the division of children, youth and families in fiscal year 2006-2007, fiscal year 2007-2008 and fiscal year 2008-2009 for adoption and family preservation projects. The monies appropriated in each fiscal year are exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

| 21 | Employment and rehabilitation services | |
|----|--|---------------|
| 22 | FTE positions | 474.0 |
| 23 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 24,235,500 |
| 24 | JOBS | 22,942,300 |
| 25 | Day care subsidy | 137,520,100 |
| 26 | Transitional child care | 32,911,900 |
| 27 | Vocational rehabilitation services | 3,489,800 |
| 28 | Independent living rehabilitation | |
| 29 | services | 2,491,900 |
| 30 | Workforce investment act - local | |
| 31 | governments | 48,040,600 |
| 32 | Workforce investment act - | |
| 33 | discretionary | 3,614,000 |
| 34 | Total - employment and rehabilitation | |
| 35 | services | \$275,246,100 |
| 36 | Fund sources: | |
| 37 | State general fund | \$ 81,431,600 |
| 38 | Federal child care and development | |
| 39 | fund block grant | 103,536,500 |
| 40 | Federal temporary assistance for | |
| 41 | needy families block grant | 30,645,400 |
| 42 | Special administration fund | 1,585,000 |
| 43 | Spinal and head injuries trust fund | 2,404,400 |
| 44 | Workforce investment act grant | 55,643,200 |

- 30 -

Performance measures:

Number of TANF recipients who obtained employment 26,280

Per cent of customer satisfaction with child care 95.6

Vocational rehabilitation individuals successfully rehabilitated 1,800

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$22,942,300 appropriated for JOBS may be used to support nonpermanent and seasonal positions to fulfill federal program requirements when contracts for services cannot be established with outside parties. The use of such positions shall be reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department shall use \$4,500,000 of the monies appropriated for the JOBS special line item for contracts with education and training entities. These contracts shall focus on assisting JOBS clients in obtaining jobs paying, on average, ten dollars per hour or more. The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15, 2006 on these efforts. The report shall include expenditure details and placement data.

Of the \$137,520,100 appropriated for day care subsidy, \$104,022,200 is for a program in which the upper income limit is no more than one hundred sixty-five per cent of the federal poverty level. This provision shall not be construed to impose a duty on an officer, agent or employee of the state to discharge a responsibility or to create any right in a person or group if the discharge or right would require an expenditure of state monies in excess of the \$104,022,200 appropriation.

The amounts appropriated for day care subsidy and transitional child care shall be used exclusively for child care costs unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee. Monies shall not be used from these appropriated amounts for any other expenses of the department of economic security unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

All spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts received by the department of economic security in excess of \$2,404,400 are appropriated to the independent living rehabilitation services special line item. Before the expenditure of any spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts in excess of \$2,404,400, the department of economic security shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Monies appropriated to the workforce investment act - discretionary special line item may not be expended until a proposed expenditure plan has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

- 31 -

All federal workforce investment act discretionary funds that are received by the state in excess of \$3,614,000 are appropriated to the workforce investment act - discretionary special line item. Excess monies may not be spent until a proposed expenditure plan for the excess monies has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

All federal workforce investment act funds for local governments that are received by the state in excess of \$48,040,600 are appropriated to the workforce investment act - local governments special line item. Excess monies may not be spent until a proposed expenditure plan for the excess monies has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Total appropriation and expenditure

| 12 | authority - department of | | |
|----|---|-----|--------------|
| 13 | economic security | \$1 | ,509,467,800 |
| 14 | Fund sources: | | |
| 15 | State general fund | \$ | 607,540,200 |
| 16 | Child abuse prevention fund | | 1,567,700 |
| 17 | Child support enforcement | | |
| 18 | administration fund | | 12,778,300 |
| 19 | Children and family services | | |
| 20 | training program fund | | 209,600 |
| 21 | Domestic violence shelter fund | | 1,700,000 |
| 22 | Federal child care and development | | |
| 23 | fund block grant | | 104,633,800 |
| 24 | Federal temporary assistance for | | |
| 25 | needy families block grant | | 232,104,600 |
| 26 | Long-term care system fund | | 24,338,000 |
| 27 | Public assistance collections fund | | 462,700 |
| 28 | Risk management fund | | 271,500 |
| 29 | Special administration fund | | 2,145,900 |
| 30 | Spinal and head injuries trust fun | d | 2,491,300 |
| 31 | Statewide cost allocation plan fun | d | 1,000,000 |
| 32 | Utility assistance fund | | 500,000 |
| 33 | Workforce investment act grant | | 55,643,200 |
| 34 | Expenditure authority | | 462,081,000 |
| 35 | Performance measures: | | |
| 36 | Agencywide customer satisfaction rating | | |
| 37 | (Scale 1-5) | | 4.2 |

The above appropriation is in addition to funds granted to the state by the federal government for the same purposes but shall be deemed to include the sums deposited in the state treasury to the credit of the department of economic security pursuant to section 42-5029, Arizona Revised Statutes.

- 32 -

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the thirtieth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in entitlement programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, and any projected surplus in state supported programs that may be available to offset these shortfalls and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, (3) shortfalls resulting from new leases or renegotiations of current leases and associated costs, and (4) total expenditure authority of the child support enforcement program for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals.

The department of economic security shall report the receipt and intended use of all current and prior year reversions from nonappropriated sources to the joint legislative budget committee.

The amounts above include \$6,000,000 from the state general fund and \$7,556,800 from matching federal expenditure authority to raise rates of community service providers and independent service agreement providers contracting with the division of developmental disabilities to 97.44 per cent of market rates for all services on the published rate schedule with the exception of employment support services whose rates would be raised to one hundred per cent of market rates.

Sec. 30. STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|--|-------------------|
| State board of education | |
| FTE positions | 7.0 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 610,100 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 270,800 |
| Teacher certification fund | 339,300 |
| Performance measures: | |
| Per cent of parents who rate "A+" the public | |
| school that their oldest school-age child | |
| attends | 19.5 |

The appropriated amount includes \$100,000 for administering a survey to a random sample of parents of children in public schools statewide. The survey shall consist of the following question: "Students are given the grades A+, A, B, C, D and Fail to denote the quality of their work. Using the same A+, A, B, C, D and Fail scale, what grade would you give the school that your oldest child attends?"

- 33 -

The state board of education program may establish its own strategic plan separate from that of the department of education and based on its own separate mission, goals and performance measures.

<u>General services administration</u>

FTE positions 126.2
Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 6,554,400
Achievement testing 7,165,100

Before making any changes to the achievement testing program that will increase program costs, the state board of education shall report the estimated fiscal impact of those changes to the joint legislative budget committee.

Arizona teacher evaluation 200,700
English learner monitoring 322,400
Special education audit 294,000
Teacher certification 1,397,100

Monies collected by the department of education for teacher certification fees, as authorized by section 15-531, paragraphs 1 and 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, shall be deposited in a teacher certification fund for use in funding costs of the teacher certification program.

Total - general services

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| administration | \$ 15,933,700 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 11,995,600 |
| Teacher certification fund | 1,597,800 |
| Proposition 301 fund | 2,340,300 |
| Performance measures: | |
| Maximum number of days to process | |
| complete certification applications | 15 |
| Per cent of customers satisfied with | |

Assistance to schools

certification services

FTE positions 67.7 Basic state aid entitlement \$2,776,978,200

Fund sources:

State general fund \$2,733,755,200 Permanent state school fund 43,223,000

The above appropriation provides basic state support to school districts for maintenance and operations funding as provided by section 15-973, Arizona Revised Statutes, and includes an estimated \$43,223,000 in expendable income derived from the permanent state school fund and from state trust lands pursuant to section 37-521, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, for fiscal year 2005-2006.

- 34 -

Receipts derived from the permanent state school fund and any other nonstate general fund revenue source that is dedicated to fund basic state aid will be expended, whenever possible, before expenditure of state general fund monies.

Except as required by section 37-521, Arizona Revised Statutes, all monies received during the fiscal year from national forests, interest collected on deferred payments on the purchase of state lands, the income from the investment of permanent funds as prescribed by the enabling act and the constitution and all monies received by the superintendent of public instruction from whatever source, except monies received pursuant to sections 15-237 and 15-531, Arizona Revised Statutes, when paid into the state treasury are appropriated for apportionment to the various counties in accordance with law. An expenditure shall not be made except as specifically authorized above.

Additional state aid to schools
Assistance to school districts for children of state employees
Certificates of educational convenience
Special education fund
Adult education assistance
\$296,213,200
99,500
269,900
33,128,600
4,443,800

The appropriated amount is for classes in adult basic education, general education development and citizenship on a statewide basis.

It is the intent of the legislature that no more than ten per cent of the appropriation for adult education assistance be used by the department of education for operating the division of adult education. It is also the intent of the legislature that the greatest possible proportion of monies appropriated for adult education programs be devoted to instructional, rather than administrative, aspects of the programs.

The department shall give persons under twenty-one years of age priority in gaining access to services pertaining to general education development testing.

| - | 3. | |
|----|---------------------------------------|------------|
| 33 | AIMS intervention; dropout prevention | 550,000 |
| 34 | Chemical abuse | 800,500 |
| 35 | English learner grants | 15,310,000 |
| 36 | Extended school year | 500,000 |
| 37 | Family literacy | 1,003,400 |
| 38 | Full-day kindergarten | 21,000,000 |
| 39 | Gifted support | 1,304,200 |
| 40 | Optional performance incentive | |
| 41 | programs | 120,000 |
| 42 | Parental choice for reading | |
| 43 | success | 1,000,000 |
| | | |

- 35 -

Residential placement 10,000
School accountability 4,698,100
School report cards 443,300
School safety program 6,704,900
Small pass-through programs 581,600

The appropriated amount includes \$50,000 for the academic contest fund, \$82,400 for academic decathlon, \$50,000 for Arizona geographic alliance, \$40,000 for the Arizona humanities council, \$25,200 for Arizona principal's academy, \$234,000 for Arizona school service through education technology, \$50,000 for project citizen and \$50,000 for the economic academic council.

State block grant for early childhood

education 19,415,200

State block grant for vocational

education 11,199,100

The appropriated amount is for block grants to charter schools and school districts that have vocational education programs. It is the intent of the legislature that monies appropriated in the general appropriation act for the state block grant for vocational education be used to promote improved student achievement by providing vocational education programs with flexible supplemental funding that is linked both to numbers of students in such programs and to numbers of program completers who enter jobs in fields directly related to the vocational education program that they completed. It is the intent of the legislature that the amount of the state block grant for vocational education funding that is used for state level administration of the program be limited to no more than the amount used for such costs during the prior fiscal year plus the applicable amount of any pay raise that may be provided for state employees through legislative appropriation.

Vocational education extended

| | rood or ond read od or on expended | |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 29 | year | 600,000 |
| 30 | Total – assistance to schools | \$3,196,373,500 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | |
| 32 | State general fund | \$3,148,490,800 |
| 33 | Proposition 301 fund | 4,659,700 |
| 34 | Permanent state school fund | 43,223,000 |
| 35 | Performance measures: | |
| 36 | Per cent of students tested who perform | |
| 37 | at or above the national norm on the | |
| 38 | norm-referenced test (grade 2) | |
| 39 | reading | 48 |
| 40 | math | 55 |

- 36 -

| 1 | Per cent of students tested who perform | |
|----|---|---------------|
| 2 | at or above the national norm on the | |
| 3 | norm-referenced test (grade 9) | |
| 4 | reading | 37 |
| 5 | math | 59 |
| 6 | Per cent of schools with at least 75% of | |
| 7 | students meeting or exceeding standards in | : |
| 8 | reading | 27 |
| 9 | writing | 37 |
| 10 | math | 20 |
| 11 | Per cent of Arizona high school students | |
| 12 | who enter $9^{	t th}$ grade and graduate within | |
| 13 | 4 years | 75 |
| 14 | Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting | |
| 15 | or exceeding state academic standards in: | |
| 16 | reading | 80 |
| 17 | writing | 82 |
| 18 | math | 70 |
| 19 | Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting | |
| 20 | or exceeding state academic standards in: | |
| 21 | reading | 66 |
| 22 | writing | 68 |
| 23 | math | 60 |
| 24 | Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting | |
| 25 | or exceeding state academic standards in: | |
| 26 | reading | 62 |
| 27 | writing | 66 |
| 28 | math | 36 |
| 29 | Per cent of students in grade 12 meeting | |
| 30 | or exceeding state academic standards in: | |
| 31 | reading | 90 |
| 32 | writing | 90 |
| 33 | math | 90 |
| 34 | Per cent of students tested: | 0.0 |
| 35 | norm-referenced test (grades 2 and 9) | 96 |
| 36 | AIMS | 97 |
| 37 | Per cent of Arizona schools receiving an | - |
| 38 | underperforming label | <u>5</u> |
| 39 | Total appropriation - state board of | |
| 40 | education and superintendent | 2 212 217 222 |
| 41 | of public instruction \$ | 3,212,917,300 |

- 37 -

Fund sources:

State general fund \$3,160,757,200
Proposition 301 fund 7,000,000
Permanent state school fund 43,223,000
Teacher certification fund 1,937,100

The department shall provide an updated report on its budget status every two months for the first half of each fiscal year and every month thereafter to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee. Each report shall include, at a minimum, the department's current funding surplus or shortfall projections for basic state aid and other major formula-based programs and shall be due thirty days after the end of the applicable reporting period.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the amount appropriated for basic state aid entitlement, additional state aid to schools, certificates of educational convenience or the special education fund line items shall require review by the joint legislative budget committee.

For fiscal year 2005-2006, in addition to computing average daily membership counts for the first one hundred days of the 2005-2006 school year pursuant to section 15-901, subsection A, paragraph 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department shall compute average daily membership counts for the first one hundred forty days of the 2005-2006 school year. By February 1, 2007, the department shall provide a report to the director of the joint legislative budget committee that compares the average daily membership count of each school district and charter school in the state through the first one hundred and first one hundred forty days of the 2005-2006 school year. The one hundred forty day average daily membership counts computed pursuant to this requirement shall not be used for basic state aid formula funding purposes.

Within fifteen days of each apportionment of state aid that occurs pursuant to section 15-973, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department shall provide the joint legislative budget committee staff and the office of strategic planning and budgeting with an electronic spreadsheet or database copy of data included in the apor55-1 report for that apportionment for each school district and charter school.

By the last day of each calendar quarter, the department of education and the government information technology agency shall jointly report to the joint legislative budget committee regarding the progress of the independent steering committee on information technology and data collection in education.

- 38 -

```
1
     Sec. 31. DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY AND MILITARY AFFAIRS
 2
                                                         2005-06
 3
           Administration
 4
               FTE positions
                                                            13.9
 5
               Lump sum appropriation
                                                    $ 1.823.600
 6
           Fund sources:
 7
               State general fund
                                                    $ 1,823,600
 8
           Emergency management
 9
               FTE positions
                                                               11
                                                         952,000
10
               Operating lump sum appropriation
11
               Civil air patrol
                                                          54,200
12
           Total - emergency management
                                                    $ 1,006,200
13
           Fund sources:
14
               State general fund
                                                    $
                                                         873,500
15
               Emergency response fund
                                                         132,700
16
           Military affairs
17
               FTE positions
                                                             66.2
18
               Operating lump sum appropriation
                                                    $ 4.882.600
19
               Guardsmen tuition reimbursement
                                                       1,446,000
20
           Total - military affairs
                                                    $ 6,328,600
21
           Fund sources:
22
               State general fund
                                                    $ 6,328,600
23
           The department of emergency and military affairs appropriation includes
24
     $2,051,100 in fiscal year 2005-2006 for project challenge. These monies
25
     shall only be used to fund operating expenditures for project challenge.
26
     Total appropriation - department of
27
               emergency and military affairs
                                                    $ 9,158,400
28
           Fund sources:
29
               State general fund
                                                    $ 9,025,700
30
               Emergency response fund
                                                         132,700
31
           Performance measures:
32
     Per cent of project challenge graduates
33
        either employed or in school
                                                               95
34
     Customer satisfaction rating for communities
35
        served during disasters (Scale 1-8)
                                                              6.0
           The department of emergency and military affairs appropriation includes
36
37
     $852,300 for service contracts. This amount is exempt from section 35-190,
     Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, except that
38
39
     all fiscal year 2005-2006 monies remaining unexpended and unencumbered on
```

- 39 -

October 31, 2006 revert to the state general fund.

40

| 1 | Sec. 32. | DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY | | |
|----|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-----------------|
| 2 | | | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
| 3 | | FTE positions | | 428.4 |
| 4 | | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 22,078,600 |
| 5 | | Aquifer protection permit program | | 756,100 |
| 6 | | Hazardous waste program | | 716,400 |
| 7 | | Solid waste program | | 3,392,100 |
| 8 | | Waste tire program | | 219,800 |
| 9 | | Water quality program | | 3,750,400 |
| 10 | | Air permits administration program | | 5,383,900 |
| 11 | | Emissions control program - | | |
| 12 | | administration | | 3,942,800 |
| 13 | | Emissions control contractor | | |
| 14 | | payment | | 31,739,600 |
| 15 | | Water infrastructure finance | | |
| 16 | | authority | | 2,445,100 |
| 17 | | Air quality program | | 4,527,300 |
| 18 | | Underground storage tank program | | 22,000 |
| 19 | | Pima county air quality programs | _ | 165,000 |
| 20 | Total app | ropriation - department of | | |
| 21 | | environmental quality | \$ | 79,139,100 |
| 22 | Fund | d sources: | | |
| 23 | | State general fund | \$ | 12,991,400 |
| 24 | | Solid waste fee fund | | 1,362,800 |
| 25 | | Water quality fee fund | | 3,750,400 |
| 26 | | Hazardous waste management fund | | 716,400 |
| 27 | | Air permits administration fund | | 5,383,900 |
| 28 | | Emissions inspection fund | | 35,682,400 |
| 29 | | Air quality fund | | 4,692,300 |
| 30 | | Air quality - clean air subaccount | | 500,000 |
| 31 | | Indirect cost recovery fund | | 11,788,400 |
| 32 | | Used oil fund | | 134,000 |
| 33 | | Underground storage tank fund | | 22,000 |
| 34 | | Recycling fund | | 2,115,100 |
| 35 | Per [.] | formance measures: | | |
| 36 | Per cent | of contaminated sites closed | | |
| 37 | requir | ing no further action (cumulative) | | |
| 38 | versus | known sites | | 77.0 |
| 39 | Number of | nonattainment areas exceeding | | |
| 40 | nation | al ambient air quality standards | | 5 |
| 41 | Per cent | of statutorily set permit timelines | | |
| 42 | met th | rough licensing time frames rule | | 99 |
| 43 | Number of | days per year exceeding national | | |
| 44 | ambien [.] | t air quality standards for ozone, | | |
| 45 | carbon | monoxide or particulates | | 0 |
| 46 | Per cent | of facilities from drinking water | | |
| | | - 10 - | | |

priority log assigned to enforcement staff 50 Customer satisfaction rating for citizens (Scale 1-8) 7.4

Up to \$1,250,000 of the state general fund appropriation may be used temporarily to maintain existing environmental programs for which an application for federal funds has been submitted.

When expenditures from the hazardous waste or environmental health reserves are authorized, the director of the department of environmental quality shall report the nature of the emergency and the authorized expenditure amount to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of environmental quality shall report annually to the legislature in writing on the progress of WQARF activities, including emergency response, priority site remediation, cost recovery activity, revenue and expenditure activity and other WQARF-funded program activity. The department shall submit the report to the members of the joint legislative budget committee and to the director of the joint legislative budget committee staff by September 1, 2005.

Pursuant to section 49-282, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of environmental quality shall submit a fiscal year 2006-2007 budget for the water quality assurance revolving fund before September 1, 2005, for review by the house of representatives and senate appropriations committees.

The amounts appropriated for the water infrastructure finance authority in fiscal year 2005-2006 shall be used to provide a twenty per cent match of the fiscal year 2005-2006 federal safe drinking water and clean water revolving fund allocations to this state. Of the amount appropriated, any amount in excess of the required twenty per cent match reverts to the state general fund.

The monies appropriated in the Pima county air quality programs special line item are for use by Pima county to avoid being declared in non-attainment of particulate matter standards by establishing public notification and outreach programs, minimizing exposure to particulate matter concentrations and to abatement and minimization of controllable sources of particulate matter through best available control measures. Of the monies in the Pima county air quality programs special line item in fiscal year 2005-2006, \$50,000 shall be used for carbon monoxide monitoring as required by the Pima county limited maintenance plan with the federal environmental protection agency.

- 41 -

2

4

6 7

8

20

21

22

23

24

The appropriation from the air permits administration fund is an estimate representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers during fiscal year 2005-2006. These monies are appropriated to the Arizona department of environmental quality for the purposes established in section 49-455, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the air permits administration fund.

Sec. 33. STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

9 2005-06 10 FTE positions 7.0 11 Lump sum appropriation 567,200 \$ 12 Fund sources: 13 State general fund \$ 567,200 14 Performance measures: 15 Average calendar days to process a 16 property tax appeal from receipt to 17 issuance 28 18 100 Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts 19 Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) 6.1

The state board of equalization shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 31, 2005 with a variety of options for conversion of its existing computer system. The report shall include an assessment of the options by the information technology authorization committee.

Sec. 34. BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

| 25 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2 <u>006-07</u> |
|----|---|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|
| 26 | FTE positions | | 16.0 | | 16.0 |
| 27 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 956,700 | \$ | 956,700 |
| 28 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 29 | State general fund | \$ | 956,700 | \$ | 956,700 |
| 30 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 31 | Customer satisfaction rating for victims | | | | |
| 32 | (Scale 1-8) | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 33 | Sec. 35. ARIZONA EXPOSITION AND STATE FAIR | BOARD | | | |
| 34 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 35 | FTE positions | | 186.0 | | 186.0 |
| 36 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 15 | 5,123,900 | \$ 15 | 5,123,900 |
| 37 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 38 | Arizona exposition and state | | | | |
| 39 | fair fund | \$ 15 | 5,123,900 | \$ 15 | 5,123,900 |
| 40 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 41 | Fair attendance | - | 1,100,000 | - | 1,100,000 |
| 42 | Per cent of guests rating state fair "good" | | | | |
| 43 | or "excellent" based on annual survey | | 98 | | 98 |
| | | | | | |

- 42 -

| 1 2 | Sec. 36. STATE BOARD OF FUNERAL DIRECTORS A | ND E | MBALMERS 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
|--------|--|-------|---------------------|-----|-------------|
| 3 | FTE positions | | 4.0 | | 4.0 |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 304,800** | \$ | 304,900 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | • | 001,000 | * | 001,500 |
| 6 | Board of funeral directors and | | | | |
| 7 | embalmers fund | \$ | 304,800 | \$ | 304,900 |
| 8 | Performance measures: | • | 001,000 | • | 001,500 |
| 9 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 78 | | 78 |
| 10 | Average calendar days to renew a license | , | 23 | | 23 |
| 11 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.7 | | 7.7 |
| 12 | Sec. 37. GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT | | , • , | | |
| 13 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 14 | FTE positions | | 274.5 | | 274.5 |
| 15 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 22,700,600 | \$ | 22,622,700 |
| 16 | Pittman - Robertson/Dingell - | • | ,, | | ,, |
| 17 | Johnson act | | 2,808,000 | | 2,808,000 |
| 18 | Performance incentive pay program | | 346,800* | | 346,800* |
| 19 | Total appropriation - game and fish | | <u> </u> | | |
| 20 | department | \$ | 25,855,400 | \$ | 25,777,500 |
| 21 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 22 | Game and fish fund | \$ | 23,312,600 | \$ | 23,234,700 |
| 23 | Waterfowl conservation fund | | 43,400 | | 43,400 |
| 24 | Wildlife endowment fund | | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| 25 | Watercraft licensing fund | | 2,183,200 | | 2,183,200 |
| 26 | Game, nongame, fish and | | | | |
| 27 | endangered species fund | | 300,200 | | 300,200 |
| 28 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 29 | Per cent of public satisfaction with | | | | |
| 30 | off-highway vehicle and watercraft | | | | |
| 31 | information products and services | | 65 | | 65 |
| 32 | Per cent of anglers rating their experience | | | | |
| 33 | as "excellent", or greater than or equal | | | | |
| 34 | to 9, on a scale of 1 to 10 | | 69 | | 69 |
| 35 | In addition to the \$2,808,000 for the | e Pit | tman - Robert | sor | n/Dingell - |

In addition to the 2,808,000 for the Pittman - Robertson/Dingell - Johnson act special line item, the lump sum appropriation includes 40,000 for cooperative fish and wildlife research which may be used for the purpose of matching federal and apportionment funds.

The \$300,000 from the game and fish fund and \$46,800 from the watercraft licensing fund in fiscal year 2005-2006 and fiscal year 2006-2007 for the performance incentive pay program special line item shall be used for personal services and employee related expenditures associated with the department's performance incentive pay program in accordance with Laws 1999, chapter 138. This appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

- 43 -

 The game and fish department and the department of transportation shall conduct a joint study to examine the transfer of responsibility for processing watercraft registration from the game and fish department to the department of transportation. This study shall be submitted to the joint legislative budget committee by December 30, 2005 and is to include an implementation plan with a proposed date for the transfer of watercraft registration and examine the overall impact to citizens, potential cost savings, and the number of full-time equivalent positions to be transferred from the game and fish department to the department of transportation.

The shooting range appropriation of \$100,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and fiscal year 2006-2007, included in the lump sum appropriation, is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, until December 31, 2007.

Sec. 38. DEPARTMENT OF GAMING

| 16 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | 2006-07 |
|----|--|------|-----------------|----|-----------------|
| 17 | FTE positions | | 115.0 | | 118.0 |
| 18 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 8,000,000 | \$ | 8,000,000 |
| 19 | Casino operations certification | | 1,829,100 | | 1,810,500 |
| 20 | Problem gambling | | 1,812,800 | _ | 1,812,800 |
| 21 | Total appropriation - department of gaming | \$ | 11,641,900 | \$ | 11,623,300 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 23 | Tribal state compact fund | \$ | 1,829,100 | \$ | 1,810,500 |
| 24 | Arizona benefits fund | | 9,512,800 | | 9,512,800 |
| 25 | State lottery fund | | 300,000 | | 300,000 |
| 26 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 27 | Per cent of gaming facilities reviewed | | | | |
| 28 | for compact compliance | | 100 | | 100 |
| 29 | Per cent of vendor customers satisfied | | | | |
| 30 | with process | | 96 | | 96 |
| 31 | Sec. 39. ARIZONA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY | | | | |
| 32 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 33 | FTE positions | | 12.3 | | 12.3 |
| 34 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 796,700 | \$ | 796,700 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 796,700 | \$ | 796,700 |
| 37 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 38 | Satisfaction with service provided | | | | |
| 39 | (Scale 1-5) | | 4.9 | | 4.9 |
| 40 | Sec. 40. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | AGEN | CY | | |
| 41 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 42 | FTE positions | | 21.0 | | 21.0 |
| 43 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2,536,600 | \$ | 2,539,200 |
| 44 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 45 | Information technology fund | \$ | 2,536,600 | \$ | 2,539,200 |
| 46 | Performance measures: | | | | |

- 44 -

| 1 2 | Per cent of information technology (IT) projects completed on schedule and | | | | | | |
|--------|--|------|-----|------------------|-----------|------------|---|
| 3 | within budget | | | (| 92 | 92 |) |
| 4 | Per cent of agency IT managers rating | | | | | | |
| 5 | GITA performance as excellent | | | (| 60 | 60 |) |
| 6 | Sec. 41. OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR | | | · | | | |
| 7 | Jee. 41. Office of the dovernor | | | 2005-0 | 06 | | |
| 8 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 6 | 2005 3,127,70 | | | |
| 9 | Fund sources: | Ф | C |),12/,/(| JU | | |
| | | • | , | . 107 7 | 0.0 | | |
| 10 | State general fund | \$ | | 5,127,70 | | | _ |
| 11 | Included in the lump sum appropriation | | | | | | |
| 12 | 2005-2006 is \$10,000 for the purchase of me | men | tos | s and 1 | tems for | ° visiting | J |
| 13 | officials. | | _ | | | | |
| 14 | Sec. 42. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLAN | ININ | IG | | | | |
| 15 | | | | <u> 2005 - (</u> | | | |
| 16 | FTE positions | | | 22 | .0 | | |
| 17 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1 | 1,721,40 | 00 | | |
| 18 | Fund sources: | | | | | | |
| 19 | State general fund | \$ | 1 | 1,721,40 | 00 | | |
| 20 | Performance measures: | | | | | | |
| 21 | Per cent of participants rating budget and | | | | | | |
| 22 | planning training "good" or "excellent" | | | (| 98 | | |
| 23 | Sec. 43. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES | | | | | | |
| 24 | dest for Bernament of Henefit dentified | | | 2005-0 | 06 | | |
| 25 | <u>Administration</u> | | | 2000 | <u> </u> | | |
| 26 | FTE positions | | | 406 | 5 | | |
| 27 | Operating lump sum appropriation | ¢ | 1.0 | 400 5,696,80 | | | |
| 28 | Assurance and licensure | Ф | | | | | |
| | | | | 9,371,90 | | | |
| 29 | Attorney general legal services | | | 412,80 | 00 | | |
| 30 | Newborn screening fund - indirect | | | 470 6 | 0.0 | | |
| 31 | costs | | _ | 478,60 | | | |
| 32 | Indirect cost fund | | | 7,153,00 | <u>00</u> | | |
| 33 | Total appropriation and expenditure | | | | | | |
| 34 | authority – administration | \$ | 33 | 3,113,10 | 00 | | |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | | | | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 21 | 1,768,20 | 00 | | |
| 37 | Capital outlay stabilization fund | | 1 | 1,576,10 | 00 | | |
| 38 | Newborn screening program fund | | | 478,60 | 00 | | |
| 39 | Indirect cost fund | | 7 | 7,153,00 | 00 | | |
| 40 | Nursing care institution resident | | | | | | |
| 41 | protection fund | | | 38,00 | 00 | | |
| 42 | Emergency medical services | | | | | | |
| 43 | operating fund | | | 249,50 | 00 | | |
| 44 | Federal child care and development | | | 213,0 | | | |
| 45 | fund block grant | | | 729,00 | 00 | | |
| 46 | Hearing and speech professionals | | | , _ , 0 , | 0.0 | | |
| TU | - 45 - | | | | | | |
| | - 40 - | | | | | | |

16

17

18

19

| 1 | fund | 296,200 | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Tobacco tax and health care fund - | | | | | | |
| 3 | medically needy account | 200,000 | | | | | |
| 4 | Expenditure authority | 624,500 | | | | | |
| 5 | Performance measures: | | | | | | |
| 6 | Per cent of relicensure surveys completed | | | | | | |
| 7 | on time | | | | | | |
| 8 | Child care facilities | 75 | | | | | |
| 9 | Health care facilities | 50 | | | | | |
| 10 | Per cent of complaint investigations initiated | | | | | | |
| 11 | later than investigative guidelines | | | | | | |
| 12 | Child care facilities | 5 | | | | | |
| 13 | Health care facilities | 25 | | | | | |
| 14 | The department of health services shall report | to the jo | | | | | |

The department of health services shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by August 15, 2005 on an expenditure plan to use \$200,000 in additional funding to reduce licensure backlogs in health care facilities. The department shall further report to the committee by June 30, 2006 on the outcome of these efforts to reduce health care licensure backlogs.

<u>Public health</u>

| 10 | Tabile Health | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| 20 | FTE positions | 240.5 |
| 21 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 5,706,300 |
| 22 | AIDS reporting and surveillance | 1,125,000 |
| 23 | Alzheimer disease research | 2,000,000 |
| 24 | Alzheimer's research - biotechnology | 3,000,000 |
| 25 | Arizona statewide immunization | |
| 26 | information system | 460,900 |
| 27 | Community health centers | 10,412,300 |
| 28 | County public health | 200,000 |
| 29 | County tuberculosis provider | |
| 30 | care and control | 1,010,500 |
| 31 | Diabetes prevention and control | 100,000 |
| 32 | Direct grants | 460,300 |
| 33 | EMS operations | 2,145,100 |
| 34 | Hepatitis C surveillance | 360,900 |
| 35 | Kidney program | 50,500 |
| 36 | Laboratory services | 3,902,200 |
| 37 | Loan repayment | 250,000 |
| 38 | Poison control center funding | 925,000 |
| 39 | Reimbursement to counties | 67,900 |
| 40 | Renal and nonrenal disease | |
| 41 | management | 468,000 |
| 42 | Scorpion antivenom | 150,000 |
| 43 | STD control subventions | 26,300 |
| 44 | Telemedicine | 260,000 |
| 45 | Trauma advisory board | 360,400 |

| 1 | University of Arizona poison | | |
|----|---|----|------------|
| 2 | control center funding | | 1,275,000 |
| 3 | Vaccines | _ | 3.016.100 |
| 4 | Total - public health | \$ | 37,732,700 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | | |
| 6 | State general fund | \$ | 27,137,700 |
| 7 | Arizona medical board fund | | 100,000 |
| 8 | Emergency medical services | | |
| 9 | operating fund | | 3,434,700 |
| 10 | Environmental laboratory licensure | | |
| 11 | revolving fund | | 860,300 |
| 12 | Health research fund | | 1,000,000 |
| 13 | Poison control fund | | 2,200,000 |
| 14 | Tobacco tax and health care fund | | |
| 15 | medically needy account | | 3,000,000 |
| 16 | Performance measures: | | |
| 17 | Immunization rate among 2-year-old children | | 80 |
| 18 | Per cent of high school youth who smoked | | |
| 19 | in the last month | | 18 |
| 20 | Customer waiting time in vital records | | |
| 21 | lobby (in minutes) | | 20 |

Of the \$10,412,300 appropriated for community health centers, \$744,000 shall be distributed as follows for county primary care programs: Pinal county, \$180,000; Yavapai county, \$564,000.

The department of health services may use up to four per cent of the amounts appropriated for renal and nonrenal disease management, community health centers and telemedicine for the administrative costs to implement each program.

No monies appropriated for AIDS reporting and surveillance and renal and nonrenal disease management may be spent for services for persons who are not residents of this state.

The department of health services shall require the screening of potential recipients of vaccines for private insurance coverage, eligibility for the federal vaccines for children program and eligibility for the state children's health insurance program. This requirement applies to vaccines purchased with state monies appropriated for the vaccines special line item for both the federal 317 program and the state-only immunization program.

The department of health services shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by February 1, 2006 on the amount of federal monies received for fiscal year 2005-2006 for the 317 vaccines program.

- 47 -

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

The appropriation for direct grants is to provide for local health work and a portion of the cost of employing 1 public health nurse and 1 sanitarian in counties with populations of less than 500,000 persons. The monies are to be divided equally among eligible counties on a nonmatching basis. monies that are received by a county under this appropriation and that are not used for the prescribed purposes revert to the state general fund.

The \$67,900 appropriated for reimbursement to counties is to provide matching monies to counties with populations of less than 500,000 persons for local health work on an equal matching basis and shall be distributed based on the proportion of funding each county received in fiscal year 2002-2003.

The \$200,000 appropriated for county public health shall be distributed as follows to the following counties to reimburse local health departments pursuant to section 36-189. Arizona Revised Statutes: Coconino, \$36,220; Gila, \$5,440; Mohave, \$30,780; Yavapai, \$25,820; Yuma, \$101,740.

The department of health services shall charge a copay of \$10 per child for immunizations administered under the vaccines special line item.

The \$3,000,000 appropriated for Alzheimer's research - biotechnology shall only be expended for research on Alzheimer's disease conducted by a nonprofit medical research foundation in this state that specializes in biotechnology and that collaborates with universities, biotechnology and health science research centers and other public and private biotechnology businesses in the state.

Family health

| 23 | <u>Family health</u> | |
|----|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| 24 | FTE positions | 89.8 |
| 25 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 5,219,300 |
| 26 | Abstinence funding | 1,000,000 |
| 27 | Adult cystic fibrosis | 105,200 |
| 28 | Adult sickle cell anemia | 33,000 |
| 29 | AHCCCS-children's rehabilitative | |
| 30 | services | 53,934,900 |
| 31 | Breast and cervical cancer screening | 1,090,000 |
| 32 | Child fatality review team | 100,000 |
| 33 | Children's rehabilitative services | 3,587,000 |
| 34 | County nutrition services | 330,300 |
| 35 | County prenatal services grant | 1,148,500 |
| 36 | Folic acid | 200,000 |
| 37 | Health start | 226,600 |
| 38 | High risk perinatal services | 3,630,600 |
| 39 | Medicaid special exemption payments | 1,176,900 |
| 40 | Newborn screening program | 3,205,100 |
| 41 | Total appropriation and expenditure | |
| 42 | authority – family health | \$ 74,987,400 |
| 43 | Fund sources: | |
| 44 | State general fund | \$ 32,126,000 |
| 45 | Child fatality review fund | 100,000 |
| 46 | Emergency medical services | |

- 48 -

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1 | operating fund | 450,000 |
|---|---|------------|
| 2 | Newborn screening program fund | 3,205,100 |
| 3 | Tobacco tax and health care fund - | |
| 4 | medically needy account | 200,000 |
| 5 | Expenditure authority | 38,906,300 |
| 6 | Performance measures: | |
| 7 | Number of newborns screened under newborn | |
| 8 | screening program | 92,500 |

The amounts appropriated for children's rehabilitative services and for AHCCCS-children's rehabilitative services are intended to cover all costs in full for contracts for the provision of services to clients, unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of health services may transfer up to \$350,000 in revenues from the indirect cost fund to the Arizona health care cost containment system for the purpose of meeting indirect cost state match requirements related to AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative services program.

Of the \$3,630,600 appropriated for high risk perinatal services \$583,000 shall be distributed to counties.

The department of health services shall distribute all monies appropriated for the county prenatal services grant on a pass-through basis with consideration to population, need and amount received in prior years.

Rehavioral health

| 22 | Behavioral health | |
|----|------------------------------------|--------------|
| 23 | FTE positions | 122.0 |
| 24 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 8,656,300 |
| 25 | Arnold v. Sarn | 37,696,400 |
| 26 | Children's behavioral health | |
| 27 | services | 9,351,800 |
| 28 | Children's behavioral health state | |
| 29 | match for title XIX | 265,932,200 |
| 30 | Court monitoring | 197,500 |
| 31 | Medicaid special exemption | |
| 32 | payments | 15,850,300 |
| 33 | Medicare clawback payments | - 0 - |
| 34 | Mental health and substance abuse | |
| 35 | state match for title XIX | 80,839,300 |
| 36 | Mental health nontitle XIX | 2,447,300 |
| 37 | Proposition 204 - administration | 6,126,500 |
| 38 | Proposition 204 - children's | |
| 39 | behavioral health services | 3,146,900 |
| 40 | Proposition 204 - general mental | |
| 41 | health and substance abuse | 75,592,500 |
| 42 | Proposition 204 - seriously | |
| 43 | mentally ill services | 158,811,500 |
| 44 | Seriously emotionally handicapped | |
| 45 | children | 500,000 |

- 49 -

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

45

46

| 1 | Seriously mentally ill nontitle | |
|----|--|------------------|
| 2 | XIX | 61,116,700 |
| 3 | Seriously mentally ill state match |) |
| 4 | for title XIX | 161,122,000 |
| 5 | Substance abuse nontitle XIX | 14.635.400 |
| 6 | Total appropriation and expenditure | |
| 7 | authority – behavioral health | \$902,022,600 |
| 8 | Fund sources: | |
| 9 | State general fund | \$339,475,400 |
| 10 | Tobacco tax and health care fund | |
| 11 | medically needy account | 30,424,800 |
| 12 | Substance abuse services fund | 2,500,000 |
| 13 | Expenditure authority | 529,622,400 |
| 14 | Performance measures: | |
| 15 | Per cent of RBHA title XIX clients | |
| 16 | satisfied with services | 90 |
| 17 | Per cent of title XIX population that is | |
| 18 | enrolled in a behavioral health service | 11.5 |
| 19 | The amount appropriated for children's | behavioral healt |

The amount appropriated for children's behavioral health services shall be used to provide services for nontitle XIX eligible children. The amount shall not be used to pay for either federally or nonfederally reimbursed services for title XIX eligible children, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

On a monthly basis, the department shall provide information to the joint legislative budget committee by program for all populations on the number of new and nontitle XIX clients reviewed for title XIX eligibility under proposition 204 as well as the number that convert from nontitle XIX status or that are newly enrolled.

It is the intent of the legislature that the total amount available in the Arnold v. Sarn special line item be used for the population covered by the <u>Arnold v. Sarn</u> lawsuit in counties with a population of over two million or more persons and for seriously mentally ill persons that meet the same criteria as those covered by the Arnold v. Sarn lawsuit in counties with populations of less than two million persons.

It is the intent of the legislature that the per cent attributable to administration/profit for the regional behavioral health authority in Maricopa county is nine per cent of the overall capitation rate.

Arizona state hospital

| | 876.7 |
|----|------------|
| \$ | 43,924,700 |
| | 6,704,800 |
| | 3,564,600 |
| _ | 9,999,100 |
| \$ | 64,193,200 |
| | |
| \$ | 51,978,600 |
| | \$ |

- 50 -

| 1 | Arizona state hospital fund | 11,864,600 |
|---|---|------------|
| 2 | ASH land earnings fund | 350,000 |
| 3 | Performance measures: | |
| 4 | Per cent of adult clients successfully | |
| 5 | placed in community who return for | |
| 6 | another stay within 1 year of discharge | 5.0 |

The department of health services shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by the end of each calendar quarter in fiscal year 2005-2006 on expenditures made out of the corrective action plan special line item. The report shall include, at a minimum, monies expended to fill vacant registered nurse positions, for the hiring of critical positions, to fund registered nurse counteroffers, for increased drug costs and for maintenance of the state hospital. The report shall also indicate how these expenditures have helped to address items related to the state hospital's corrective action plan.

| 17 | Total | appropriation and expenditure | | |
|----|-------|---|------|---------------|
| 18 | | authority - department of | | |
| 19 | | health services | \$1. | ,112,049,000 |
| 20 | | Fund sources: | | |
| 21 | | State general fund | \$ | 472,485,900 |
| 22 | | Arizona medical board fund | | 100,000 |
| 23 | | Arizona state hospital fund | | 11,864,600 |
| 24 | | ASH land earnings fund | | 350,000 |
| 25 | | Capital outlay stabilization fund | | 1,576,100 |
| 26 | | Child fatality review fund | | 100,000 |
| 27 | | Emergency medical services | | |
| 28 | | operating fund | | 4,134,200 |
| 29 | | Environmental laboratory licensure | | |
| 30 | | revolving fund | | 860,300 |
| 31 | | Federal child care and development | | |
| 32 | | block grant | | 729,000 |
| 33 | | Health research fund | | 1,000,000 |
| 34 | | Hearing and speech professionals for | und | 296,200 |
| 35 | | Indirect cost fund | | 7,153,000 |
| 36 | | Newborn screening program fund | | 3,683,700 |
| 37 | | Nursing care institution resident | | |
| 38 | | protection fund | | 38,000 |
| 39 | | Poison control fund | | 2,200,000 |
| 40 | | Substance abuse services fund | | 2,500,000 |
| 41 | | Tobacco tax and health care fund | | |
| 42 | | medically needy account | | 33,824,800 |
| 43 | | Expenditure authority | | 569,153,200 |
| 44 | | The department shall report to the join | ıt ' | legislative b |

The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by March 1 of each year on preliminary actuarial estimates of the capitation rate increases for the following fiscal year. Before implementation of any

- 51 -

changes in capitation rates for the AHCCCS-children's rehabilitative services special line item and any title XIX behavioral health line items, the department of health services shall report its expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. Unless required for compliance with federal law, before the department implements any changes in policy affecting the amount, sufficiency, duration and scope of health care services and who may provide services, the department shall prepare a fiscal impact analysis on the potential effects of this change on the following year's capitation rates. If the fiscal analysis demonstrates that these changes will result in additional state costs of \$500,000 or greater for a given fiscal year, the department shall submit the policy changes to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The department shall also report quarterly to the joint legislative budget committee itemizing all policy changes with fiscal impacts of less than \$500,000 in state costs.

In addition to the appropriation for the department of health services, earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated to the state hospital in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona.

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the thirtieth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, that may be available to offset these shortfalls, and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, and (3) total expenditure authority of the month and year-to-date for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill nontitle XIX, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health nontitle XIX, substance abuse nontitle XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children and children's rehabilitative services.

Notwithstanding section 35–173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the amounts appropriated for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill nontitle XIX, Arnold v. folic acid, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health nontitle XIX, substance abuse nontitle XIX, mental health and substance abuse state match for title XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children, children's rehabilitative services, AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative services, adult cystic fibrosis, adult sickle cell anemia, high risk perinatal services, county prenatal services grant, community placement treatment, sexually violent persons, county tuberculosis provider care and control, kidney program, county nutrition services, community health centers, vaccines, renal nonrenal disease management, AIDS reporting and surveillance.

- 52 -

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

32

34

35

telemedicine, university of Arizona poison center funding and the poison control center funding shall require review by the joint legislative budget The department may transfer monies between the amounts appropriated for proposition 204 children's behavioral health services, proposition 204 seriously mentally ill services, and proposition 204 general mental health and substance abuse without review by the joint legislative budget committee but may not transfer monies to and from these line items to any other line item except as provided above without review by the joint legislative budget committee. The amounts appropriated for these items shall be used exclusively for contracts for the provision of services to clients unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee or unless otherwise permitted to be expended for administrative costs as specified in this act. Monies shall not be used from these appropriated amounts for any other expenses of the department of health services, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 44. ARIZONA HISTORICAL SOCIETY

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 59.9 | 59.9 |
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,007,000 | \$ 2,007,000 |
| Field services and grants | 80,000 | 80,000 |
| Papago park museum | 2,084,100 | 2,086,200 |
| Total appropriation – Arizona historical | | |
| society | \$ 4,171,100 | \$ 4,173,200 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| State general fund | \$ 3,977,400 | \$ 3,979,500 |
| Capital outlay stabilization fund | 193,700 | 193,700 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Paid number of visitors | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |

Of the \$80,000 appropriation for field services and grants, \$50,000 reverts if the city of Phoenix does not make its agreed upon cash contribution pursuant to the memorandum of understanding executed between the city of Phoenix and the Arizona historical society.

Sec. 45. PRESCOTT HISTORICAL SOCIETY

| 36 | | | 2005-06 | <u> 2006-07</u> |
|----|--|-------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 37 | FTE positions | | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| 38 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 639,100 | \$ 639,100 |
| 39 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 40 | State general fund | \$ | 639,100 | \$ 639,100 |
| 41 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 42 | Paid number of visitors | | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 43 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) | | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| 44 | Sec. 46. BOARD OF HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL EXAM | INERS | | |
| 45 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 46 | FTE positions | | 1.0 | 1.0 |

- 53 -

| 1 2 | Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | \$ | 77,600** | \$ | 78,300 |
|--------|---|----|---|----|-------------------|
| 3 | Board of homeopathic medical | | | | |
| 4 | examiners fund | \$ | 77,600 | \$ | 78,300 |
| 5 | Performance measures: | Ψ | 77,000 | Ψ | 70,500 |
| 6 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 130 | | 130 |
| 7 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 47 | | 47 |
| 8 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 9 | Sec. 47. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING | | 0.0 | | 0.0 |
| 10 | occ. 17. BETAKITEN OF HOOSTKG | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 11 | FTE positions | | 9.0 | | 9.0 |
| 12 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 664,700 | \$ | 654,200 |
| 13 | Fund sources: | • | 001,700 | • | 001,200 |
| 14 | Housing trust fund | \$ | 664,700 | \$ | 654,200 |
| 15 | Performance measures: | • | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | • | 00.,200 |
| 16 | Households assisted into homeownership | | 295 | | 295 |
| 17 | Affordable rental units assisted | | 4,311 | | 4,311 |
| 18 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-7) | | 6.1 | | 6.1 |
| 19 | Sec. 48. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION | | | | |
| 20 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 21 | FTE positions | | 285.0 | | 285.0 |
| 22 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 17,212,300 | \$ | 17,204,000 |
| 23 | Fund sources: | | | | , , |
| 24 | Industrial commission | | | | |
| 25 | administrative fund | \$ | 17,212,300 | \$ | 17,204,000 |
| 26 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 27 | Average number of days to resolve a case | | | | |
| 28 | by the administrative law judge division | | 125 | | 125 |
| 29 | Per cent of workers' compensation claims | | | | |
| 30 | processed within 5 days | | 99 | | 99 |
| 31 | Elevator inspections conducted | | 5,500 | | 5,500 |
| 32 | Customer satisfaction rating for workers' | | | | |
| 33 | compensation program (Scale 1-8) | | 7.0 | | 7.0 |
| 34 | Sec. 49. DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE | | | | |
| 35 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 36 | FTE positions | | 106.5 | | 106.5 |
| 37 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 5,822,100 | \$ | 5,822,100 |
| 38 | Managed care and dental plan | | | | |
| 39 | oversight | | 525,600 | | 525,600 |
| 40 | NCOIL participation | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 41 | Total appropriation - department of insurance | \$ | 6,372,700 | \$ | 6,372,700 |
| 42 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 43 | State general fund | \$ | 6,347,700 | \$ | 6,347,700 |
| 44 | Captive insurance regulatory | | | | |
| 45 | and supervision fund | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |

| Average calendar days to complete a consumer complaint investigation 110 110 Per cent of survey licensees respondents indicating "satisfied" or "better" 92 92 Per cent of consumer services survey respondents indicating "satisfied" or "better" 75 75 Average number of days to issue a license 45 45 45 The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY Supreme court FIE positions 227.6 Poperating lump sum appropriation \$14,391,900 Automation 14,826,900 Count appointed special advocate 3,217,600 Count appointed special advocate 3,217,600 Count appointed special advocate 3,217,600 Foster care review board 2,136,900 Count did nominations and performance review and 48,600 Audicial nominations and performance review board 2,136,900 Audicial nominations and performance review board 3,217,600 Foster care review board 48,600 Total appropriation - supreme court 514,300 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 State aid 5,620,800 Total appropriation - supreme court \$42,719,700 Fund sources: 11,773,100 Fund sources: 11,773,100 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 Confidential intermediary and 5,691,400 Judicial collection enhancement fund 7,774,600 State aid to the courts fund 7,774,600 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 Performance measures: 2 Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 1 | Performance measures: | | |
|--|----|--|---------------|-----|
| 4 Per cent of survey licensees respondents 5 indicating "satisfied" or "better" 92 92 6 Per cent of consumer services survey respondents indicating "satisfied" 75 75 8 or "better" 75 75 9 Average number of days to issue a license 45 45 10 The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. 12 Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY 14 2005-06 15 Supreme court 2005-06 16 FIE positions 227.6 17 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 14,391,900 18 Automation 14,826,900 20 Court appointed special advocate 3,217,600 21 Domestic relations 713,900 22 Foster care review board 2,136,900 23 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 24 Judicial nominations and performance review 284,300 25 performan | 2 | Average calendar days to complete a | | |
| findicating "satisfied" or "better" Per cent of consumer services survey respondents indicating "satisfied" or "better" To "better" Average number of days to issue a license The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY Supreme court FIE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Automation Automation County reimbursements County reimbursements County reimbursements Foster care review board County relations Foster care review board Commission on judicial conduct Judicial nominations and Foster care review Addel court Fuel state aid to courts Fuel state aid to courts Fuel state aid to courts Fuel state aid Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Court appointed special advo | 3 | consumer complaint investigation | 110 | 110 |
| findicating "satisfied" or "better" Per cent of consumer services survey respondents indicating "satisfied" or "better" To "better" Average number of days to issue a license The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY Supreme court FIE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Automation Automation County reimbursements County reimbursements County reimbursements Foster care review board County relations Foster care review board Commission on judicial conduct Judicial nominations and Foster care review Addel court Fuel state aid to courts Fuel state aid to courts Fuel state aid to courts Fuel state aid Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Court appointed special advo | 4 | Per cent of survey licensees respondents | | |
| 6 Per cent of consumer services survey 7 respondents indicating "satisfied" 8 or "better" 75 75 9 Average number of days to issue a license 45 45 10 The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item 11 is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance 12 legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY 14 2005-06 15 Supreme court 16 FTE positions 227.6 17 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 14,391,900 18 Automation 14,826,900 19 County reimbursements 246,000 20 County appointed special advocate 3,217,600 21 Domestic relations 713,900 22 Foster care review board 2,136,900 23 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 24 Judicial nominations and 284,300 25 performance review 284,300 26 Mo | | | 92 | 92 |
| respondents indicating "satisfied" or "better" Average number of days to issue a license The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY Supreme court FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Automation County reimbursements County reimbursement County reimbursements County reimbursement Coun | | ~ | | |
| 8 or "better" 75 75 9 Average number of days to issue a license 45 45 10 The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item 11 is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance 12 legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY 14 2005-06 Supreme court 227.6 15 Supreme court 227.6 227.6 17 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 14,391,900 44,826,900 18 Automation 14,826,900 44,826,900 20 County reimbursements 246,000 246,000 21 Domestic relations 713,900 713,900 22 Foster care review board 2,136,900 24,136,900 23 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 348,600 24 Judicial nominations and 284,300 48,600 25 performance review 284,300 56,20,800 27 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 42,719,700 | | | | |
| Average number of days to issue a license The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY Supreme court FTE positions County reimbursements County reimbursement Count | | | 75 | 75 |
| The \$25,000 appropriated in the NCOIL participation special line item is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY Supreme court FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Ocounty reimbursements Ocourt appointed special advocate Ocourt appointed special advocate Ocommission on judicial conduct Ocommission on judicial ocourts Ocommis | | | | |
| is for the costs of participating in the national conference of insurance legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY | | | | |
| 12 legislators. Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY | | | | |
| Sec. 50. ARIZONA JUDICIARY 2005-06 | | | | |
| 14 | | • | | |
| 15 | | | 2005-06 | |
| 16 FTE positions 227.6 17 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 14,391,900 18 Automation 14,826,900 19 County reimbursements 246,000 20 Court appointed special advocate 3,217,600 21 Domestic relations 713,900 22 Foster care review board 2,136,900 23 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 24 Judicial nominations and 284,300 25 performance review 284,300 26 Model court 514,300 27 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 28 State aid 5,620,800 29 Total appropriation - supreme court \$ 42,719,700 30 Fund sources: \$ 11,773,100 31 State general fund 476,900 32 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 33 fiduciary fund 4,467,600 34 Court appointed special advocate fund 5,691,400 35 | | Supreme court | | |
| 17 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 14,391,900 18 Automation 14,826,900 19 County reimbursements 246,000 20 Court appointed special advocate 3,217,600 21 Domestic relations 713,900 22 Foster care review board 2,136,900 23 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 24 Judicial nominations and 284,300 25 performance review 284,300 26 Model court 514,300 27 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 28 State aid | | | 227.6 | |
| 18 Automation 14,826,900 19 County reimbursements 246,000 20 Court appointed special advocate 3,217,600 21 Domestic relations 713,900 22 Foster care review board 2,136,900 23 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 24 Judicial nominations and 284,300 25 performance review 284,300 26 Model court 514,300 27 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 28 State aid | | · | | |
| County reimbursements 246,000 Court appointed special advocate 3,217,600 Domestic relations 713,900 Foster care review board 2,136,900 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 Judicial nominations and performance review 284,300 Model court 514,300 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 State aid 5.620,800 Total appropriation - supreme court \$42,719,700 Fund sources: State general fund \$11,773,100 Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund 476,900 Court appointed special advocate fund 5,691,400 Criminal justice enhancement fund 3,091,800 Fund State aid to the courts fund 14,774,600 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Court appointed special advocate Domestic relations Commission on judicial conduct Judicial nominations and performance review Model court Rural state aid to courts State aid State general fund Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Criminal justice enhancement fund Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund State aid to the courts fund Customer satisfaction rating for defensive 3, 217,600 713,900 713,900 22,136,900 24,136,900 24,4300 28,4300 28,4300 29,444,300 28,4300 29,444,300 28,4300 29,444,300 20,136,900 20,137,600 20,136,900 21,136,900 22,136,900 24,43,000 24,44,300 24,44,300 24,444,300 24,444,300 24,444,300 | | | | |
| Domestic relations 713,900 Foster care review board 2,136,900 Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 Judicial nominations and performance review 284,300 Model court 514,300 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 State aid 5,620,800 Total appropriation - supreme court 42,719,700 Fund sources: State general fund \$11,773,100 Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund 476,900 Court appointed special advocate fund 3,091,800 Criminal justice enhancement fund 5,691,400 Judicial collection enhancement fund 5,691,400 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | | | |
| Commission on judicial conduct 348,600 Z4 Judicial nominations and Z5 performance review 284,300 Z6 Model court 514,300 Z7 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 Z8 State aid 5,620,800 Z9 Total appropriation - supreme court \$42,719,700 Z0 Fund sources: Z1 State general fund \$11,773,100 Z2 Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund 476,900 Z4 Court appointed special advocate fund 5,691,400 Z6 Criminal justice enhancement fund 3,091,800 Z7 Fund Sources: Z1 State general fund 5,691,400 Z2 Confidential intermediary and 4,467,600 Z3 Fund 5,691,400 Z4 Criminal justice enhancement fund 5,691,400 Z5 Fund 6,774,600 Z5 Fund 7,774,600 Z5 Fund 7,77 | | , , | | |
| Commission on judicial conduct Judicial nominations and performance review Rural state aid to courts State aid Total appropriation - supreme court State general fund Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Criminal justice enhancement fund Judicial collection enhancement fund State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | | | |
| Judicial nominations and performance review Model court S14,300 Rural state aid to courts State aid Total appropriation - supreme court State general fund Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Criminal justice enhancement fund Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | | | |
| 25 performance review 284,300 26 Model court 514,300 27 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 28 State aid 5,620,800 29 Total appropriation - supreme court \$ 42,719,700 30 Fund sources: 31 31 State general fund \$ 11,773,100 32 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 34 Court appointed special advocate 4,467,600 35 fund 4,467,600 36 Criminal justice enhancement fund 3,091,800 37 Defensive driving school fund 5,691,400 38 Judicial collection enhancement 14,774,600 40 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 41 Performance measures: 42 Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | | · | |
| 26 Model court 514,300 27 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 28 State aid 5.620,800 29 Total appropriation - supreme court \$ 42,719,700 30 Fund sources: \$ 11,773,100 31 State general fund \$ 11,773,100 32 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 34 Court appointed special advocate 4,467,600 35 fund 4,467,600 36 Criminal justice enhancement fund 3,091,800 37 Defensive driving school fund 5,691,400 38 Judicial collection enhancement 14,774,600 40 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 41 Performance measures: 42 Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | | 284.300 | |
| 27 Rural state aid to courts 418,500 28 State aid 5.620.800 29 Total appropriation - supreme court \$ 42,719,700 30 Fund sources: \$ 11,773,100 31 State general fund \$ 11,773,100 32 Confidential intermediary and 476,900 34 Court appointed special advocate 4,467,600 35 fund 4,467,600 36 Criminal justice enhancement fund 3,091,800 37 Defensive driving school fund 5,691,400 38 Judicial collection enhancement 14,774,600 40 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 41 Performance measures: 42 42 Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | · | | |
| 28 State aid | | | | |
| Total appropriation - supreme court Fund sources: State general fund Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Criminal justice enhancement fund Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | | | |
| Fund sources: State general fund \$ 11,773,100 Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund 476,900 Court appointed special advocate fund 5,600 Criminal justice enhancement fund 3,091,800 Defensive driving school fund 5,691,400 Judicial collection enhancement fund 5,691,400 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | Total appropriation - supreme court | <u></u> | |
| Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund 476,900 Court appointed special advocate fund 4,467,600 Criminal justice enhancement fund Ferformance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 30 | | | |
| Confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Criminal justice enhancement fund Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 31 | State general fund | \$ 11,773,100 | |
| fiduciary fund Court appointed special advocate fund Criminal justice enhancement fund Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 32 | | | |
| Court appointed special advocate fund Criminal justice enhancement fund Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 33 | | 476,900 | |
| fund 4,467,600 Criminal justice enhancement fund 3,091,800 Defensive driving school fund 5,691,400 Judicial collection enhancement fund 14,774,600 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | | | |
| Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund 14,774,600 State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 35 | | 4,467,600 | |
| Defensive driving school fund Judicial collection enhancement fund 14,774,600 State aid to the courts fund Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 36 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 3,091,800 | |
| fund 14,774,600 State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 37 | | 5,691,400 | |
| State aid to the courts fund 2,444,300 Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 38 | Judicial collection enhancement | | |
| Performance measures: Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 39 | fund | 14,774,600 | |
| 42 Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | 40 | State aid to the courts fund | 2,444,300 | |
| · | 41 | Performance measures: | | |
| 40 1 1 (0 1 1 0) | 42 | Customer satisfaction rating for defensive | | |
| 43 ariving schools (Scale 1-8) /.6 | 43 | driving schools (Scale 1–8) | 7.6 | |

By September 1, 2005, the supreme court shall report to the joint legislative budget committee on current and future automation projects coordinated by the administrative office of the courts. The report shall include a list of court automation projects that receive state monies and shall include projects occurring in the current fiscal year, as well as projects planned for the next two fiscal years. The report shall provide a description of each project as well as the funding source, estimated cost for each fiscal year, number of FTE positions, the entities involved, and the goals and anticipated results for each automation project. The report shall be submitted in one summary document.

Included in the appropriation for the supreme court program is \$1,000 for the purchase of mementos and items for visiting officials.

By November 1, 2005, the administrative office of the courts shall report to the joint legislative budget committee on the total receipts and expenditures in each account of the adult probation services fund established by section 12-267, Arizona Revised Statutes, and the juvenile probation fund established by section 12-268, Arizona Revised Statutes. The report shall present the information by county and include the amount of personal services expended from each revenue source of each account.

All case processing assistance fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$3,091,800 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any case processing assistance fund receipts in excess of \$3,091,800 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All defensive driving school fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,691,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any defensive driving school fund receipts in excess of \$5,691,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Notwithstanding any other law, the amount appropriated for rural state aid to courts shall be allocated to counties with populations of less than 500,000 persons.

All judicial collection enhancement fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$14,774,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of judicial collection enhancement fund receipts in excess of \$14,774,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

The administrative office of the courts shall not transfer monies between the supreme court operating budget and the automation line item without review by the joint legislative budget committee.

- 56 -

```
1
           Court of appeals
               FTE positions
                                                            140.8
 3
           Division I
                                                     $ 7,981,200
 4
           Performance measures:
 5
     Customer satisfaction rating for
 6
                                                              6.8
        settlement program (Scale 1-8)
 7
           Division II
                                                       3,706,700
 8
           Performance measures:
 9
     Customer satisfaction rating for
        settlement program (Scale 1-8)
10
                                                              7.6
11
     Total appropriation - court of appeals
                                                     $ 11,687,900
           Fund sources:
12
13
               State general fund
                                                     $ 11,687,900
14
           Of the 140.8 full-time equivalent positions for fiscal year 2005-2006,
15
     102.8 FTE positions are for Division I and 38.0 FTE positions are for
16
     Division II.
17
           Superior court
18
                                                            202.3
               FTE positions
19
               Judges compensation
                                                     $ 15,035,900
20
               Adult standard probation
                                                       11,453,200
21
               Adult intensive probation
                                                       10,168,200
22
               Community punishment
                                                        2,743,400
23
               Interstate compact
                                                          570,200
24
               Juvenile standard probation
                                                        7,639,000
25
               Juvenile intensive probation
                                                       13,241,200
26
               Juvenile treatment services
                                                       22,101,400
27
               Juvenile family counseling
                                                          660,400
28
               Juvenile crime reduction
                                                        5,153,000
29
               Progressively increasing
30
                 consequences
                                                        9,391,900
31
               Special water master
                                                           20,000
32
     Total appropriation - superior court
                                                     $ 98,177,800
33
           Fund sources:
34
                                                     $ 90,694,400
               State general fund
35
               Criminal justice enhancement fund
                                                        6,983,400
36
               Drug treatment and education fund
                                                          500,000
37
           Performance measures:
38
     Customer satisfaction rating by states
39
        participating in the interstate compact
40
        (Scale 1-8)
                                                              7.4
41
     Juvenile standard probation:
42
     Per cent of probationers successfully
43
        completing probation without a referral
                                                               80
44
        (a notice of misbehavior)
45
     Juvenile intensive probation (JIPS):
     Per cent of probationers successfully
46
```

- 57 -

completing probation without a referral (a notice of misbehavior) Adult standard probation: Per cent of probationers successfully completing probation without a new conviction Adult intensive probation (AIPS): Per cent of probationers successfully completing probation without a new conviction

Of the 202.3 FTE positions, 161 FTE positions represent superior court judges. One-half of their salaries are provided by state general fund appropriations pursuant to section 12-128, Arizona Revised Statutes. This is not meant to limit the counties' ability to add additional judges pursuant to section 12-121, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Up to 4.6 per cent of the amounts appropriated for juvenile probation services - treatment services and progressively increasing consequences may be retained and expended by the supreme court to administer the programs established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes, and to conduct evaluations as needed. The remaining portion of the treatment services and progressively increasing consequences programs shall be deposited in the juvenile probation services fund established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Receipt of state probation monies by the counties is contingent on the county maintenance of fiscal year 2003-2004 expenditure levels for each probation program. State probation monies are not intended to supplant county dollars for probation programs.

All community punishment program receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$2,743,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the community punishment line item. Before the expenditure of any community punishment receipts in excess of \$2,743,400 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All juvenile crime reduction fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,153,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are appropriated to the juvenile crime reduction line item. Before the expenditure of any juvenile crime reduction fund receipts in excess of \$5,153,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

The administrative office of the courts shall not allocate any monies appropriated for adult probation services to Maricopa county. It is the intent of the legislature that Maricopa county will pay for adult probation programs in that county.

- 58 -

| 1 | | |
|-----|---|-------------------|
| 2 | Total appropriation – Arizona judiciary | \$152,585,400 |
| 3 | Fund sources: | |
| 4 | State general fund | \$114,155,400 |
| 5 | Confidential intermediary and | |
| 6 | fiduciary fund | 476,900 |
| 7 | Court appointed special advocate | |
| 8 | fund | 4,467,600 |
| 9 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 10,075,200 |
| 10 | Defensive driving school fund | 5,691,400 |
| 11 | Drug treatment and education fund | 500,000 |
| 12 | Judicial collection enhancement | |
| 13 | fund | 14,774,600 |
| 14 | State aid to the courts fund | 2,444,300 |
| 15 | Sec. 51. DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE CORRECTIONS | |
| 16 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
| 17 | FTE positions | 1,029.2 |
| 18 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 70,009,700 |
| 19 | Fund sources: | |
| 20 | State general fund | \$ 63,840,300 |
| 21 | State charitable, penal and | |
| 22 | reformatory institutions | |
| 23 | land fund | 3,360,000 |
| 24 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 585,300 |
| 25 | State education fund for committed | |
| 26 | youth | 2,224,100 |
| 27 | Performance measures: | |
| 28 | Escapes from DJC secure care facilities | 0 |
| 29 | Juveniles passing the GED language test | 92 |
| 30 | Per cent of juveniles who show progress in | |
| 31 | their primary treatment problem area | 80 |
| 32 | Per cent of juveniles returned to custody | |
| 33 | within 12 months of release | 18 |
| ~ . | TI 3 | |

The lump sum appropriation of \$70,009,700 includes \$1,500,000 from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund for operating expenses related to a federal audit and \$1,500,000 from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund for education, health and safety renovation projects. Of the \$1,500,000 for renovation projects, the department may expend up to \$567,000 for a vocational education facility at the Black Canyon campus.

The department shall provide a travel stipend to all southwest regional juvenile correction complex staff whose residence is at least twenty miles from work.

- 59 -

Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and interest from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund shall be distributed to the department of juvenile corrections, in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and with the Constitution of Arizona, to be used for the support of state juvenile institutions and reformatories.

Before the expenditure of any state education fund for committed youth receipts in excess of \$2,224,100, the department of juvenile corrections shall report the intended use of the monies to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 52. STATE LAND DEPARTMENT

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|---|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 206.4 |
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 16,455,300 |
| Natural resource conservation | |
| districts | 446,100 |
| Environmental county grants | 125,000 |
| CAP user fees | 1,859,300 |
| Inmate fire crews | 1,154,800 |
| Total appropriation - state land department | \$ 20,040,500 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 19,454,800 |
| Environmental special plate fund | 266,100 |
| ADOA risk management fund | 319,600 |
| Performance measures: | |
| Average land sales processing time | |
| (application to auction, in months) | 23.1 |
| Per cent of customers giving the department | |
| a rating above 4 (5 = very satisfied) | 90 |
| Total trust generated | \$333,300,000 |

The appropriation includes \$1,859,300 for central Arizona project user fees in fiscal year 2005-2006. For every dollar received as reimbursement to the state in fiscal year 2005-2006, from cities that assume their allocation of central Arizona project water for past central Arizona water conservation district payments, one dollar reverts to the state general fund in the year that the reimbursement is collected.

Of the amount appropriated for natural resource conservation districts in fiscal year 2005-2006, \$30,000 shall be used to provide grants to NRCD environmental education centers.

Of the operating lump sum appropriation, the sum of \$3,064,900 supplements current planning and disposition funding and shall be used by the state land department for the sole purpose of the planning and disposition of state trust land as follows:

- 1. The employment of outside professional services.
- 2. Two full-time equivalent employment positions for the planning and disposition of state trust land located within five miles of the corporate

- 60 -

boundaries of incorporated cities and towns having a population of less than one hundred thousand persons.

- 3. The state land commissioner shall provide for the disposition of state trust land in the most expeditious manner consistent with the fiduciary duties prescribed by the Enabling Act and the Constitution of Arizona. Each calendar quarter the commissioner shall provide a report to the joint legislative budget committee on the planning, sale and lease of trust land in the preceding quarter. The report shall:
- (a) Identify the number of acres that were added to a conceptual plan, added to a master plan, otherwise planned or prepared for disposition, sale and long-term commercial lease.
- (b) Include an analysis of the volume of trust land in each county entering the real estate market in comparison with private and other land in the real estate market.
 - (c) Distinguish between urban and rural parcels.
 - (d) Indicate the value added or revenue received.
- (e) List the resources used and dedicated to trust land planning and disposition, including full-time equivalent positions, contracts and appropriated and nonappropriated expenditures for each of the items included in the report.
- (f) Include in the report for the final quarter of the fiscal year a fiscal year-end inventory of trust land prepared for sale, including the appraised value and fiscal year-end totals for the fiscal year regarding:
 - (i) The amount of trust land sold.
 - (ii) The amount of trust land put under long-term commercial lease.
 - (iii) The amount of revenue collected from the sale of trust land.
- (iv) The amount of revenue collected from the long-term commercial lease of trust land.
- (v) The amount of purchase price financed on installment sales of state trust land and the principal payoff terms and anticipated yield to the trust over the terms of the installment sales.
- Sec. 53. LAW ENFORCEMENT MERIT SYSTEM COUNCIL

| | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | 2006-07 |
|------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | |
| FTE positions | | 1.0 | | 1.0 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 69,200 | \$ | 66,600 |
| Fund sources: | | | | |
| State general fund | \$ | 69,200 | \$ | 66,600 |
| Sec. 54. LEGISLATURE | | | | |
| | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| <u>Senate</u> | | | | |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 7,841,500* | | |
| Fund sources: | | | | |
| State general fund | \$ | 7,841,500 | | |
| | Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Sec. 54. LEGISLATURE Senate Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund \$ Sec. 54. LEGISLATURE Senate Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | FTE positions 1.0 Lump sum appropriation \$ 69,200 Fund sources: State general fund \$ 69,200 Sec. 54. LEGISLATURE 2005-06 Senate Lump sum appropriation \$ 7,841,500* Fund sources: | FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: State general fund Sec. 54. LEGISLATURE Senate Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Senate Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: |

- 61 -

```
1
           Included in the lump sum appropriation of $7,841,500 for fiscal year
     2005-2006 is $1,000 for the purchase of mementos and items for visiting
 3
     officials.
 4
           House of representatives
               Lump sum appropriation
                                                   $ 11.955.500*
 6
           Fund sources:
 7
               State general fund
                                                    $ 11,955,500
 8
           Included in the lump sum appropriation of $11,955,500 for fiscal year
 9
     2005-2006 is $1,000 for the purchase of mementos and items for visiting
10
     officials.
11
           Legislative council
12
               FTE positions
                                                            57.8
13
               Operating lump sum appropriation
                                                     $ 5.656.700*
14
               Ombudsman-citizens aide office
                                                     371,700*
15
           Total appropriation - legislative
               council
16
                                                     $ 6,028,400*
17
           Fund sources:
18
               State general fund
                                                     $ 6,028,400
19
           Performance measures:
20
     Per cent of customers rating accuracy and
21
        timeliness of bill drafting "good" or
        "excellent" based on annual survey
                                                              97
22
23
     Per cent of customers rating accuracy of
24
        computer help desk "good" or "excellent"
25
        based on annual survey
                                                              94
26
     Per cent of investigations completed
27
        within 3 months by office of the
28
       ombudsman-citizens aide
                                                              91
29
           Joint legislative budget committee
30
               FTE positions
                                                            31.0
31
               Lump sum appropriation
                                                     $ 2,501,100*
32
           Fund sources:
33
               State general fund
                                                     $ 2,501,100
34
           Performance measures:
35
     Survey of legislator satisfaction (4=high)
                                                            3.66
     Errors in budget bills
36
                                                               0
37
    Maximum per cent actual revenues vary
38
        from forecasted revenues
                                                           +-3.0
39
     Days to transmit fiscal notes
                                                              14
40
           <u>Auditor general</u>
41
               FTE positions
                                                           184.4
42
               Lump sum appropriation
                                                 $ 12,559,200*
43
           Fund sources:
44
               State general fund
                                                  $ 12,559,200
45
           Performance measures:
     Per cent of single audit recommendations
46
```

- 62 -

| 1 | implemented or adopted within 1 year | | | | |
|----------|---|-------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|
| 2 | for financial audits | | 65 | | |
| 3 | Per cent of administrative recommendations | | | | |
| 4 | implemented or adopted within 2 years for | | | | |
| 5 | performance audits | | 95 | | |
| 6 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.8 | | |
| 7 | Library, archives and public records | | | | |
| 8 | FTE positions | | 114.8 | | |
| 9 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 6,723,900 | | |
| 10 | Grants-in-aid | | 651,400 | | |
| 11 | Statewide radio reading service | | | | |
| 12 | for the blind | | 97,000 | | |
| 13 | Total appropriation - library, | | | | |
| 14 | archives and public records | \$ | 7,472,300* | | |
| 15 | Fund sources: | • | , , , | | |
| 16 | State general fund | \$ | 6,861,200 | | |
| 17 | Records services fund | • | 611,100 | | |
| 18 | Performance measures: | | 011,100 | | |
| 19 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.4 | | |
| 20 | All records services fund receipts, inc | ludir | | fun | d halances |
| 21 | received by the Arizona state library, archiv | | | | |
| 22 | of \$611,100 in fiscal year 2005-2006 are app | | • | | |
| 23 | library, archives and public records. Before | | | | |
| 24 | services fund receipts in excess of \$611,100 | | | | |
| 25 | Arizona state library, archives and public re | | | | |
| 26 | use of the monies for review by the joint le | | | | |
| 27 | Sec. 55. DEPARTMENT OF LIQUOR LICENSES AND | | | COIII | mittee. |
| 28 | Sec. 55. DEPARTMENT OF LIQUOR LICENSES AND | CONT | 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 29 | FTE positions | | 42.2 | | 42.2 |
| 30 | • | ¢ | 2,813,700 | ¢ | |
| | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2,013,700 | \$ | 2,813,700 |
| 31 | Fund sources: | • | 2 012 700 | • | 2 012 700 |
| 32 | State general fund | \$ | 2,813,700 | \$ | 2,813,700 |
| 33 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 34 | Investigations and routine liquor | | 2 000 | | 2 000 |
| 35 | inspections completed | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| 36 | Average calendar days to complete an | | 0.0 | | 0.0 |
| 37 | investigation | | 30 | | 30 |
| 38 | Per cent of customers who responded to | | | | |
| 39 | the survey reporting "very good" or | | | | |
| 40 | "excellent" service | | 86 | | 86 |
| 41 | Sec. 56. ARIZONA STATE LOTTERY COMMISSION | | | | |
| 42 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 43 | FTE positions | | 110.0 | | 110.0 |
| 44 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 7,040,900 | \$ | 6,905,600 |
| 4 F | | | | | |
| 45 46 | Sales incentive program Telecommunications | | 50,000 2,814,400 | | 50,000 2,814,400 |

- 63 -

| 1 | Total appropriation – Arizona state | | |
|----|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2 | lottery commission | \$ 9,905,300 | \$ 9,770,000 |
| 3 | Fund source: | | |
| 4 | State lottery fund | \$ 9,905,300 | \$ 9,770,000 |
| 5 | Performance measures: | | |
| 6 | Increase in on-line sales from prior year | \$ 4,000,000 | \$ 4,000,000 |
| 7 | Increase in instant ticket sales from | | |
| 8 | prior year | \$ 8,000,000 | \$ 8,000,000 |
| 9 | Customer satisfaction rating for retailers | | |
| 10 | (Scale 1-8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| | | | |

An amount equal to 3.6 per cent of actual instant ticket sales is appropriated for the printing of instant tickets or for contractual obligations concerning instant ticket distribution. This amount is currently estimated to be \$7,182,000 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$7,182,000 in 2006-2007.

An amount equal to a percentage of actual on-line game sales as determined by contract is appropriated for payment of on-line vendor fees. This amount is currently estimated to be \$8,348,300 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$8,348,300 in fiscal year 2006-2007 or 4.99 per cent of actual on-line ticket sales.

An amount equal to 2.7 per cent of gross lottery game sales, but no more than \$11,000,000, is appropriated for advertising in accordance with section 5-505, Arizona Revised Statutes, that states that not more than four per cent of the annual gross revenues shall be expended for advertising. This amount is currently estimated to be \$9,903,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$9,903,600 in fiscal year 2006-2007.

An amount equal to 6.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. In accordance with Laws 1997, chapter 214, an additional amount of not to exceed 0.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. The combined amount is currently estimated to be 6.7 per cent of total ticket sales, or \$24,575,600 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and \$24,575,600 in fiscal year 2006-2007.

Sec. 57. ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

| 35 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 36 | FTE positions | 58.5 | 58.5 |
| 37 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 5,150,000** | \$ 5,169,600 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | | |
| 39 | Arizona medical board fund | \$ 5,150,000 | \$ 5,169,600 |

- 64 -

| 1 | Performance measures: | | | | |
|----|--|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|
| 2 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | | | |
| 3 | M.D. | | 150 | | 150 |
| 4 | P.A. | | 165 | | 165 |
| 5 | Per cent of open investigations greater than | | | | |
| 6 | 6 months old | | | | |
| 7 | M.D. | | 20 | | 20 |
| 8 | P.A. | | 24 | | 24 |
| 9 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.7 | | 7.7 |
| 10 | The Arizona medical board may use up to | sev | en per cent | of t | he Arizona |
| 11 | medical board fund balance remaining at the | | • | | |
| 12 | performance based incentive program the foll | | | | |
| 13 | program established by section 38-618, Arizo | | | | |
| 14 | Sec. 58. BOARD OF MEDICAL STUDENT LOANS | | | | |
| 15 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | |
| 16 | Medical student loans | \$ | 296,600 | | |
| 17 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 18 | Arizona medical board fund | \$ | 283,400 | | |
| 19 | Medical student loan fund | | 13,200 | | |
| 20 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 21 | Per cent of physicians meeting service | | | | |
| 22 | requirement | | 81 | | |
| 23 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.4 | | |
| 24 | It is the intent of the Legislature tha | at th | e funding fo | or th | ne board of |
| 25 | medical student loans shall not be from the | Ariz | ona medical | boa | rd fund in |
| 26 | fiscal year 2006-2007. | | | | |
| 27 | Sec. 59. STATE MINE INSPECTOR | | | | |
| 28 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 29 | | | | | |
| 30 | FTE positions | | 17.0 | | 17.0 |
| 31 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,116,200 | \$ | 1,116,200 |
| 32 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 33 | State general fund | \$ | 1,116,200 | \$ | 1,116,200 |
| 34 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 35 | Per cent of mandated inspections completed | | 70 | | 70 |
| 36 | Number of inspections | | 570 | | 570 |
| 37 | Customer satisfaction rating for mines | | | | |
| 38 | (Scale 1-8) | | 7.5 | | 7.5 |
| 39 | Sec. 60. DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERAL RE | SOURC | CES | | |
| 40 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 41 | FTE positions | | 7.0 | | 7.0 |
| 42 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 794,800 | \$ | 794,800 |
| | | | | | |

- 65 -

| 1 | Fund sources: | | | | |
|----|--|-------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ | 794,800 | \$ | 794,800 |
| 3 | Performance measures: | | · | | · |
| 4 | Number of paying visitors | | 7,500 | | 7,500 |
| 5 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-5) | | 4.9 | | 4.9 |
| 6 | Sec. 61. NATUROPATHIC PHYSICIANS BOARD OF MI | EDICA | L EXAMINERS | | |
| 7 | | | 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 8 | FTE positions | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 9 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 497,400** | \$ | 453,900 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 11 | Naturopathic physicians board of | | | | |
| 12 | medical examiners fund | \$ | 497,400 | \$ | 453,900 |
| 13 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 14 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 70 | | 70 |
| 15 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 23 | | 23 |
| 16 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.3 | | 7.3 |
| 17 | Sec. 62. ARIZONA NAVIGABLE STREAM ADJUDICAT | ION C | OMMISSION | | |
| 18 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | |
| 19 | FTE positions | | 2.0 | | |
| 20 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 160,700 | | |
| 21 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 22 | State general fund | \$ | 160,700 | | |
| 23 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 24 | Per cent of Arizona rivers and | | | | |
| 25 | streams adjudicated | | 100 | | |
| 26 | Customer satisfaction rating for hearing | | | | |
| 27 | attendees (Scale 1-8) | | 7.0 | | |
| 28 | Sec. 63. STATE BOARD OF NURSING | | | | |
| 29 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 30 | FTE positions | | 40.2 | | 40.2 |
| 31 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,029,700** | \$ | 3,049,900 |
| 32 | CNA fingerprinting | | 161,400 | | 161,400 |
| 33 | ${\sf Total\ appropriation\ -\ state\ board\ of\ nursing}$ | \$ | 3,191,100 | \$ | 3,211,300 |
| 34 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 35 | State general fund | \$ | 161,400 | \$ | 161,400 |
| 36 | Board of nursing fund | | 3,029,700 | | 3,049,900 |
| 37 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 38 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 200 | | 200 |
| 39 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 5 | | 5 |
| 40 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.2 | | 7.2 |
| 41 | Sec. 64. BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF NURSING CARE | INST | ITUTION ADMIN | IST | RATORS AND |
| 42 | ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY MANAGERS | | | | |
| 43 | | | <u>2005-06</u> | | <u>2006-07</u> |
| 44 | FTE positions | | 5.0 | | 5.0 |
| 45 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 372,700** | \$ | 372,700 |

| 1 | Fund sources: | | | | |
|----|---|--------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| 2 | Nursing care institution | | | | |
| 3 | administrators' licensing and | | | | |
| 4 | assisted living facility | | | | |
| 5 | managers' certification fund | \$ | 372,700 | \$ | 372,700 |
| 6 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 7 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 65 | | 65 |
| 8 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 15 | | 15 |
| 9 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.0 | | 6.0 |
| 10 | The board of examiners of nursing care | insti | tution admin | istr | ators and |
| 11 | assisted living facility managers shall red | :lassi | ify the busi | iness | manager |
| 12 | position to an investigator position by Septe | mber | 1, 2005. | | |
| 13 | The board of examiners of nursing care | insti | tution admin | istr | ators and |
| 14 | assisted living facility managers shall subm | | | | |
| 15 | joint legislative budget committee if any audit | tor ge | eneral follow | w-up | report to |
| 16 | the December, 2004 audit indicates that | any | of the aud | itor | general |
| 17 | recommendations are not being implemented. The | e boai | rd's report s | shall | indicate |
| 18 | reasons why the recommendations have not be | en i | mplemented. | The | e board's |
| 19 | report shall be submitted to the joint legisl | ative | e budget com | mitt | ee within |
| 20 | sixty days of the publication of the auditor | gener | al's follow | -up r | report. |
| 21 | Sec. 65. OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY EXAMINERS BOAR | .D | | · | |
| 22 | | | 2005-06 | | 2006-07 |
| 23 | FTE positions | | 3.0 | | 3.0 |
| 24 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 217,900** | \$ | 217,900 |
| 25 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 26 | Occupational therapy fund | \$ | 217,900 | \$ | 217,900 |
| 27 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 28 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 85 | | 85 |
| 29 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | | | |
| 30 | (from receipt of application to issuance) | | 29 | | 29 |
| 31 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.1 | | 7.1 |
| 32 | Sec. 66. STATE BOARD OF DISPENSING OPTICIANS | | | | |
| 33 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 34 | FTE positions | | 1.0 | | |
| 35 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 92,900 | | |
| 36 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 37 | Board of dispensing opticians fund | \$ | 92,900 | | |
| 38 | Performance measures: | | · | | |
| 39 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 90 | | |
| 40 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 30 | | |
| 41 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.0 | | |
| 42 | Sec. 67. STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY | | | | |
| 43 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 44 | FTE positions | | 2.0 | | 2.0 |
| 45 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 190,100** | \$ | 177,600 |
| 46 | Fund sources: | | - | | • |
| | | | | | |

- 67 -

| 1 | Board of optometry fund | \$ | 190,1 | .00 | \$ | 177,600 |
|--------|---|------|----------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|
| 2 | Performance measures: | | 1 | 6.0 | | 1.00 |
| 3 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 1 | .60 | | 160 |
| 4 5 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 7 | 2 | | 2 |
| 5 6 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 68. OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS BOARD | | / | . 4 | | 7.4 |
| 7 | | | <u> 2005 -</u> | 06 | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 8 | FTE positions | | 5 | 5.5 | | 5.5 |
| 9 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 644,8 | 300** | \$ | 602,000 |
| 10 | Fund sources: | | | | | |
| 11 | Board of osteopathic examiners fund | \$ | 644,8 | 300 | \$ | 602,000 |
| 12 | Performance measures: | | | | | |
| 13 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 3 | 315 | | 315 |
| 14 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | | 15 | | 15 |
| 15 | Average calendar days to process license | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 16 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6 | 5.0 | | 6.0 |
| 17 | Sec. 69. STATE PARKS BOARD | | | | | |
| 18 | | | <u> 2005 -</u> | 06 | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 19 | FTE positions | | 249 | .3 | | 249.3 |
| 20 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 12,784,5 | 00 | \$ | 12,784,500 |
| 21 | Kartchner caverns state park | | 1,654,8 | <u> 800</u> | | 1,654,800 |
| 22 | Total appropriation - Arizona state parks | | | | | |
| 23 | board | \$ | 14,439,3 | 300 | \$ | 14,439,300 |
| 24 | Fund sources: | | | | | |
| 25 | State general fund | \$ | 2,380,5 | 00 | \$ | 2,380,500 |
| 26 | State parks enhancement fund | | 10,505,8 | | | 10,505,800 |
| 27 | Law enforcement and boating | | | | | |
| 28 | safety fund | | 1,092,7 | 00 | | 1,092,700 |
| 29 | Reservation surcharge revolving | | | | | |
| 30 | fund | | 460,3 | 300 | | 460,300 |
| 31 | Performance measures: | | | | | |
| 32 | Annual park attendance | | 2,500,0 | 000 | | 2,500,000 |
| 33 | Per cent of park visitors rating their | | | | | |
| 34 | experience "good" or "excellent" | | | 96 | | 96 |
| 35 | The appropriation for law enforcement an | nd b | oating sa | afety | fui | nd projects |

The appropriation for law enforcement and boating safety fund projects is an estimate representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers during fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. These monies are appropriated to the Arizona state parks board for the purposes established in section 5-383, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the law enforcement and boating safety fund.

All other operating expenditures include \$26,000 from the state parks enhancement fund for Fool Hollow state park revenue sharing. If receipts to Fool Hollow exceed \$260,000 in fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007, an additional ten per cent of this increase of Fool Hollow receipts is appropriated from the state parks enhancement fund to meet the revenue

- 68 -

sharing agreement with the city of Show Low and the United States forest service.

All reservation surcharge revolving fund receipts received by the Arizona state parks board in excess of \$460,300 in fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007 are appropriated to the reservation surcharge revolving fund. Before the expenditure of any reservation surcharge revolving fund monies in excess of \$460,300 in fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007, the Arizona state parks board shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

During fiscal years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007, no appropriated or nonappropriated monies may be used for the purposes of out-of-state travel expenses by state parks board staff.

The state parks board shall submit to the joint legislative budget committee, on a quarterly basis, the operating expenditures of each state park.

Sec. 70. PERSONNEL BOARD

| | <u> 2005-06</u> | 2006-07 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 338,300 | 338,300 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| State general fund | \$ 338,300 | \$ 338,300 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Average number of calendar days from | | |
| receipt of appeal/complaint to final | 105 | 105 |
| Per cent of customers rating service as | | |
| "good" or "excellent" | 92 | 92 |
| Sec. 71. PHARMACY BOARD | | |
| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| FTE positions | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 1,475,300** | \$ 1,414,100 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| Board of pharmacy fund | \$ 1,475,300 | \$ 1,414,100 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 95 | 95 |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| Sec. 72. BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY EXAMINERS | | |
| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| FTE positions | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lump sum appropriation | \$ 268,400** | \$ 268,400 |
| Fund sources: | | |
| Board of physical therapy fund | \$ 268,400 | \$ 268,400 |
| Performance measures: | | |
| Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 130 | 130 |
| Average calendar days to renew a license | 15 | 15 |
| Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 7.0 | 7.0 |

- 69 -

| 1 | Sec. 73. PIONEERS' HOME | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 2 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 3 | FTE positions | | 115.8 | | 115.8 |
| 4 | Personal services | \$ | 3,267,400 | | 3,267,400 |
| 5 | Employee related expenditures | | 1,172,200 | | 1,172,200 |
| 6 | Professional and outside services | | 129,300 | | 129,300 |
| 7 | Travel in-state | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 8 | Other operating expenditures | | 446,800 | | 446,800 |
| 9 | Food | | 202,200 | | 202,200 |
| 10 | Equipment | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 11 | Prescription drugs | | 436,400 | | 436,400 |
| 12 | Total appropriation - pioneers' home | \$ | 5,691,300 | \$ | 5,691,300 |
| 13 | Fund sources: | | | | , |
| 14 | Miners' hospital fund | \$ | 1,468,900 | \$ | 1,468,900 |
| 15 | State charitable fund | | 4,222,400 | | 4,222,400 |
| 16 | Performance measures: | | , , | | |
| 17 | Per cent of residents rating services as | | | | |
| 18 | "good" or "excellent" | | 98 | | 98 |
| 19 | Earnings on state lands and interest on | the ' | investment of | the | permanent |
| 20 | land funds are appropriated for the pioneer | | | | • |
| 21 | disabled miners in compliance with the enabli | | | | • |
| <u> </u> | disabled millers in compliance with the enabli | ıng a | ct and the Co | วทรเ | itution of |
| 22 | Arizona. | ing a | ct and the Co | JIIST | itution of |
| 22 | Arizona. | | | | |
| | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed | its | expenditure | auth | ority for |
| 22 23 24 | Arizona. | its | expenditure | auth | ority for |
| 22 23 24 25 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital | its | expenditure disabled mine | auth | ority for land fund. |
| 22 23 24 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS | its | expenditure | auth | ority for |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions | its | expenditure disabled mine <u>2005-06</u> 1.0 | auth rs' | ority for land fund. 2006-07 1.0 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS | its for | expenditure disabled mine <u>2005-06</u> 1.0 | auth rs' | ority for land fund. |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation | its for | expenditure disabled mine <u>2005-06</u> 1.0 110,900** | auth rs' | ority for land fund. 2006-07 1.0 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: | its for | expenditure disabled mine <u>2005-06</u> 1.0 110,900** | authers' | ority for land fund. 2006-07 1.0 110,900 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: | its for | expenditure disabled mine <u>2005-06</u> 1.0 110,900** | authers' | ority for land fund. 2006-07 1.0 110,900 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | its for | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: | its for | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FIE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Average days to process an application for licensure | its for | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** 110,900 | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 70 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Average days to process an application | its for | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** 110,900 | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 70 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Average days to process an application for licensure Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | its for | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** 110,900 70 120 6.0 | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 70 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Average days to process an application for licensure Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | its for | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** 110,900 | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 70 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Average days to process an application for licensure Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 75. COMMISSION FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION | its for | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 70 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Average days to process an application for licensure Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 75. COMMISSION FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION FTE positions | its for \$ \$ TION | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** 110,900 70 120 6.0 2005-06 5.0 | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 70 |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 | Arizona. The pioneers' home shall not exceed monies appropriated from the miners' hospital Sec. 74. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Podiatry fund Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Average days to process an application for licensure Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 75. COMMISSION FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | its for \$ \$ TION | expenditure disabled mine 2005-06 1.0 110,900** 110,900 70 120 6.0 2005-06 5.0 | authers' | 2006-07 1.0 110,900 70 |

- 70 -

| 1 | Private postsecondary education | |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 2 | student financial assistance | 170 500 |
| 3 | program | 170,500 |
| 4 | Family college savings program | 86,900 |
| 5 | Arizona college and career guide | 21,200 |
| 6 | Arizona minority educational | |
| 7 | policy analysis center | 150,300 |
| 8 | Twelve plus partnership | 119,600 |
| 9 | Total appropriation – commission for | |
| 10 | postsecondary education | \$ 4,259,100 |
| 11 | Fund sources: | |
| 12 | State general fund | \$ 1,391,300 |
| 13 | Postsecondary education fund | 2,867,800 |
| 14 | Performance measures: | |
| 15 | LEAP student grants awarded | 4,000 |
| 16 | Per cent of customers rating commission | |
| 17 | services as "good" or "excellent" | 95 |

The appropriation for leveraging educational assistance partnership is provided to create grants under the Arizona state student incentive grant program administered by the Arizona commission for postsecondary education. Grants may be made according to the provisions of applicable federal and state laws and regulations relating to this program. Only Arizona residents who demonstrate financial need and who are attending, on at least a half-time basis, an approved program at a properly accredited Arizona postsecondary educational institution are eligible for the program.

Each participating institution, public or private, in order to be eligible to receive state matching funds under the state student incentive grant program for grants to students, shall provide an amount of institutional matching funds that equals the amount of funds provided by the state to the institution for the state student incentive grant program. Administrative expenses incurred by the commission for postsecondary education shall be paid from institutional matching funds and shall not exceed twelve per cent of the funds in fiscal year 2005-2006.

For fiscal year 2005-2006, any unencumbered balance remaining in the postsecondary education fund on June 30, 2005 and all grant monies and other revenues received by the commission for postsecondary education during this fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for the explicit purposes designated by special line items and for additional responsibilities prescribed in sections 15-1851 and 15-1852, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Of the total amount appropriated for the private postsecondary education student financial assistance program, no more than ten per cent may be used for program administrative costs.

- 71 -

5

6

7

8

11

17

29

37

39

40

The appropriations for Arizona college and career guide, Arizona 2 minority educational policy analysis center and twelve plus partnership are estimates representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers, during fiscal year 2005-2006. 4 appropriations shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the postsecondary education fund. STATE BOARD FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION 2005-06 2006-07 9 FTE positions 4.0 4.0 \$ 280,900** \$ 289,500 10 Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: 12 Board for private postsecondary 13 education fund \$ 280,900 \$ 289,500 14 Performance measures: 15 Average number of days to pay fund claims 90 90 16 Average days to process student record requests 10 10 Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) 7.8 7.8 18 Sec. 77. STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS 2<u>005-06</u> 19 2006-07 20 FTE positions 4.0 4.0 21 Lump sum appropriation \$ 346,800** \$ 351,700 22 Fund sources: 23 Board of psychologist examiners 24 fund \$ 346,800 \$ 351,700 25 Performance measures: Average calendar days to resolve a complaint 26 92 92 27 Average days to process an application 28 for licensure 38 38 Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) 7.4 7.4 Sec. 78. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY 30 31 2005-06 32 FTE positions 1,901.8 33 Operating lump sum appropriation \$157,087,600 34 4,632,600 35 Statewide interoperability design 1,238,000 36 Sworn officer salary adjustments 3,000,000 Microwave communications upgrade 1,602,200 38 lease-purchase

\$167,560,400

- 72 -

Total appropriation - department of public

safety

| 1 | Fund sources: | |
|----|---|------------|
| 2 | State general fund \$ | 34,516,700 |
| 3 | Highway user revenue fund | 59,618,700 |
| 4 | State highway fund | 39,327,700 |
| 5 | Arizona highway patrol fund | 18,481,600 |
| 6 | Criminal justice enhancement fund | 2,541,300 |
| 7 | Safety enforcement and transportation | |
| 8 | infrastructure fund | 1,233,200 |
| 9 | Crime laboratory assessment fund | 4,395,400 |
| 10 | Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid | |
| 11 | identification system fund | 2,556,000 |
| 12 | Automated fingerprint identification | |
| 13 | system fund | 2,441,300 |
| 14 | Motorcycle safety fund | 205,000 |
| 15 | Risk management fund | 296,200 |
| 16 | Sex offender monitoring fund | 345,100 |
| 17 | State homeland security funding | 1,602,200 |
| 18 | Performance measures: | |
| 19 | Per cent of scientific analysis cases over | |
| 20 | 30 calendar days old | 2.5 |
| 21 | Per cent of system reliability of the Arizona | |
| 22 | automated fingerprint identification network | 98 |
| 23 | Clandestine labs dismantled | 50 |

Any monies remaining in the department of public safety joint account on June 30, 2006 shall revert to the funds from which they were appropriated. The reverted monies shall be returned in direct proportion to the amounts appropriated.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies appropriated to the GITEM special line item shall not be allocated by the department of public safety to any county with a population greater than seven hundred fifty thousand or to any city or town located within a county with a population greater than seven hundred fifty thousand.

The department of public safety shall monitor the performance of the federal bureau of investigation's national instant criminal background check system (NICS) in fiscal year 2005-2006 and submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee by February 1, 2006 regarding the results of performance monitoring. Performance monitoring shall include, but is not limited to, the average response time, rejection rates, the number of default proceeds, the number of guns sold to disqualified individuals and NICS availability.

Distribution of the monies appropriated for sworn officer salary adjustments shall be determined by the department.

- 73 -

Monies totaling \$1,602,200 from state homeland security funding are monies the state receives from the federal government for state homeland security issues in fiscal year 2004-2005.

The department of public safety shall submit an expenditure report to the joint legislative budget committee for review before expending any lease-purchase proceeds for the microwave communications upgrade. The department shall also submit a project investment justification for review and approval by the government information technology agency before expending any monies.

Sec. 79. ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF RACING

| 11 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006-07</u> |
|----|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| 12 | FTE positions | | 46.5 | 46.5 |
| 13 | Lump sum appropriation \$ | ; | 2,844,500 | \$ 2,844,500 |
| 14 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 15 | State general fund \$ | ; | 2,499,500 | \$ 2,499,500 |
| 16 | County fair racing fund | | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| 17 | Racing administration fund | | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| 18 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 19 | Per cent of horse racing customers reporting | | | |
| 20 | "very good" or "excellent" service | | 99 | 99 |
| 21 | Per cent of greyhound racing customers | | | |
| 22 | reporting "very good" or "excellent" service | | 99 | 99 |
| 23 | Per cent of positive horse drug tests | | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 24 | Per cent of positive greyhound drug tests | | 0.02 | 0.02 |

The department of racing shall report to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairpersons of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee on an annual basis on boxing related activities. The report shall contain the number of boxing events, gross receipts, state revenues and license fee collections.

Sec. 80. RADIATION REGULATORY AGENCY

| 32 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|----|--|----|-----------------|-------------------|
| 33 | FTE positions | | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 34 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,352,900 | \$ 1,352,900 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 1,105,600 | \$ 1,105,600 |
| 37 | State radiologic technologist | | | |
| 38 | certification fund | | 247,300 | 247,300 |
| 39 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 40 | Per cent of x-ray tubes overdue for inspection | | 22 | 22 |
| 41 | Radiological incidents (non-Palo Verde related |) | 15 | 15 |
| 42 | Radiological incidents (Palo Verde) | | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 7.5 | 7.5 |

- 74 -

| 1 | Sec. 81. GOVERNOR - ARIZONA RANGERS' PENSION | S | | | |
|--|---|-----|---|-----------------|--|
| 2 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | 2006-07 |
| 3 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 12,800 | \$ | 13,000 |
| 4 | Fund sources: | | • | | ŕ |
| 5 | State general fund | \$ | 12,800 | \$ | 13,000 |
| 6 | Sec. 82. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT | • | , | • | , |
| 7 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 8 | FTE positions | | 65.4 | | 65.4 |
| 9 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 3,016,000 | \$ | 3,006,400 |
| 10 | Subdivisions | • | 525,700 | • | 523,100 |
| 11 | Total appropriation – real estate | | 020,700 | | 020,100 |
| 12 | department | \$ | 3,541,700 | \$ | 3,529,500 |
| 13 | Fund sources: | * | 0,011,700 | * | 0,023,000 |
| 14 | State general fund | \$ | 3,541,700 | \$ | 3,529,500 |
| 15 | Performance measures: | Ψ | 3,341,700 | Ψ | 3,323,300 |
| 16 | Average days to issue a public report | | 30.0 | | 30.0 |
| 17 | Average days from receipt to issuance of | | 30.0 | | 30.0 |
| 18 | license reports | | 4.5 | | 4.5 |
| 19 | Per cent of surveys from licensees indicating | | 4.5 | | 7.5 |
| 20 | "good" to "excellent" service | | 99.7 | | 99.7 |
| 21 | Average days from receipt of complaint to | | 33.1 | | 33.1 |
| 22 | resolution | | 104.5 | | 104.5 |
| 23 | The above amounts include \$333,300 i | n f | | 2001 | |
| 24 | \$321,100 in fiscal year 2006-2007 from the sta | | | | |
| 25 | FTE positions. The department is required to a | | | | |
| 26 | for 4 FTE positions in the licensing divis | | | | |
| 27 | · | | , S FIE PUS | | |
| | subdivision division, 1 FTE position in the in | | tiantions di | | |
| | FTF position for information tooknology | ves | tigations di | | |
| 28 | FTE position for information technology. | | tigations di | | |
| 29 | FTE position for information technology. Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE | | | | on, and 0.5 |
| 29 30 | Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE | | 2005-06 | | on, and 0.5 <u>2006-07</u> |
| 29 30 31 | Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE FTE positions | | 2005-06 12.0 | visio | 2006-07 12.0 |
| 29 30 31 32 | Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation | | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 | | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 |
| 29 30 31 32 33 | Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses | | 2005-06 12.0 | visio | 2006-07 12.0 |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 | Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility | \$ | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 145,000* | visio \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 145,000* |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility consumer office | | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 | visio \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 | Sec. 83. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility consumer office Fund sources: | \$ | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 145,000* | visio \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 145,000* |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility consumer office Fund sources: Residential utility consumer office | \$ | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 145,000* 1,171,800 | \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 145,000* |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility consumer office Fund sources: Residential utility consumer office revolving fund | \$ | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 145,000* | visio \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 145,000* |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility consumer office Fund sources: Residential utility consumer office revolving fund Performance measures: | \$ | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 145,000* 1,171,800 | \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 145,000* |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility consumer office Fund sources: Residential utility consumer office revolving fund Performance measures: Per cent variance between utilities' | \$ | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 145,000* 1,171,800 | \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 145,000* |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 | FTE positions Operating lump sum appropriation Professional witnesses Total appropriation - residential utility consumer office Fund sources: Residential utility consumer office revolving fund Performance measures: | \$ | 2005-06 12.0 1,026,800 145,000* 1,171,800 | \$ | 2006-07 12.0 1,030,100 145,000* |

- 75 -

| 1 | Sec. 84. BOARD OF RESPIRATORY CARE EXAMINERS | | |
|----|--|-------------------|---------------|
| 2 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | 2006-07 |
| 3 | FTE positions | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 189,000** | \$ 189,000 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | | |
| 6 | Board of respiratory care | | |
| 7 | examiners' fund | \$ 189,000 | \$ 189,000 |
| 8 | Performance measures: | | |
| 9 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | 78 | 78 |
| 10 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| 11 | Sec. 85. STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM | | |
| 12 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | |
| 13 | FTE positions | 221.0 | |
| 14 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 16,073,700 | |
| 15 | Information technology plan | 6,320,700 | |
| 16 | Total appropriation - state retirement | | |
| 17 | system | \$ 22,394,400 | |
| 18 | Fund sources: | | |
| 19 | State retirement system | | |
| 20 | administration account | \$ 19,496,700 | |
| 21 | Long-term disability | | |
| 22 | administration account | 2,897,700 | |
| 23 | Performance measures: | | |
| 24 | Per cent of members satisfied with ASRS | | |
| 25 | telephone services | 87 | |
| 26 | Per cent of investment returns | 8.0 | |
| 27 | Per cent of benefit payment calculations | | |
| 28 | that are accurate as measured by quality | | |
| 29 | control sample | 96 | |

The state retirement system shall provide a report by the end of each calendar quarter during fiscal year 2005-2006 to the joint legislative budget committee on the discussions and actions of the state retirement system board regarding their efforts to minimize the retirement contribution rate.

Before the expenditure of the appropriation of \$6,320,700 in fiscal year 2005-2006 and the hiring of FTE positions appropriated for the agency's information technology plan, the retirement system shall present an expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee staff for review. The expenditure plan shall include current year and prior years' appropriations to be spent in the current year. The retirement system shall include the approval of the project investment justification document by the information technology authorization committee as part of its submission to the joint legislative budget committee staff. The agency shall provide semiannual reports to the joint legislative budget committee staff regarding the expenditures and project tasks completed to date. Actual divestiture of monies from the retirement fund for expenditure shall occur following the

- 76 -

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

1 joint legislative budget committee staff review of the agency's information 2 technology plan. 3 Sec. 86. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE 4 2005-06 5 FTE positions 1,148.0 \$ 58,270,100 6 Operating lump sum appropriation 7 Revenue generating program 6,788,900 8 Total appropriation - department of revenue \$ 65,059,000 9 Fund sources: State general fund 10 \$ 62,728,500 11 Estate and unclaimed property fund 1,489,700 12 Liability setoff fund 384,100 13 Tobacco tax and health care fund 456,700 14 Performance measures: 15 Average calendar days to refund income tax 8.3 16 Per cent of written taxpayer inquiries 17 answered within 30 calendar days 18 65 of receipt 19 Per cent of delinquent accounts collected 11

Included in the total appropriation of \$65,059,000 for fiscal year 2005-2006 is \$6,788,900 from the state general fund and 153 FTE positions for the revenue generating program. This program is expected to generate \$53,249,000 for the state general fund in fiscal year 2005-2006. The department shall provide quarterly progress reports to the joint legislative budget committee as to the effectiveness of the revenue generating program and the department's overall enforcement and collections program. The reports shall include a comparison of projected and actual revenue enforcement collections for fiscal year 2005-2006. The reports are due within thirty days after the end of each calendar quarter.

4.6

The department of revenue shall pay no more than \$368,100 from all funds in fiscal year 2005-2006 for their risk management payment to the department of administration.

Sec. 87. SCHOOL FACILITIES BOARD

Customer satisfaction rating for taxpayer

information section (Scale 1-5)

| 36 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|----|---|----|-------------------|
| 37 | FTE positions | | 18.0 |
| 38 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,599,500 |
| 39 | New school facilities debt service | _ | 50,940,100 |
| 40 | Total appropriation – school facilities | | |
| 41 | board | \$ | 52,539,600 |
| 42 | Fund sources: | | |
| 43 | State general fund | \$ | 52,539,600 |
| 44 | Performance measures: | | |
| 45 | Per cent of school districts inspected | | |
| 46 | meeting minimum adequacy standards | | 100 |

- 77 -

| 1 | Per cent of school districts rating the | | | | |
|----|--|------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|
| 2 | board's services as "good" or "excellent" | | | | |
| 3 | in an annual survey | | 90 | | |
| 4 | Sec. 88. DEPARTMENT OF STATE - SECRETARY OF | STAT | E | | |
| 5 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 6 | FTE positions | | 42.3 | | |
| 7 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2,178,800 | | |
| 8 | Election services | | 783,900 | | |
| 9 | Help America vote act | 4 | 40,000,000 | | |
| 10 | Total appropriation - secretary of state | | 42,962,700 | | |
| 11 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 12 | State general fund | \$ | 2,962,700 | | |
| 13 | Election systems improvement fund | 4 | 40,000,000 | | |
| 14 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 15 | Per cent of documents returned to public | | | | |
| 16 | filer in 48 hours (business services | | | | |
| 17 | division) | | 85 | | |
| 18 | Per cent of election law complaints reviewed | | | | |
| 19 | and acted on within 7 days | | 100 | | |
| 20 | The secretary of state shall report to | the | joint legis | lat | ive budget |
| 21 | committee by December 31, 2005 the actual amou | | | | |
| 22 | from the election systems improvement fund in | | | | • |
| 23 | expected amounts and purpose of expenditures | | | | |
| 24 | 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. | | | | - |
| 25 | Sec. 89. STATE BOARDS' OFFICE | | | | |
| 26 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 27 | FTE positions | | 2.0 | | 2.0 |
| 28 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 172,100** | \$ | 172,100 |
| 29 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 30 | Special services revolving fund | \$ | 172,100 | \$ | 172,100 |
| 31 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 32 | Overall customer satisfaction rating | | | | |
| 33 | (Scale 1-8) | | 7.1 | | 7.1 |
| 34 | Sec. 90. STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL COMMISSION | | | | |
| 35 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 36 | FTE positions | | 33.0 | | 33.0 |
| 37 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,925,700** | \$ | 1,925,700 |
| 38 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 39 | Structural pest control commission | | | | |
| 40 | fund | \$ | 1,925,700 | \$ | 1,925,700 |
| 41 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 42 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 89 | | 89 |
| 43 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 10 | | 10 |
| 44 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.8 | | 6.8 |
| 45 | Sec. 91. STATE BOARD OF TAX APPEALS | | | | |
| 46 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| | 7.0 | | | | |

| 1 | FTE positions | | 4.0 | | 4.0 |
|----------|--|------|-------------------|----|-----------------|
| 2 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 277,900 | \$ | 277,900 |
| 3 | Fund sources: | • | 277,300 | • | 277,300 |
| 4 | State general fund | \$ | 277,900 | \$ | 277,900 |
| 5 | Performance measures: | · | _ | • | _ |
| 6 | Months to process appeal | | 4.5 | | 4.5 |
| 7 | Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts | | 95 | | 95 |
| 8 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 6.1 | | 6.1 |
| 9 | Sec. 92. BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION | | | | |
| 10 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
| 11 | FTE positions | | 19.0 | | 19.0 |
| 12 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,388,000** | \$ | 1,387,700 |
| 13 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 14 | Technical registration fund | \$ | 1,388,000 | \$ | 1,387,700 |
| 15 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 16 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 180 | | 180 |
| 17 | Average calendar days to process an initial | | | | |
| 18 | application | | 90 | | 90 |
| 19 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) | | 8.0 | | 8.0 |
| 20 | Sec. 93. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | | | | |
| 21 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | | |
| 22 | <u>Administration</u> | | | | |
| 23 | FTE positions | | 378.0 | | |
| 24 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 4 | 40,188,500 | | |
| 25 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 26 | State highway fund | \$ 4 | 40,188,500 | | |
| 27 | <u>Highways</u> | | | | |
| 28 | FTE positions | | 2,329.0 | | |
| 29 | Operating lump sum appropriation | | 14,912,900 | | |
| 30 | Highway maintenance | | 07,358,500 | | |
| 31 | Vehicles and heavy equipment | | 34,004,700 | | |
| 32 | Vehicles and heavy equipment | | | | |
| 33 | fuel surcharge | - | 2,000,000 | | |
| 34 | Total - highways | \$2 | 58,276,100 | | |
| 35 | Fund sources: | | 71 700 | | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$ | 71,700 | | |
| 37 | Safety enforcement and | | | | |
| 38 | transportation | | 550 700 | | |
| 39 | infrastructure fund | 0 | 558,700 | | |
| 40 | State highway fund | 2 | 22,641,000 | | |
| 41 | Transportation department | | 25 004 700 | | |
| 42 | equipment fund | • | 35,004,700 | | |
| 43 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 44 45 | Per cent of Maricopa regional freeway | | 0.0 | | |
| 45 46 | miles completed | | 88 | | |
| 46 | Per cent of overall highway construction | | | | |

projects completed on schedule

Of the total amount appropriated for the highways program, \$107,358,500 in fiscal year 2005-2006 for highway maintenance is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, except that all unexpended and unencumbered monies of the appropriation revert to their fund of origin, either the state highway fund or the safety enforcement and transportation infrastructure fund, on August 31, 2006.

Of the \$391,490,100 appropriation to the Arizona department of transportation, the department shall pay \$16,773,800 in fiscal year 2005-2006 from all funds to the Arizona department of administration for their risk management payment.

| | 1 . 7 | |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| Matar | $V \cap V \cap V \cap V$ | |
| 141 () 1. () 1 | vehicle | |
| | | |

| 14 | FTE positions | 1,549.7 |
|----|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| 15 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ 91,058,500 |
| 16 | Fund sources: | |
| 17 | Air quality fund | \$ 58,600 |
| 18 | Highway user revenue fund | 383,300 |
| 19 | Motor vehicle liability insurance | |
| 20 | enforcement fund | 1,891,000 |
| 21 | Safety enforcement and | |
| 22 | transportation infrastructure | |
| 23 | fund | 1,544,500 |
| 24 | State highway fund | 85,757,500 |
| 25 | Vehicle inspection and title | |
| 26 | enforcement fund | 1,423,600 |
| 27 | Performance measures: | |
| 28 | Average office wait time (minutes) | 15.0 |
| 29 | Per cent of office customers rating | |
| 30 | services "good" or "excellent" | 83 |
| 31 | Average telephone wait time to speak | |
| 32 | to an MVD employee (minutes) | 18.5 |
| 33 | Per cent of alternative vehicle | |
| 34 | registration renewal methods | |
| 35 | (mail, internet, third party) | 74 |
| | | |

It is the intent of the legislature that all monies appropriated for the motor vehicle division field offices and electronic services are combined resources designed to improve customer services and that the department should pursue increased efforts to further the utilization of electronic services (e-business transactions) to enhance customer services and create efficiencies, enhanced customer service and security issues.

The appropriation for the motor vehicle division includes an increase of \$140,500 and 3 FTE positions for increased workload in third party quality assurance. The department shall submit quarterly progress reports to the joint legislative budget committee for review of their progress in increasing third party transactions, the status of third party quality assurance

- 80 -

staffing, workload, backlog and the moratorium on accepting new third parties. The reports are due within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter.

The game and fish department and the department of transportation shall conduct a joint study to examine the transfer of responsibility for processing watercraft registration from the game and fish department to the department of transportation. This study shall be submitted to the joint legislative budget committee by December 30, 2005 and is to include an implementation plan with a proposed date for the transfer of watercraft registration and examine the overall impact to citizens, potential cost savings, and the number of full-time equivalent positions to be transferred from the game and fish department to the department of transportation.

The appropriation for the motor vehicle division includes 8 FTE positions and \$721,700 from the state highway fund for fraudulent document staff. If H.B. 2393 or similar legislation to address fraudulent documents is enacted into law during the forty-seventh Legislature, first regular session, the sum of \$721,700 and 8 FTE positions shall revert to the state highway fund.

|--|

| 20 | FTE positions | | 33.0 |
|----|--|-----|------------|
| 21 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,967,000 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | | |
| 23 | State aviation fund | \$ | 1,967,000 |
| 24 | Performance measures: | | |
| 25 | Per cent of airport development projects | | |
| 26 | completed on schedule | | 95 |
| 27 | Total appropriation - department of | | |
| 28 | transportation | \$3 | 91,490,100 |
| 29 | Fund sources: | | |
| 30 | State general fund | \$ | 71,700 |
| 31 | Air quality fund | | 58,600 |
| 32 | Highway user revenue fund | | 383,300 |
| 33 | Motor vehicle liability | | |
| 34 | insurance enforcement fund | | 1,891,000 |
| 35 | Safety enforcement and | | |
| 36 | transportation infrastructure | | |
| 37 | fund | | 2,103,200 |
| 38 | State aviation fund | | 1,967,000 |
| 39 | State highway fund | 3 | 48,587,000 |
| 40 | Transportation department | | |
| 41 | equipment fund | | 35,004,700 |
| 42 | Vehicle inspection and title | | |
| 43 | enforcement fund | | 1,423,600 |

- 81 -

By September 1, 2005, the department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee information on unit cost measures for the committee's review. The report shall include measures for fiscal year 2004-2005 for highway maintenance landscape in-house unit cost per centerline mile and highway maintenance landscape contract unit cost per centerline mile. The highway maintenance landscape in-house unit cost per centerline mile shall include the department's direct costs and the costs of training and supervision, administrative overhead, employee related expenditures and other operating expenditures such as risk management, depreciation and rent. The highway maintenance landscape contract unit cost per centerline mile shall include the department's actual contract costs and the costs for bidding and administering the contract, inspecting and approving the work, training and supervision of purchasing and other involved department employees, administrative overhead, employee related expenditures and all other operating expenditures such as risk management, building depreciation and rent. For the highway maintenance landscape contract unit cost per centerline mile, the report shall identify the amount of transaction privilege taxes included in the contract costs. The report shall explain the methodology used to derive each unit cost measure, including the dollar amounts for each cost item and how each dollar amount was used in the calculation of the unit cost measure.

Sec. 94. STATE TREASURER

| | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|---|-------------------|
| FTE positions | 33.4 |
| Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 2,535,700 |
| Justice of the peace salaries | 2,775,500 |
| Total appropriation – state treasurer | \$ 5,311,200 |
| Fund sources: | |
| State general fund | \$ 5,311,200 |
| Performance measures: | |
| Ratio of yield of LGIP to Standard | |
| and Poor's LGIP index | 1.7 |
| Ratio of yield of endowment pools to | |
| Big Bond Index | 1.10 |
| Customer satisfaction rating for local | |
| government investment pool participants | |
| (Scale 1-8) | 6.1 |

It is the intent of the legislature that the investment management fee on monies managed by the state treasurer be set at eight basis points.

The state treasurer shall submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee by August 1, 2005, regarding all 529 plans provided by the state. The report shall include, but is not limited to, the following information for each 529 plan: the number of new accounts, existing accounts and closed accounts by financial institution for the previous calendar year and the dollars under management for each, the total number of out of state and in state account holders, the annual basis points charged for account

- 82 -

maintenance, fee schedule, maturity options and the historical return for a \$10,000 initial deposit. The report shall also highlight the costs associated with Arizona's 529 plans, relative to those available through other states. The report shall also provide data consistent with national standards, such as the college savings plan network disclosure principles, that discloses all fees and costs of the program as compared to those in other states.

Sec. 95. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

| 9 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | <u> 2006-07</u> |
|----|--|----|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| 10 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 52,300 | \$ | 52,300 |
| 11 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 12 | State general fund | \$ | 52,300 | \$ | 52,300 |
| 13 | Sec. 96. ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS | | | | |
| 14 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | | |
| 15 | FTE positions | | 27.9 | | |
| 16 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 2,135,000 | | |
| 17 | Arizona teachers incentive program | | 90,000 | | |
| 18 | Arizona transfer articulation | | | | |
| 19 | support system | | 213,700 | | |
| 20 | Student financial assistance | | 2,161,200 | | |
| 21 | Western interstate commission | | | | |
| 22 | office | | 103,000 | | |
| 23 | WICHE student subsidies | | 2,908,100 | | |
| 24 | Total appropriation – Arizona board of | | | | |
| 25 | regents | \$ | 7,611,000 | | |
| 26 | Fund sources: | | | | |
| 27 | State general fund | \$ | 7,611,000 | | |
| 28 | Performance measures: | | | | |
| 29 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | | | | |
| 30 | their overall university experience | | | | |
| 31 | as "good"/"excellent" | | 95 | | |
| 32 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate students | | | | |
| 33 | enrolled per semester in three or more | | | | |
| 34 | primary courses with ranked faculty | | 77 | | |
| 35 | Average number of years taken to graduate | | | | |
| 36 | for students who began as freshmen | | 4.7 | | |
| 37 | Within ten days of the acceptance of the | ur | niversities' | semiann | iual all |

Within ten days of the acceptance of the universities' semiannual all funds budget reports, the Arizona board of regents shall inform the joint legislative budget committee of any tuition revenue amounts that are different from the amounts appropriated by the legislature and shall submit an expenditure plan for any tuition revenue amounts that are greater than the appropriated amounts to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. The expenditure plan shall also include as an informational item, any additional local retention amounts above the amounts estimated in the original fiscal year 2005-2006 budget request.

46 Sec. 97. ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

- 83 -

| 1 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|----------|---|-------------------|
| 2 | <u>Main campus</u> | |
| 3 | FTE positions | 6,314.5 |
| 4 | Lump sum appropriation | \$460,809,700 |
| 5 | Fund sources: | |
| 6 | State general fund | \$289,187,200 |
| 7 | University collections fund | 171,622,500 |
| 8 | Performance measures: | |
| 9 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | |
| 10 11 | their overall university experience | O.E. |
| 12 | as "good"/"excellent" Per cent of full-time undergraduate | 95 |
| 13 | students enrolled per semester in 3 or | |
| 14 | more primary courses with ranked faculty | 72 |
| 15 | Average number of years taken to graduate | 7 2 |
| 16 | for students who began as freshmen | 4.6 |
| 17 | External dollars for research and creative | 4.0 |
| 18 | activity | \$180,000,000 |
| 19 | <u>East campus</u> | 7100,000,000 |
| 20 | FTE positions | 349.3 |
| 21 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 26,118,700 |
| 22 | Lease-purchase payment | 2,000,000 |
| 23 | Total - East campus | \$ 28,118,700 |
| 24 | Fund sources: | |
| 25 | State general fund | \$ 14,813,600 |
| 26 | University collections fund | 11,305,100 |
| 27 | Technology and research initiative | |
| 28 | fund | 2,000,000 |
| 29 | Performance measures: | |
| 30 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | |
| 31 | their overall university experience | |
| 32 | as "good"/"excellent" | 96 |
| 33 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate students | |
| 34 | enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary | |
| 35 | courses with ranked faculty | 66 |
| 36 | Average number of years taken to graduate | |
| 37 | for students who began as freshmen | 5.2 |
| 38 | West campus | |
| 39 | FTE positions | 679.5 |
| 40 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 60,047,200 |
| 41 | Lease-purchase payment | 1,600,000 |
| 42 | Total - West campus | \$ 61,647,200 |
| 43 | Fund sources: | ¢ 40 711 F00 |
| 44 45 | State general fund | \$ 42,711,500 |
| 45 46 | University collections fund | 17,335,700 |
| 46 | Technology and research initiative | |
| | - 84 - | |

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

```
1
                 fund
                                                        1,600,000
 2
           Performance measures:
 3
     Per cent of graduating seniors who rate
 4
        their overall university experience
 5
        as "good"/"excellent"
                                                               98
 6
     Per cent of full-time undergraduate students
 7
        enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary
 8
                                                               72
        courses with ranked faculty
 9
     Total appropriation - Arizona state
                                                     $550,575,600
10
               university
11
           Fund sources:
12
               State general fund
                                                     $346,712,300
13
               University collections fund
                                                      200,263,300
14
               Technology and research initiative
15
                                                        3,600,000
16
```

The state general fund appropriations shall not be used for alumni association funding.

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

The appropriated monies are not to be used to support any student newspaper.

The appropriated monies shall not be used by the Arizona state university college of law legal clinic for any lawsuits involving inmates of the state department of corrections in which the state is the adverse party.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2005 and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above.

Sec. 98. NORTHERN ARIZONA UNIVERSITY

| 36 | | | 2005-06 |
|----|-------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 37 | | FTE positions | 2,079.7 |
| 38 | | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$151,988,900 |
| 39 | | NAU – Yuma | 2,192,300 |
| 40 | Total | appropriation – Northern Arizona | |
| 41 | | university | \$154,181,200 |
| 42 | | Fund sources: | |
| 43 | | State general fund | \$117,990,900 |
| 44 | | University collections fund | 36,190,300 |

- 85 -

Performance measures: Per cent of graduating seniors who rate their overall university experience as "good"/"excellent" Per cent of full-time undergraduate students enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary courses with ranked faculty Average number of years taken to graduate for students who began as freshmen 4.4

The state general fund appropriations shall not be used for alumni association funding.

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

The appropriated monies are not to be used to support any student newspaper.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2005 and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above.

Sec. 99. UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

| 28 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 29 | <u>Main campus</u> | |
| 30 | FTE positions | 5,474.9 |
| 31 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$334,556,000 |
| 32 | Agriculture | 47,393,200 |
| 33 | Sierra Vista campus | 3,665,500 |
| 34 | Total - Main campus | \$385,614,700 |
| 35 | Fund sources: | |
| 36 | State general fund | \$278,486,200 |
| 37 | University collections fund | 107,128,500 |
| 38 | Performance measures: | |
| 39 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | |
| 40 | their overall university experience | |
| 41 | as "good"/"excellent" | 95 |
| 42 | Per cent of full-time undergraduate students | |
| 43 | enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary | |
| 44 | courses with ranked faculty | 80 |
| 45 | Average number of years taken to graduate | |
| 46 | for students who began as freshmen | 4.6 |
| | | |

- 86 -

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

| 1 | <u>Health sciences center</u> | |
|----|---|---------------|
| 2 | FTE positions | 673.7 |
| 3 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 56,457,200 |
| 4 | Clinical rural rotation | 466,100 |
| 5 | Clinical teaching support | 9,434,500 |
| 6 | Liver research institute | 494,900 |
| 7 | Telemedicine network | 1,177,700 |
| 8 | Total – health sciences center | \$ 68,030,400 |
| 9 | Fund sources: | |
| 10 | State general fund | \$ 55,483,700 |
| 11 | University collections fund | 12,546,700 |
| 12 | Performance measures: | |
| 13 | Per cent of graduating seniors who rate | |
| 14 | their overall university experience | |
| 15 | as "good"/"excellent" | 99 |
| 16 | Total appropriation – university of | |
| 17 | Arizona | \$453,645,100 |
| 18 | Fund sources: | |
| 19 | State general fund | \$333,969,900 |
| 20 | University collections fund | 119,675,200 |

The state general fund appropriations shall not be used for alumni association funding.

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

The appropriated monies are not to be used to support any student newspaper.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2005 and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the Constitution of Arizona. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above.

Sec. 100. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' SERVICES

| 38 | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
|----|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 39 | FTE positions | 299.3 | 299.3 |
| 40 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ 14,578,100 | \$ 14,554,600 |
| 41 | Southern Arizona cemetery | 134,700 | 134,700 |
| 42 | Telemedicine project | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 43 | Veterans' organizations contracts | 29,200 | 29,200 |
| 44 | Total appropriation – department of | | |
| 45 | veterans' services | \$ 14,752,000 | \$ 14,728,500 |

- 87 -

| 1 | Fund sources: | | | |
|----------|--|-----|-----------------|-------------------|
| 2 | State general fund | \$ | 2,259,000 | \$ 2,259,000 |
| 3 | State home for veterans' trust | | | |
| 4 | fund | | 11,834,600 | 11,834,600 |
| 5 | State veterans' conservatorship | | | |
| 6 | fund | | 658,400 | 634,900 |
| 7 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 8 | DHS quality rating of the veterans' home | | | |
| 9 | ("excellent", "standard" or "substandard") | | Excellent | Excellent |
| 10 | Per cent of customers rating department's | | | |
| 11 | services as "good" or "excellent" | | 95 | 95 |
| 12 | Sec. 101. ARIZONA STATE VETERINARY MEDICAL E | XAM | INING BOARD | |
| 13 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | <u> 2006 - 07</u> |
| 14 | FTE positions | | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| 15 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 401,600** | \$ 401,600 |
| 16 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 17 | Veterinary medical examining | | | |
| 18 | board fund | \$ | 401,600 | \$ 401,600 |
| 19 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 20 | Average calendar days to resolve a complaint | | 60 | 60 |
| 21 | Average calendar days to renew a license | | 60 | 60 |
| 22 | Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 0–100) | | 93 | 93 |
| 23 | Sec. 102. DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES | | | |
| 24 | | | <u> 2005-06</u> | |
| 25 | FTE positions | | 189.7 | |
| 26 | Operating lump sum appropriation | \$ | 15,250,500 | |
| 27 | Arizona water protection fund | | | |
| 28 | deposit | | - 0 - | |
| 29 | Rural water studies | _ | 1,900,000 | |
| 30 | Total appropriation - department of water | | | |
| 31 | resources | \$ | 17,150,500 | |
| 32 | Fund sources: | | | |
| 33 | State general fund | \$ | 17,150,500 | |
| 34 | Performance measures: | | | |
| 35 | Per cent of Colorado River | | 100 | |
| 36 | entitlement used | | 100 | |
| 37 | Per cent of Arizona's unused | | | |
| 38 | Colorado River entitlement | | | |
| 39 | that is recharged via the | | 0.5 | |
| 40 | water banking authority | | 95 | |
| 41 | Number of dams in a nonemergency | | 10 | |
| 42 | unsafe condition | | 13 | |
| 43 44 | Customer satisfaction rating for hydrology | | 0 0 | |
| 44 | program (Scale 1–8) | | 8.0 | |

Funding appropriated for the purposes of rural water studies is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to the lapsing of appropriations through June 30, 2007.

Sec. 103. DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

| 5 | | | <u> 2005 - 06</u> |
|----|--|----|-------------------|
| 6 | <u>General services</u> | | |
| 7 | FTE positions | | 24.9 |
| 8 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 1,598,000 |
| 9 | Fund sources: | | |
| 10 | State general fund | \$ | 1,530,900 |
| 11 | Motor vehicle liability insurance | | |
| 12 | enforcement fund | | 67,100 |
| 13 | <u>Vapor recovery</u> | | |
| 14 | FTE positions | | 7.5 |
| 15 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 500,400 |
| 16 | Fund sources: | | |
| 17 | Air quality fund | \$ | 500,400 |
| 18 | Oxygenated fuel | | |
| 19 | FTE positions | | 6.0 |
| 20 | Lump sum appropriation | \$ | 793,800 |
| 21 | Fund sources: | | |
| 22 | Air quality fund | \$ | 793,800 |
| 23 | Total appropriation - department | | |
| 24 | of weights and measures | \$ | 2,892,200 |
| 25 | Fund sources: | | |
| 26 | State general fund | \$ | 1,530,900 |
| 27 | Air quality fund | | 1,294,200 |
| 28 | Motor vehicle liability insurance | | |
| 29 | enforcement fund | | 67,100 |
| 30 | Performance measures: | | |
| 31 | Average customer satisfaction rating | | |
| 32 | (Scale 1-5) | | 4.7 |
| 33 | Per cent of retail stores' price | | |
| 34 | scanning devices in compliance | | 65 |
| 35 | Per cent of cleaner burning gas | | |
| 36 | samples in compliance with oxygenated | | |
| 37 | fuel standards | | 100 |
| 38 | Per cent of gasoline dispensing facilities | | |
| 39 | inspected annually that are in compliance | | |
| 40 | with vapor recovery standards | | 90 |
| 41 | Sec. 104. Advance appropriation; judici | | |
| 42 | A. The sum of \$1,500,000 is appropriate | ed | from the defensiv |

A. The sum of \$1,500,000 is appropriated from the defensive driving school fund to the supreme court for judicial salary increases effective January 1, 2007. These monies shall be allocated proportionately to the supreme court, court of appeals and superior court.

- 89 -

43

44

45

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

B. The appropriations made in this section are exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

Sec. 105. <u>Transfer of monies from the budget stabilization fund</u> to the state general fund; fiscal year 2005-2006

Notwithstanding section 35-144, Arizona Revised Statutes, the sum of 63,000,000 is transferred from the budget stabilization fund to the state general fund in fiscal year 2005-2006.

Sec. 106. Appropriation; operating adjustments

| 9 | Sec. 100. Appropriation, operating | <u>aujus cilierres</u> |
|----|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10 | | <u> 2005-06</u> |
| 11 | Salary adjustments | \$ 44,400,000 |
| 12 | Fund sources: | |
| 13 | State general fund | \$ 32,600,000 |
| 14 | Other appropriated funds | 11,800,000 |
| 15 | State employee health insurance | |
| 16 | adjustments | \$ 27,500,000 |
| 17 | Fund sources: | |
| 18 | State general fund | \$ 18,000,000 |
| 19 | Other appropriated funds | 9,500,000 |
| 20 | State employee retirement | |
| 21 | adjustments | \$ 37,200,000 |
| 22 | Fund sources: | |
| 23 | State general fund | \$ 22,800,000 |
| 24 | Other appropriated funds | 14,400,000 |
| 25 | Attorney general legal services | |
| 26 | cost allocation adjustments | \$ 1,000,000 |
| 27 | Fund sources: | |
| 28 | Other appropriated funds | \$ 1,000,000 |

The other appropriated funds may be allocated from the following funds: board of accountancy fund, acupuncture board of examiners fund, air permits administration fund, air quality fund, antitrust enforcement revolving fund, board of appraisal fund, Arizona benefits fund, Arizona health care cost containment system donations fund, Arizona medical board fund, Arizona protected native plant fund, automated fingerprint identification fund, auto theft authority fund, automation operations fund, state aviation fund, board of barbers fund, board of behavioral health examiners fund, bond fund, capital outlay stabilization fund, child abuse prevention fund, child fatality review fund, child support enforcement administration fund, children's health insurance program fund, board of chiropractic examiners fund, citrus, fruit and vegetable revolving fund, collection enforcement revolving fund, commerce and economic development commission fund, commercial feed fund, confidential intermediary and fiduciary fund, agricultural consulting and training fund, consumer fraud revolving fund, corrections fund, board of cosmetology fund, crime laboratory assessment fund, criminal justice enhancement fund, county fair racing fund, court appointed special advocate fund, defensive driving school fund, dental board fund, Arizona

- 90 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

deoxyribonucleic acid identification fund, board of dispensing opticians fund, drug and gang prevention resource center fund, state education fund for committed youth, state education fund for correctional education, egg inspection fund, emergency medical services operating fund, emissions inspection fund, environmental laboratory licensure fund, estate and unclaimed property fund, Arizona exposition and state fair fund, federal child care and development fund block grant, federal surplus materials revolving fund, federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant, fertilizer materials fund, board of funeral directors and embalmers fund, game and fish fund, game, nongame, fish and endangered species fund, hazardous waste management fund, healthcare group fund, hearing and speech professionals fund, state highway fund, Arizona highway patrol fund, highway user revenue fund, board of homeopathic medical examiners fund, housing trust fund, DHS indirect cost fund, ADEQ indirect cost recovery fund, industrial commission administrative fund, information technology fund, interagency service agreements fund, intergovernmental agreements and grants, investment management regulatory and enforcement fund, job training fund, judicial collection enhancement fund, land conservation fund administration account, lease-purchase building operating and maintenance fund, liability set-off fund, long term care system fund, long-term disability administration account, state lottery fund, board of medical examiners fund, the miners' hospital for disabled miners land fund, motor vehicle liability insurance enforcement fund, motor vehicle pool revolving fund, naturopathic physicians board of medical examiners fund, newborn screening program fund, board of nursing fund, nursing care institution administrators' licensing and assisted living facility managers' certification fund, occupational therapy fund, oil overcharge fund, board of optometry fund, board of osteopathic examiners fund, state parks enhancement fund, penitentiary land fund, personnel division fund, pesticide fund, board of pharmacy fund, board of physical therapy fund, podiatry fund, postsecondary education fund, construction and operations fund, board for private postsecondary education fund, Arizona protected native plant fund, board of psychologist examiners fund, public access fund, public assistance collections fund, racing administration fund, state radiologic technologist certification fund, records services fund, recycling fund, registrar of contractors fund, reservation surcharge revolving fund, residential utility consumer office revolving fund, board of respiratory care examiners fund, state retirement system administration account, risk management revolving fund, safety enforcement and transportation infrastructure fund, schools for the deaf and the blind fund, securities regulatory and enforcement fund, seed law fund, sex offender monitoring fund, solid waste fee fund, special administration fund, special employee health insurance trust fund, special services revolving fund, spinal and head injuries trust fund, state aid to the courts fund, Arizona state hospital fund, state board of equalization fund, state surplus materials revolving fund, structural pest control commission fund, substance abuse services fund, teacher certification fund, technical

- 91 -

registration fund, telecommunications fund, telecommunication fund for the deaf, telecommunications excise tax fund, tobacco tax and health care fund, transportation department equipment fund, tribal state compact fund, tourism fund, used oil fund, utility regulation revolving fund, vehicle inspection and title enforcement fund, state veterans' conservatorship fund, state home for veterans' trust fund, veterinary medical examining board fund, victims' rights fund, watercraft licensing fund, waterfowl conservation fund, water quality fee fund, and workforce investment act grant.

<u>Salary adjustments</u>

The amount appropriated for salary adjustments includes personal services and employee related expenditures for state officers and employees in accordance with the compensation plans provided by this act.

For fiscal year 2005-2006, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the annual salary level of each employee, as required by this section.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in expenditure authority to allow implementation of salary adjustments.

The annual salary level of each employee shall be increased by 1.7 per cent. The exceptions are in lieu of the general salary adjustment. All adjustments are effective July 2, 2005 and shall apply to less than full-time employees on a prorated basis.

Board and commission members who are paid on a per diem basis, agency heads who are appointed for a fixed term of office and employees that are otherwise noted in this act are not eligible for the salary adjustments required by this section. Distribution of any monies appropriated for the department of public safety sworn officers shall be determined by the department of public safety.

State employee health insurance adjustments

The amount appropriated for state employee health insurance adjustments shall be for fiscal year 2005-2006 increases in the employer share of state employee health insurance premiums. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency's or department's employee related expenditures an amount sufficient for the employer share of the employee health insurance increases. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in expenditure authority to allow implementation of state employee health insurance adjustments.

State employee retirement adjustments

The amount appropriated for state employee retirement contribution adjustments shall be for fiscal year 2005-2006 increases in the employer share of state employee retirement contributions. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency's or department's employee related expenditures

- 92 -

an amount sufficient for the employer share of the employee retirement contribution increase.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in expenditure authority to allow implementation of state employee retirement contribution adjustments.

Attorney general pro rata adjustments

The amount appropriated for attorney general legal services cost allocation adjustments shall be for agency and department pro rata share contributions for the attorney general legal services cost allocation charge. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to charge the pro rata share contribution of 0.19 per cent to each fund's personal services base.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of pro rata share contribution adjustments.

The attorney general pro rata contribution shall not be charged to the department of administration risk management fund and any fund administered by the department of water resources, the department of transportation, the Arizona game and fish department, the residential utility consumer office, the industrial commission, the universities, the auditor general, the senate, the house of representatives, the legislative council, the joint legislative budget committee, the Arizona state library, archives and public records, the corporation commission, the advocate for private property rights, the office of the governor, the department of law or any self-supporting regulatory agency as determined by section 35-143.01, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Sec. 107. Legislative intent: expenditure reporting

It is the intent of the legislature that all departments, agencies or budget units receiving appropriations under the terms of this act shall continue to report actual, estimated and requested expenditures by budget programs and budget classes in a format that is similar to the budget programs and budget classes used for budgetary purposes in prior years. A different format may be used if deemed necessary to implement the provisions of section 35-113, Arizona Revised Statutes, agreed to by the director of the joint legislative budget committee, and incorporated into the budget preparation instructions adopted by the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting pursuant to section 35-112, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Sec. 108. FTE positions; reporting

Full-time equivalent (FTE) positions contained in this act are subject to appropriation. The director of the department of administration shall account for the use of all appropriated FTE positions excluding those in the department of economic security, the universities and the department of environmental quality. The director shall submit fiscal year 2005-2006 reports by February 1, 2006 and August 1, 2006 to the director of the joint

- 93 -

legislative budget committee. The reports shall compare the level of FTE usage in each fiscal year to the appropriated level. The director of the department of administration shall notify the director of each budget unit if the budget unit has exceeded its number of appropriated FTE positions. The above excluded agencies shall each report to the director of the joint legislative budget committee in a manner comparable to the department of administration reporting.

Sec. 109. Filled FTE positions; reporting

By October 1, 2005, each agency, including the judiciary and universities, shall submit a report to the director of the joint legislative budget committee on the number of filled, appropriated full-time equivalent positions by fund source. The number of filled, appropriated full-time equivalent positions reported shall be as of September 1, 2005.

Sec. 110. Transfer of spending authority

The department of administration shall report monthly to the director of the joint legislative budget committee on any transfers of spending authority made pursuant to section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, during the prior month.

Sec. 111. <u>Interim reporting requirements</u>

A. The executive branch shall provide to the joint legislative budget committee a preliminary estimate of the fiscal year 2004-2005 state general fund ending balance by September 15, 2005. The preliminary estimate of the fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund ending balance shall be provided by September 15, 2006. The estimate shall include projections of total revenues, total expenditures and ending balance. The department of administration shall continue to provide the final report for the fiscal year in its annual financial report pursuant to section 35-131, Arizona Revised Statutes.

B. Based on the information provided by the executive branch, the staff of the joint legislative budget committee shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of 2005 and 2006 as to whether that fiscal year's revenues and ending balance are expected to change by more than \$50,000,000 from the budgeted projections. The executive branch may also provide its own estimates to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of each year.

Sec. 112. Office of strategic planning and budgeting; federal revenue maximization reporting

The office of strategic planning and budgeting shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by July 1, 2005 and the beginning of each subsequent calendar quarter in the fiscal year on the status of the federal revenue maximization initiative. The report, at a minimum, shall include an update on contracts awarded as a result of the "RevMax" request for proposals, a summary of projects and the potential savings from each project. Any reported savings shall distinguish between potential reductions in current funding levels and foregone future spending increases.

- 94 -

Sec. 113. Fiscal year 2005-2006 conditional appropriations

- A. State general fund revenue for fiscal year 2004-2005, not including the beginning balance and including one-time revenues, is forecasted to be \$7,584,843,800. The state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2004-2005 includes \$8,500,000 from judicial collections as part of one-time revenue and \$111,447,400 for disproportionate share revenue. The staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting may adjust the state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2004-2005 to reflect changes in the actual amount of judicial collections and disproportionate share revenue.
- B. State general fund revenue for fiscal year 2005-2006, not including the beginning balance and including one-time revenues, is forecasted to be \$7,918,779,800. The state general fund revenue for fiscal year 2005-2006 includes as one-time revenue an anticipated fund transfer of \$63,000,000 from the budget stabilization fund and an anticipated fund transfer of \$10,000,000 of in lieu fees collected pursuant to section 49-543, subsection B, paragraph 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, from anticipated enactments by the forty-seventh legislature, first regular session. The state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2005-2006 also includes \$91,841,500 for disproportionate share revenue. The staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting may adjust the state general fund revenue forecast for fiscal year 2005-2006 to reflect changes in anticipated fund transfers and in disproportionate share revenue.
- C. On or before July 25, 2005, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall agree on a monthly forecast for fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund revenue. After July 25, 2005, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting may jointly agree to adjust the monthly revenue forecast to reflect technical revisions.
- D. On or before July 31, 2005, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall jointly notify the governor, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives whether the total fiscal year 2004-2005 state general fund revenue, excluding the beginning balance, exceeded the fiscal year 2004-2005 forecast, and, if so, the total revenue amount and the amount above the forecast. The amount in excess of the forecast is appropriated from the state general fund for fiscal year 2005-2006 to the budget stabilization fund established by section 35-144, Arizona Revised Statutes.
- E. On or before February 10, 2006, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall jointly notify the governor, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives whether actual fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund revenue through December 31, 2005, excluding the beginning balance, exceeded the aggregate monthly forecast through December

- 95 -

31, 2005 and, if so, the total revenue amount and the amount above the forecast. The amount in excess of the forecast is appropriated from the state general fund for fiscal year 2005-2006 to the budget stabilization fund established by section 35-144, Arizona Revised Statutes.

F. On or before July 31, 2006, the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting shall jointly notify the governor, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives whether the total fiscal year 2005-2006 state general fund revenue, excluding the beginning balance, exceeded the fiscal year 2005-2006 forecast, and, if so, the total revenue amount and the amount above the forecast. The amount in excess of the forecast, less any amount appropriated pursuant to subsection E of this section, is appropriated from the state general fund for fiscal year 2005-2006 to the budget stabilization fund established by section 35-144, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Sec. 114. Definition

For the purposes of this act, "*" means this appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

Sec. 115. Definition

For the purposes of this act, "**" means this appropriation is available for use pursuant to the provisions of section 35-143.01, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations until June 30, 2007.

Sec. 116. <u>Definition</u>

For purposes of this act, "expenditure authority" means that the fund sources are continuously appropriated monies that are included in the individual line items of appropriations.

- 96 -